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Abstract: Despite significant advances in pharmacological treatment over the last few decades, COPD remains a heavy burden on the health systems around the world, affecting approximately 210 million people, with elevated morbimortality and socioeconomic impact. Barriers to healthcare access were even more evident during the coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic and increased patients’ vulnerability to physical deconditioning, depression, and social isolation. Home-based respiratory care in patients with COPD provides a valuable contribution to effective disease management, with potential advantages for monitoring, treatment adherence, and cost reduction. Technological innovation allows clinical markers of interest, such as respiratory frequency, pulmonary function, and oxygen saturation, to be tracked remotely from the patients’ homes, providing a better understanding of their real needs. Home-based telerehabilitation can also be a viable alternative to hospital-based programs. Here, we highlight the full extent of health benefits of HRC in COPD, particularly for patients with a higher risk of exacerbations, multiple comorbidities, and limited access to health services.
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1. Introduction

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a multisystem inflammatory disorder intimately related to cigarette smoking, occupational factors, and/or biomass combustion, which alters respiratory mechanics and gas exchange [1]. Despite significant advances in pharmacological treatment over the last few decades, COPD remains a heavy burden on the world’s health systems, affecting approximately 210 million people, with elevated morbimortality and socioeconomic impact [2]. Management of chronic fatigue, poor exercise tolerance, and depression are often inadequately addressed in COPD [3]. Barriers to accessing medical centers, such as urban disparities, socioeconomic determinants, mobility limitation, and disease severity, contribute to suboptimal clinical management, particularly in rural settings [4,5]. Patients with underlying COPD infected by SARS-CoV-2 are most likely to worsen the progression of the disease, and strong efforts should be directed to avoid COVID-19 infection in this population [6]. In this complex scenario, home-based respiratory care (HRC) plays a crucial role in effective disease management, with potential advantages for monitoring, treatment adherence, and cost reduction.

2. Home-Based Respiratory Care

Home-based programs promote easier access to pulmonary rehabilitation, better patient monitoring, and early recognition of acute exacerbations [5]. Ideally, screening patients for HRC should depend on a predefined risk management strategy. Identifying risk factors of COPD, poor outcomes, vulnerable populations, and impaired mobility favors inclusion in HRC programs. This strategy aims to implement preventative health actions in high-risk populations to identify, control, or eliminate related dysfunctions [7].
to the multicausality of acute worsening of respiratory symptoms, one of the significant barriers to the effective management of acute exacerbations in COPD is the lack of reliable predictive biomarkers. However, validated models and risk scales are likely to contribute to predicting the risk of acute exacerbations [8]. Therefore, HRC should be available to provide the full extent of health benefits and well-being, particularly to patients with a higher risk of exacerbations, multiple comorbidities, and limited access to health services.

3. Pharmacological Treatment

Adherence to inhaled therapy is strongly associated with mortality and hospital admission due to exacerbations of COPD [9]. Adherence evaluation based on counting remaining doses in the returned inhalers is not entirely reliable [10]. Regular monitoring of inhaler technique and treatment compliance during home respiratory follow-up might improve clinical outcomes.

Treatment decisions should be developed by taking into account patients’ individual preferences and current clinical guidelines. Pharmacological treatment can reduce symptoms, reduce the frequency and severity of exacerbations, and improve quality of life and exercise tolerance [2].

4. Smoking Cessation

A significant proportion of patients keep smoking after COPD diagnosis [2]. Increasing patients’ knowledge about the disease is a significant goal in HRC and might contribute to smoking cessation. A tobacco cessation support program can achieve better results in the home scenario with increased risk perception and multidisciplinary care. A comprehensive approach to smoking cessation is individualized and includes behavioral interventions, accounting for smoking motivation, the environment in which smoking occurs, available resources to quit, and individual preferences [11]. Motivational Enhancement and carbon dioxide feedback delivered by home health care nurses resulted in more quit attempts and significantly more significant reductions in the number of cigarettes smoked per day through a 12 month follow-up [12].

5. Pulmonary Rehabilitation

Despite its undoubted benefits for COPD treatment at different severity levels, pulmonary rehabilitation continues to be underused due to limited access to rehabilitation centers and specialized multidisciplinary teams [13]. Home-based pulmonary rehabilitation (HPR) can be a viable alternative to provide equal access to this therapeutic strategy and increase rehabilitation adherence in patients with reduced mobility and advanced phases of the disease [14]. However, data concerning its efficacy and safety are currently limited to studies involving heterogeneous samples with few participants. In a Spanish study involving 60 patients with moderate COPD, a 12-week-long HPR program significantly improved tolerance to exercise, dyspnea, and life quality [15]. A recent systematic review, including twelve randomized controlled trials and two comparative observational studies, showed comparable effectiveness of HPR and usual pulmonary rehabilitation for safety, quality of life, and exercise capacity for patients with the chronic obstructive respiratory disease [16].

Aerobic respiratory exercise at home can alleviate the effects of dynamic hyperinflation during exercise by improving inspiratory capacity and respiratory muscle function [17]. HPR also contributes to greater long-term cardiorespiratory endurance. However, the benefits of exercise capacity and life quality are multifactorial and cannot be attributed exclusively to improved pulmonary function and respiratory muscle training.

Access barriers to pulmonary rehabilitation centers were even more evident during the coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic. The unprecedented worldwide spread of the virus has quickly turned COVID-19 into a critical global public health problem and increased patients’ vulnerability to physical deconditioning, depression, and social isolation [18]. This scenario has significantly impacted traditional modes of pulmonary
rehabilitation. As most COPD patients are notably susceptible to severe complications of COVID-19, the pandemic has fastened the debate on the implementation and delivery of HPR [19].

