Preconcentration of Volatile Organic Compounds on Carbon Magnetic Sorbents in the Analysis of Air by Using the Configuration Change of the Sorbent Bed during the Transition from Sorption to Thermodesorption GC-FID
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Abstract: The new scheme of the rapid preconcentration of volatile organic substances followed by the thermodesorption and gas chromatographic determination by using a flame ionization detector is proposed for the analysis of air. The scheme implies a change in the geometry of the adsorbent layer in a column during the transition from adsorption to thermal desorption steps. The extraction of analytes is carried out in a wide tube, allowing quantitative adsorption at higher flow rates of the analyzed air passed through the magnetic sorbent held in a thin layer retained by a permanent magnet without any supporting frits. Novel magnetic adsorbents composed of magnetite or a zirconia/magnetite core and pyrocarbon shell are developed for this application. At the end of the adsorption step, the magnet moved out of the system, and the adsorbent transferred under the gravity force into a narrow tube, which provides the more efficient heating of the adsorbent and minimal blurring of the analyte zones during the subsequent thermal desorption. The proposed scheme allows a significant reduction (approximately 10 times) of the time required for the preconcentration of analytes, which is illustrated by the GC determination of alcohols (butanol-1, pentanol-1), phenol, and o-cresol in the air.
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1. Introduction

The determination of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in atmospheric air at the maximum permissible concentration level is often impossible without their preconcentration [1,2]. The adsorption preconcentration, also called solid phase extraction (SPE), is one of the most effective methods of concentration analytes used in air analysis [3,4]. The possibilities of SPE in its most common variant of solid phase microextraction (SPME) for GC are limited by the small mass of the active sorbing phase (0.2–2.0 mg), and, accordingly, the relatively low adsorption capacity of the concentrators, which is insufficient to achieve the low limits of detection (LOD) of the analytes [5–7]. Dynamic SPE is based on passing the analyzed gas through a tube with a sorbent, followed by the thermal desorption and the GC determination of the analytes [2,3,8]. Dynamic SPE is carried out using various porous polymer and carbonaceous adsorbents [9–13], including nanocarbon materials with a high specific surface area and hydrophobicity [14–16]. It should be noted that hydrophobic polymer adsorbents have a significantly lower sorption capacity as compared to carbon sorbents [13]. The latter, including graphitized carbon black and, especially, nanocarbon materials (nanographene, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), nanodiamonds, etc.), have one significant drawback. Particles of these materials that are too fine prevent their direct use for...
the preconcentration of trace impurities in the analysis of air due to the low permeability of the sorption layer in the tube [17]. The possible solution to this problem is using adsorbents in which the nanocarbon particles are immobilized on the surface of the solid phase carriers, such as porous polytetrafluoroethylene [18,19] or silica [20–23]. Another option is using aggregated or sintered nanocarbon particles such as microdispersed sintered nanodiamonds [24].

Regardless of the nature of the sorbents used, the actual problem of the preconcentration is the discrepancy between the optimal configurations of the sorbent layer (the ratio of its height to diameter) at the sorption and thermal desorption stages. On the one hand, during sorption, to achieve a high concentration of coefficients of analytes in a short time, it is necessary to pass the analyzed gas through the sorbent at a relatively high flow rate, which is practically impossible in the case of long narrow sorption tubes. On the other hand, during the thermal desorption of analytes, the velocity of the gas phase should be hundreds of times less, while the elongated configuration of the sorption layer is more rational, ensuring the minimal dispersion of the zones of the desorbed components. This requirement can be met by the modification of the configuration of the sorption layer during the transition from the sorption to thermal desorption steps. The corresponding change is achieved by pouring grains of the adsorbent after the sorption step from a wide short tube into a narrower and longer one [25].

The possible implementation of such a scheme of the preconcentration can be achieved by using adsorbents with magnetic properties [26,27]. The application of various advanced magnetic materials in SPE have been recently reported [28–30]. With magnetic adsorbents, the increase in the permeability of the sorption layer can be obtained by holding magnetic particles in a wide sorption tube without the supporting frit under the influence of a permanent magnet [31,32]. A similar principle has been recently reported [33].