A successful HPR should be matched to principles of exercise training and education provision to improve patient outcomes. Nonetheless, telehealth rehabilitation requires some adjustments regarding access and use of technology, available space, adequate training and resources for health professionals, and patient individualization of care [20]. HPR may be an effective, lower-cost alternative for delivering pulmonary rehabilitation for COPD patients.

6. Long-Term Non-Invasive Ventilation

Long-term home non-invasive ventilation (LTH-NIV) is an established intervention to improve the prognosis of COPD patients with hypercapnia and chronic respiratory failure [21]. Domiciliary non-invasive ventilation is widely used to correct CO2 levels in stable hypercapnic, reduce hospital admissions and improve quality of life [22].

Severe COPD patients may remain with a PaCO2 ≥ 55 mmHg after hospital discharge following a life-threatening exacerbation. Although LTH-NIV was not associated with increased survival, it may improve dyspnea scores and reduce subsequent exacerbations and rehospitalization after an acute acidotic respiratory failure [23]. A conditional recommendation for targeted reduction of PaCO2 in hypercapnic patients was proposed by the European Respiratory Society (ERS) task force under low certainty of evidence-driven by minimal potential harms of this intervention [22,24].

7. Long-Term Oxygen Therapy

According to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) report, supplemental oxygen is indicated for stable patients with arterial hypoxemia, defined as PaO2 at or below 55 mmHg or SaO2 at or below 88%. Long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT) should also be prescribed to patients with pulmonary hypertension, peripheral edema suggesting congestive heart failure, or polycythemia (hematocrit > 55%) and PaO2 between 55–60 mmHg or SaO2 of 88% [2]. Oxygen levels should be monitored after 2 or 3 months to define whether LTOT will still be indicated.

Oxygen levels can vary significantly during the nocturnal period and daily activities. Continuous SpO2 measurements with wearable finger pulse oximeters may help identify SpO2 fluctuations in COPD subjects with unexplained dyspnea or severe exercise intolerance [25].

8. Exacerbations

Avoiding exacerbations has significant prognostic implications on health status and is one of the main goals in COPD treatment [2]. A recent multicentric study involving 1150 patients demonstrated the benefits of HRC as an independent predictor for reducing severe exacerbations, hospitalizations, and emergency room visits, to a magnitude of 48%, 36%, and 88%, respectively [26]. Home-based maintenance telerehabilitation consisted of an individualized action plan, physical exercise sessions, and psychological and dietary support during 144 sessions over 12 months. In this study, HRC telemonitoring was considered as effective as hospital rehabilitation. The COPD Patient Management European Trial (COMET), conducted in 4 European countries, evaluated the impact of a comprehensive HRC program involving counseling sessions, a symptoms diary, pulmonary function monitoring, and oxygenation in stable COPD patients [27]. A reduction in mortality has been shown in patients with severe COPD, probably due to early detection and management of acute exacerbations; however, the study did not reach its primary endpoint of reducing hospitalizations over 12 months.

Acute exacerbation leading to hospitalization is associated with poor prognosis, elevated morbimortality, and risk of rehospitalization [2]. These patients usually experience a loss of independence in daily living after hospital discharge. McDowell and colleagues
studied the impact of a 5-week-HRC conducted by a nurse on 110 patients with moderate to severe COPD following an acute exacerbation after hospital discharge. Each patient received disease-specific education, including recognition of the signs and symptoms of exacerbation, advice on smoking cessation, and a review of self-management techniques. The intervention group showed a significant reduction in anxiety and a better understanding of the disease. Nonetheless, there was not a significant reduction in rehospitalizations [28].

9. Sociocultural Factors and Patient Education

The health-disease process involves biopsychosocial interactions with significant influence from the environment in which the individual is inserted [3]. An HRC program should be developed under the perspective of health beyond its biological character, considering social aspects and patient preferences. It is essential, therefore, to analyze the complex relationships among sociocultural factors, lifestyle, dietary patterns, and daily routines for a better understanding of the health inequities in COPD patients.

Patients must have adequate knowledge about the disease, the risk, and the benefits of treatment options and behavioral changes to ensure active engagement in health care [29]. Health coaching is a feasible way to promote patient education and counseling in a home-based respiratory care setting. A recent randomized controlled trial with 154 moderate to severe COPD patients showed a significant improvement in self-management abilities and a high degree of program acceptability [30].

10. Home Health-Monitoring Technologies

An approach toward a better experience during COPD treatment is critical to guarantee better results in HRC. In this context, biotechnology solutions and remote monitoring take on increasing importance to offer the patient personalized and multidimensional care (Figure 1). Home health monitoring of pulmonary function, oxygenation, physical activity levels, and exacerbations via applications and videoconferencing by trained teams has proven to be a valuable tool in managing COPD patients [31]. Furthermore, since 2019, many pulmonary rehabilitation programs have been suspended during periods of elevated risk of infection of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. According to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD), technological solutions, such as internet and cellphone applications, can be helpful in encouraging and stimulating patients under HPR during the pandemic [2].

Remote monitoring allows reaching population groups that are generally excluded due to access barriers and provide greater convenience, security, and service efficiency. However, economic evaluation of smart homes and home health monitoring technologies are still relatively rare, and the cost-effectiveness of remote monitoring strategies has yet to be validated by prospective studies [32,33].
11. Conclusions

Home respiratory care (HCR) represents a valuable tool for promoting personalized health care in patients with COPD. Technological innovation allows clinical markers of interest, such as respiratory frequency, pulmonary function, and oxygen saturation, to be tracked remotely from the patients’ homes, providing a better understanding of their real needs. Home-based telerehabilitation can also be a viable alternative to hospital-based programs, with the advantage of amplifying access to this therapeutic modality, especially in light of concerns regarding the biosecurity of patients during the pandemic.
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