The purpose of this work is to evaluate the analytical capabilities of the sorption concentration of VOCs in the analysis of air on carbon-containing magnetic sorbents by using a change in the configuration of the sorption layer during the transition from the sorption to desorption steps due to the transfer of the sorbent from a wide tube into one of a smaller diameter.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation and Characterization of Adsorbents

Four magnetic carbon-containing adsorbents were synthesized in this work. Solely magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and mixed zirconium/magnetite nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄ × ZrO₂) were used as a basis for the preparation of two types of adsorbents. The synthesis of Fe₃O₄ was carried out using a solution of iron (II) and iron (III) sulfates, as described in [34]. For the synthesis of Fe₃O₄ × ZrO₂ nanoparticles, zirconium nitrate was added to the mixture. Precipitation was carried out by gradually changing the pH with the addition of a solution containing a mixture of ammonium hydroxide and ammonium citrate.

Carbon-containing adsorbents of the first type were obtained by the pyrolysis of ethanol vapors from a hydrogen flow onto the surface of magnetite (Fe₃O₄) at temperatures of 500 °C (adsorbent I) and 600 °C (adsorbent II). Adsorbents of the second type were obtained by the pyrolysis of vapors of propargyl alcohol at a temperature of 800 °C from a nitrogen flow onto the surface of Fe₃O₄ (adsorbent III) and Fe₃O₄ × ZrO₂ (adsorbent IV). The duration of the pyrolysis for the first and second types of adsorbents was 30 min and 2 h, correspondingly. The pyrolysis was carried out in a quartz reactor in a vertical position by using the fluidized bed mode.

The morphology of the surface of the synthesized sorbents was checked by using scanning electron microscopy. The values of specific surface area (S_{sp}), carbon content (C, %), magnetic properties (saturation magnetization, I_s), and hydrophilicity (G, %) were measured and used for the comparison of the prepared adsorbents. The specific surface area was measured by the low temperature adsorption of nitrogen (BET method) method [35]. The single point version of this method described by Klyachko-Gurvich [36] was used in
this case. Before the measurements, all samples were carefully degassed in a vacuum at 300 °C for 10 h to remove the adsorbed gases and traces of water. The magnetic properties of the studied sorbents were evaluated by the magnitude of the saturation magnetization $I_s$, which was measured using a highly sensitive vibration magnetometer. The carbon content in the adsorbents was measured by differential thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). To evaluate the hydrophilicity, which affects the accuracy of the wet gas analysis, the increase in the mass of the predegassed adsorbent at 190 °C was measured after its saturation with water by passing a flow of nitrogen with a relative humidity of 99% at (22 ± 1 °C). A flow of nitrogen having a specified humidity was obtained by bubbling through a 1% aqueous NaCl solution. The hydrophilicity ($G$) of the adsorbents was calculated by the formula:

$$G = \frac{m - m_0}{m_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $m$ and $m_0$ are the masses of the adsorbent before and after saturation with moistened air, respectively.

2.2. Processing Model Gas Mixtures

Model gaseous mixtures with a constant concentration of analytes of 50 mg/m$^3$ were used for the evaluation of the adsorption properties of the prepared adsorbents. These mixtures were prepared by flowing air through aqueous solutions with a preset concentration of analytes according to procedure [37]. It was found that the equilibrium distribution of the model compounds between the aqueous and gaseous phases and the constancy of their concentrations in the saturated gaseous phase were attainable. One can infer these conditions from the constancy of the analyte’s concentration in the flow of the model gas mixtures formed at various air flow rates. Values of the analyte’s distribution coefficients between aqueous and gaseous phases were determined as previously described [38].

The concentration of the analyte in the resulting gas mixture ($C_G$) is directly proportional to its concentration in the solution ($C_L$) according to the following expression:

$$C_G = \frac{C_L}{K}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $K$ is the distribution coefficient in the liquid–gas system.

2.3. Evaluation of the Adsorption Efficacy

For the evaluation of the adsorption efficacy, the model gas mixtures of the analytes were passed at flow rate $W_G$ through tubes packed with known amounts of the adsorbents. The completeness of the adsorption process was monitored by the GC analysis of the gas effluent at the tube outlet. Then, the retention curves were plotted as dependencies of $C/C_0$ from $V$, where $C$ and $C_0$ are concentrations of the test substances in the gas phase detected at the column outlet and inlet, correspondingly, and $V$ is a volume of the model gas mixture passed through the column. From these curves, the breakthrough volume ($V_B$) and the retention volume ($V_R$) were determined for each test substance. The $V_B$ value was measured as the volume of the gas mixture passed through the column to condition $C/C_0 = 0.05$. The $V_B$ value was equal to the gas mixture volume passed to $C/C_0 = 0.5$ reached. The number of theoretical plates ($N$) can be calculated from these dependencies according to the formula valid for frontal chromatography [39]:

$$N = \frac{V_R^2}{(V_R - V_{0.16})^2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $V_R$—retention volume and $V_{0.16}$—the volume of the gas phase passed through the column until the ratio $C/C_0 = 0.16$. HETP values were calculated from the relationship:

$$H = l/N,$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)
where $l$ is column length. All sorption columns used for comparison had different lengths and diameters, but the same internal volume contained equal amounts of adsorbent. The parameters of the columns are presented in Table 1. Tube 1 is the standard one supplied with a thermal desorber TDS-1 [10]. The other tubes had the same volumes as tube 1, but 2, 6.5, and 12 times larger cross-sectional areas, respectively, than tube 1.

Table 1. Geometric parameters of the columns. ($r$—radius; $S$—cross-sectional area; $V$—internal volume).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorption Tube Number</th>
<th>$r$, cm</th>
<th>$S$, cm$^2$</th>
<th>$l$, cm</th>
<th>$V$, cm$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Instrumentation

The specific surface area was measured by a single-point method, as described by Klyachko-Gurvich [30]. The investigation of the surface morphology was performed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with the Zeiss Supra 40 VP instrument. A highly sensitive vibrating magnetometer, the Lake Shore 7410, was used for the measurement of the saturation magnetization of the adsorbents. The carbon content in the prepared sorbents was determined with the TGA analyzer SETSYS Evolution 16 (Setaram, Lion, France) by heating the adsorbents in the air at 900 °C. The GC chromatograph Crystal-5000.2 (Chromatec, Yoshkar-Ola, Russia), equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a capillary column ($10 \, \text{m} \times 0.53 \, \text{mm} \times 2.65 \, \mu\text{m}$) with a 100% dimethylpolysiloxane coating, was used for the determination of the test substances. The fine-tuning of the airflow during the preparation of the model gas mixtures was performed with a regulator Chromatec-Crystal FPG. Gas sampling was carried out with an automatic heated six-port switching valve. The one-step thermal desorber NDS-1 (Chromatec, Yoshkar-Ola, Russia) was used for the thermodesorption of the preconcentrated samples, with the device rendering the thermodesorption viable in the interval 150–400 °C with steps of 50 °C.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphology of Synthesized Sorbents

According to the SEM images, the prepared magnetic adsorbents have different surface morphologies. The presence of globular formations, characteristic of magnetite nanoparticles, and a small number of stretched nanostructures, attributed to CNTs, is noted on the surface of adsorbent I (Figure 1, left). The surface of adsorbent II contained CNTs of different diameters (Figure 1, right). The increase in temperature from 500 to 600 °C promotes the formation of carbon nanotubes.

Figure 1. SEM images of adsorbent I (left) and adsorbent II (right).
There are no globular formations characteristic of magnetite nanoparticles on the surface of adsorbent III, as well as no observed CNTs (Figure 2, left). It is assumed that the sintering of magnetite nanoparticles occurs at a temperature of 800 °C and leads to the formation of large aggregates covered with a layer of pyrocarbon. In the case of adsorbent IV, Fe₃O₄ × ZrO₂ particles underwent sintering to a lesser degree during carbon deposition as compared to Fe₃O₄ particles (Figure 2, right). This is because zirconium dioxide is an effective textural promoter preventing the sintering of adsorbents [40].

It should be noted that carbon deposition enlarges magnetic nanoparticles. This allows the use of the prepared adsorbents for the preconcentration of VOCs at relatively high flow rates of the analyzed air. The characteristics of the adsorbents are given in Table 2, also showing the characteristics of graphitized thermal carbon black adsorbents (Carbopacks) having a comparable specific surface area.

Table 2. Characteristics and sorption properties of the prepared magnetic adsorbents and Carbopacks. $S_{sp}$ is the specific surface area and $d_p$ is the particle size. EMF is an electromagnetic unit (1 emu = $10^{-3}$ $\text{A} \cdot \text{m}^2$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>$d_p$, $\mu$m</th>
<th>$S_{sp}$, m²/g</th>
<th>Carbon Content, %</th>
<th>Hydrophilicity, %</th>
<th>$I_s$, emf/g</th>
<th>Specific Retention Volume, dm³/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Butanol-1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>180–250</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>180–250</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbopack C</td>
<td>180–250</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbopack B</td>
<td>180–250</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbopack X</td>
<td>180–250</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Sorption, Magnetic, and Other Properties of the Studied Sorbents

As follows from Table 2, the prepared magnetic adsorbents have a high adsorption capacity to butanol-1 and phenol, which is comparable with that obtained for the Carbopacks. According to the van Deemter plots (see Figure 3), the prepared adsorbents demonstrated a better mass transfer ratio (lower slope, which is related to the $C$-term) at a high linear velocity of the mobile phase for phenol than common carbonaceous adsorbents. This makes possible an effective preconcentration in a shorter time with the composite adsorbents. It should be noted that van Deemter plots are obtained for adsorbents having the same particle size.
a high linear velocity of the mobile phase for phenol than common carbonaceous adsorbents. This makes possible an effective preconcentration in a shorter time with the composite adsorbents. It should be noted that van Deemter plots are obtained for adsorbents having the same particle size.

Figure 3. The dependence of the HETP of phenol on the linear velocity of air \( (u_G) \) through a tube filled with adsorbents of particle sizes of 0.18–0.25 mm. The concentration of phenol in the air is 50 mg/m³.

Based on the retention parameters obtained for butanol-1 and phenol (see Table 2), magnetic adsorbent II can be recommended for the preconcentration of alcohols. Magnetic adsorbent IV was selected for the preconcentration of phenols because of the incomplete thermal desorption of phenol from adsorbents I and II. The very low hydrophilicity is obtained for magnetic adsorbents III and IV, which is several times less than that of the other carbonaceous adsorbents used in this work.

The synthesized magnetic sorbents have a much higher saturation magnetization \( I_s \) as compared to composite magnetic sorbents based on bare and octadecylsilica, organopolymer, and other composite sorbents, where magnetite was used as a magnetic additive, but not as a basis [41]. A typical magnetization curve for adsorbent I is shown in Figure 4. The high saturation magnetization value of this adsorbent indicates the presence of pure iron impurities in it.

Due to their sufficiently strong magnetic properties, the particles of all synthesized sorbents can be held under the influence of a permanent magnet in a vertical or horizontal position in glass sorption tubes of an internal diameter of up to 10 mm without any supporting frits (Figure 5). However, the magnet must provide a magnetic field strength of at least \( 10^3 \) Oersted. No loss of adsorbent from the adsorbent layer retained by a permanent magnet was noted during multiple experiments with sorption tubes of different diameters.

3.3. Preconcentration by Using the Configuration Change of the Magnetic Sorbent Bed

The absence of a frit resulted in a significant increase in the permeability of the sorption tubes at the preconcentration and simplified the configuration changes in the sorption layer during the transition from the sorption to thermal desorption steps (see Figure 5). At the sorption stage, the flow of analyzed air (1) created by the electric aspirator (4) passes through the wide sorption tube (2) where the magnetic adsorbent retained by the permanent magnet (3) (Figure 5).
Figure 4. Sorbent magnetization curve obtained for adsorbent I. $H_c$—coercive force; $I_r$—residual magnetization; $I_s$—saturation magnetization.

The use of a wide tube without any frits allows the increase in the flow rate of the analyzed air up to 1 L/min and thereby dramatically decreases the time required for the quantitative preconcentration of analytes. For the tube with a relatively big inner diameter of 9 mm (tube 4 in Table 1), the linear velocity of the analyzed air can be as high as 30 cm/s. At the end of sorption, the sorption tube (2) is disconnected from the electric aspirator and connected to a narrow thermodesorption tube (6) via an adapter (5) (see Figure 5). Then, the magnet is moved out the tube (2), and the adsorbent particles drop from the wide tube through the adapter into the narrow thermodesorption tube under the gravity force. A frit (10) is initially placed at the bottom of a narrow tube to keep the adsorbent. Another frit is installed at the top of the tube after the transfer of all volumes of the adsorbent. After that, the narrow tube is immediately placed in a gastight container or in a thermal desorber to prevent the possible loss of analytes. The thermal desorption includes heating the tube to a temperature of 250 °C, keeping it for 1 min at this temperature, then the desorbed analytes are displaced into the evaporator (injector) of the GC instrument (9) and undergo analysis in a carrier gas flow (7).

To evaluate the analytical capabilities of the developed scheme, the efficiency of the sorption columns filled with the same volume of adsorbent, but of different internal diameters (ID) and lengths, was compared. Theoretically, an increase in the column ID should result in an elevated role of the “wall” effect in band-broadening for the separated components [42]. In this case [43], the effect of the tube radius $r$ on the HETP value ($H$) can be expressed by the van Deemter equation, as follows:

$$H = A + B/u + (C_1 + C_2 + C_3r^2)u$$

(5)
where \( A \) is the eddy diffusion term; \( B \) is the longitudinal diffusion term; \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are the internal and external resistance to mass transfer coefficients, respectively; \( C_3 \) reflects the contribution of the wall effect; \( u \) is the linear velocity of the mobile phase.

The influence of \( r \) on the HETP value is not obvious, since an increase in the column diameter increases not only the wall effect contribution but also decreases the linear velocity \( u_G \) of the mobile phase at a constant value of the flow rate \( (W_G) \) according to the following formula:

\[
u_G = \frac{W_G}{(\pi r^2 \alpha_G)}
\]

where \( \alpha_G \) is the fraction of the mobile phase in the total volume of the column.

The positive effect of increasing the radius of the sorption tube should be more profound at high flow rates. Figure 6 shows the set of dependencies of the HETP from a flow rate of the model gas mixture (MGM) obtained for the sorption tubes of different diameters (see Table 1) filled with adsorbent IV.

### 3.4. Advantages of the Proposed Preconcentration Scheme

As expected, there was a significant decrease in the \( C \)-term values in the van Deemter Equation (5), meaning that the increase in the mass transfer ratio is noted for the wider columns at high flow rates \((W_G > 150 \text{ mL/min})\), which make their use favorable for the preconcentration. However, using the wider sorption tubes does not guarantee an increase in the NTT number (see Equation (5)), as the tube length \((l)\) decreases with an increase in the internal diameter for the column of a constant volume.

The main concentration indicator is the concentration coefficient \((K_{con})\), which is equal to the ratio of the analyte concentrations in the concentrate and the initial sample [44]. In the case of the dynamic sorption concentration, the sample volume is equal to the breakthrough...
volume \((V_B)\), and the volume of the adsorbent \((V_S)\) is accepted as the concentrate volume. Thus, the concentration coefficient can be expressed as follows:

\[
K_{\text{con}} = R \frac{V_B}{V_S}
\]  

(7)

where \(R\) is the extraction degree of the extraction of the selected analyte \((R \approx 1)\). The breakthrough volume is proportional to the volume of the adsorbent in a column, but its exact value is a complex function of the NTT, and decreases with a decrease of \(\sqrt{N}\) [45].

![Figure 6. Dependences of HETP for phenol on the flow rate \((W_G)\) of the model gas mixture through tubes with different radii. Adsorbent IV with particles 0.18–0.25 mm was used as packing.](image)

The preconcentration rate \(E\) is another important indicator of the preconcentration. The \(E\) value reflects not only the concentration coefficient \(K_{\text{con}}\), but also the time \(t\) required for the preconcentration:

\[
E = \frac{K_{\text{con}}}{t}.
\]  

(8)

Considering that \(V_B = W_G \times t\), and combining (7) and (8), the well-known [46] expression can be obtained:

\[
E = \frac{R W_G}{V_S}
\]  

(9)

Expression (9) shows the possibility of an increase in the preconcentration rate by increasing the flow rate of the analyzed air through the sorption tube. This possibility can be realized by using wider columns of shorter lengths at the sorption stage.

The main parameters for the preconcentration of butanol-1 and phenol using tubes of different geometric dimensions are in Table 3. The maximum possible flow rates (50–100 mL/min) of the analyzed air used for tubes 1 and 2 is limited by a pressure drop of 30 kPa (0.3 atm) provided by an electric aspirator. In the case of tube 4, the flow rate can be increased up to 1000 mL/min and, consequently, the concentration rate \(E\) can be
increased 10–20 times as compared to tube 1. It has been shown earlier [25] that the transfer of adsorbent particles from the preconcentration tube to the thermodesorption tube does not affect the analysis. The volume of analyzed air passed through the sorption tube was 1.5–2 times less than the breakthrough volume of the least-retained analyte, so the results obtained with and without frits were practically the same.

### Table 3. Preconcentration parameters of butanol-1 and phenol from the air flow achieved with tubes of different geometries. Concentrations of analytes—1 mg/m$^3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component, Sorbent</th>
<th>Column (Number, Size)</th>
<th>$W_G$, mL/min</th>
<th>$u_G$, cm/s</th>
<th>$V_B$, L</th>
<th>$K_{con}$</th>
<th>$t$, min</th>
<th>$E$, min$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butanol-1, adsorbent II</td>
<td>1; (10.2 × 0.26) cm</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.2 × 10$^4$</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2; (5.4 × 0.36) cm</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>2.1 × 10$^4$</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3; (1.6 × 0.66) cm</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.9 × 10$^4$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4; (0.88 × 0.9) cm</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.4 × 10$^4$</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol, adsorbent IV</td>
<td>1; (10.2 × 0.26) cm</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.5 × 10$^3$</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2; (5.4 × 0.36) cm</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>3.6 × 10$^3$</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3; (1.6 × 0.66) cm</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>3.2 × 10$^3$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4; (0.88 × 0.9) cm</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>3.0 × 10$^3$</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5. Thermal Desorption

The performance of the developed scheme also depends on the efficiency of thermal desorption. The influence of temperature, time, and the flow rate of the carrier gas on the efficiency of thermal desorption was investigated. A relatively high affinity of the prepared adsorbents to analytes allowed the use of the simplest single-step thermal desorption scheme, shown in Figure 7. The flow of carrier gas (1) goes into the GC instrument through a three-way switch valve (2). In the first position of the metering valve (solid line in Figure 7), the carrier gas enters directly into the evaporator of the injector (3). The adsorption tube (4) is installed in a thermal desorber (5) and heated to the selected temperature. The outlet needle of the thermal desorber (6) is inserted into the evaporator of the chromatograph (3), followed by the immediate switching of the valve (2) to the position indicated by the dotted line. In this case, the analytes are transferred by the carrier gas flow from the sorption tube (4) to the capillary column (8). For a more efficient GC separation, most of the carrier gas flow was split at a ratio of 1:3 by using a fine-tuning valve (7).

The quantitative (>97%) thermal desorption of butanol-1 and pentanol-1 from sorbent II can be achieved by heating the thermal desorption tube for 1 min at 200 °C and with a carrier gas flow of 10 mL/min. The use of lower temperatures or longer heating times and higher flow rates resulted in a broadening of the chromatographic peaks. Optimal conditions for the quantitative thermal desorption of phenol and cresol from adsorbent IV are the same except for using the higher temperature of 250 °C. The proposed thermal desorption scheme provides a good reproducibility of the results. The relative standard deviation ($S_r$) in a series of parallel definitions does not exceed 0.06 for the peak areas used as an analytical signal. Figure 8 shows a typical chromatogram obtained by the thermal desorption of phenols from magnetic adsorbent IV.

The proposed scheme with the change of the column configuration and novel magnetic adsorbents allows a $10^3$–$10^4$ times preconcentration of the analytes in 5–20 min, which provides their GC determination at the level of several µg/m$^3$. The advantages of the new approach over a traditional preconcentration scheme for the determination of analytes in model gas mixtures are summarized in Table 4.
The quantitative (>97%) thermal desorption of butanol-1 and pentanol-1 from sorbent II can be achieved by heating the thermal desorption tube for 1 min at 200 °C and with a carrier gas flow of 10 mL/min. The use of lower temperatures or longer heating times and higher flow rates resulted in a broadening of the chromatographic peaks.

Optimal conditions for the quantitative thermal desorption of phenol and cresol from adsorbent IV are the same except for using the higher temperature of 250 °C. The proposed thermal desorption scheme provides a good reproducibility of the results. The relative standard deviation ($\sigma_r$) in a series of parallel definitions does not exceed 0.06 for the peak areas used as an analytical signal. Figure 8 shows a typical chromatogram obtained by the thermal desorption of phenols from magnetic adsorbent IV.

The new magnetic adsorbents have a high adsorption capacity to alcohols and phenols, which is comparable with traditional adsorbents having similar specific surface areas. Due to the magnetic properties, the particles of the developed sorbents are retained in a wide tube without supporting frits under the action of a permanent magnet. A relatively large diameter of the sorption tube and the absence of frits make it possible to pass the analyzed air at a higher flow rate due to the decreased resistance to the flow. As a result, the reduction in the time required for the quantitative adsorption of analytes was decreased several times.
Figure 8. Chromatogram of phenols after preconcentration from model gas mixture containing 100 μg/m³ of analytes. The conditions of thermal desorption are indicated in the text. 1—phenol; 2—o-cresol. Fused-silica column BPX-1 (10 m × 0.53 mm × 2.65 μm) with a 100% dimethylpolysiloxane coating.

The achieved recovery of alcohols and phenols obtained with magnetic adsorbents and the proposed change in the configuration of the sorption and thermodesorption tubes was above 91%, except for phenol. A recovery of 83% obtained for phenol cannot be considered as very low due to the quite high uncertainty of the method. For example, the results obtained with classic sorption tubes packed with Carbopack B for the concentration. For the determination of hexane with three parallel measurements, the standard uncertainty documented by ISO is 16.8% [47]. The degree of extraction at the level of 80% is also common when magnetic sorbents are used for the preconcentration in the analysis of environmental objects, including atmospheric air [48].

The stability of the adsorbents recommended for the analysis of alcohols and phenols has been checked by their repetitive use. The adsorbent II can be used multiple times without losing adsorption properties during the thermodesorption of butanol-1 at 200 °C. However, a decrease in the sorption capacity by approximately 20–25 percent was found for adsorbent IV every time after the thermal desorption of phenol at 250 °C as compared to the previous preconcentration. Probably, it is connected with the contamination of this adsorbent by the oxidation products of phenol.

4. Conclusions

Thus, the adsorption properties of the new composite carbonaceous adsorbents based on magnetite nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄) and magnetite nanoparticles with a core of zirconium...
oxide (Fe₃O₄ × ZrO₂) coated with a layer of pyrocarbon have been investigated. The efficiency of these adsorbents obtained for the dynamic preconcentration of alcohols and phenols from the airflow is not worse as compared with the Carbopacks. The magnetic properties of the prepared adsorbents allow their use in filterless columns of varied geometrical configurations with adsorbents retained by a permanent magnet. This advantage was used for the optimization of the preconcentration scheme when adsorption occurs in a wide tube with a thin layer of adsorbent at higher flow rates of the analyzed gas followed by a simple adsorbent transfer into a narrow tube, which is better suited for thermal desorption. The proposed scheme reduces the duration of the sorption preconcentration step by 10 times as compared to traditional methods. The preconcentration analytes of 10³–10⁴ times can be achieved in 5–20 min, allowing for the detection of analytes in gases at the ppb level.
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