Is the Bulbar Urethral Stricture a Single and Uniform Disease?


Abstract: Objectives: Proximal and distal bulbar urethral strictures (BUS) have different disease characteristics and require different treatment strategies despite being regarded as a single condition. To clarify the differences, we analyzed our database by distinguishing the two types of BUS.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the data of 196 patients with BUS who underwent urethroplasty at the National Defense Medical College (Japan) between August 2004 and March 2022. We divided patients into proximal (group 1) or distal (group 2) groups based on the stricture segment and compared patient background and surgical techniques for each group. We assessed whether the stricture segment was an independent predictive factor for substitution urethroplasty selection using multivariate logistic regression analysis. The recurrence rates were calculated and compared using the Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test, respectively.

Results: Patients in group 1 had a less frequent non-obliterated lumen (73% vs. 94%, \( p = 0.020 \)) and significantly shorter strictures (10 mm vs. 23 mm, \( p < 0.001 \)) more frequently caused by external traumas (47% vs. 26%, \( p = 0.010 \)) than those in group 2. Logistic regression analysis revealed that the stricture segment (distal) \( (p < 0.001) \), stricture length \( \geq 20 \text{ mm} \) \( (p < 0.001) \), \( \geq 2 \) prior transurethral procedures \( (p = 0.030) \), and a non-obliterated lumen \( (p = 0.020) \) were independent predictive factors for substitution urethroplasty. However, the recurrence rate \( (p = 0.18) \) did not significantly differ between the two groups.

Conclusions: Proximal and distal BUS have substantially different anatomical characteristics and etiologies and require different reconstructive techniques.

Keywords: surgery; diagnosis; etiology; urethral stricture

1. Introduction

Urethral stricture is typically caused by fibrosis or epithelial tissue and corpus spongiosum inflammation, causing urethral lumen narrowing. The bulbar urethra is the most frequent segment affected in male anterior urethral strictures [1–4].

Current guidelines for male urethral strictures recommend transurethral surgery for short (<2 cm) and treatment-naive bulbar urethral strictures (BUS) with little spongiosfibrosis or urethroplasty; however, there are minor variations [5–8]. Although these guidelines describe BUS as a single condition, some reconstruction experts have noticed a certain variability in the disease characteristics between proximal and distal BUS [9]. The evidence on this issue is scarce; thus, to fill the gap between clinical practice and evidence, we identified and investigated the disease characteristics of the proximal/distal BUS. Additionally, we investigated whether this distinction influenced the selection of surgical technique through a retrospective analysis of a database at a tertiary referral reconstructive urological center in Japan.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patient Selection

We retrospectively reviewed the data of 556 patients who were diagnosed with BUS by urethrography and subsequently underwent urethroplasty at our institution between August 2004 and March 2022. After classifying the patients according to the LSE (length, segment and etiology) classification [10] and excluding those with non-bulbar urethral strictures, multiple strictures, and incomplete data, a total of 196 were selected for further analysis. The patient selection process is illustrated in the supplementary file.

2.2. Patient Classification

T.T. conducted the evaluations of the stricture segment and length using the original images of retro- and antegrade urethrography in the proper position [1,2]. The bulbar urethra was defined as the urethral segment from a line between the inferior margins of the bilateral obturator foramina to the penoscrotal junction (PSJ). Strictures were categorized into proximal (group 1) and distal (group 2) BUS based on the stricture site relative to the midpoint of the bulbar urethra as evaluated in preoperative retrograde urethrography (RUG). Cases in which the stricture involved the distal bulbar urethra were categorized as distal BUS.

2.3. Surgical Technique

All urethroplasties were performed by HA or under the supervision of HA via the perineal approach in the lithotomy position under general anesthesia. The urethroplasty technique was determined by combining the preoperative RUG findings, and the stricture site was confirmed cystoscopically at the bulbar urethra exposure time. Theoretically, anastomotic urethroplasty, including non-transecting anastomotic urethroplasty (NTAU) [11] and excision and primary anastomosis (EPA) [12], was exclusively selected whenever tension-free anastomosis was feasible. Therefore, the bulbar urethra was fully mobilized from the bulbomembranous junction to the PSJ. The bilateral corpus cavernosum was separated in the midline when performing anastomotic urethroplasty to reduce tension at the anastomosis [13], if required. Until 2020, all cases eligible for anastomotic repair were treated with EPA. However, from 2020 onwards, the use of NTAU was prioritized for cases with less fibrosis, and EPA was chosen for traumatic strictures with dense spongiofibrosis. However, in cases in which tension-free anastomosis was not possible, an onlay augmentation urethroplasty (OA), such as dorsal, ventral, or dorsolateral onlay urethroplasty, was performed using an oral mucosa or penile skin graft. The final decision between anastomotic urethroplasty and substitution urethroplasty was made by assessing the feasibility of tension-free anastomosis between the intended sites of anastomosis before urethral transection or release. This evaluation occurred after sufficient urethral mobilization and, if necessary, following separation of the corpus cavernosum. In contrast, staged urethroplasty (SU) was performed for patients with long and obliterated bulbar strictures and dense spongiofibrosis.

2.4. Patient Follow-Up and Definition of Recurrence

All patients were followed up 3, 6, and 12 months after urethroplasty and annually thereafter; at every visit, they provided a validated patient-reported outcome measure for urethral stricture surgery [14] and were examined using uroflowmetry and postvoid residual urine volume estimation. Cystoscopy was performed when re-stricture was suspected owing to decreased urinary flow and/or worsening symptoms. Recurrence was defined as the requirement for additional treatments.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

For all statistical tests, $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. We compared patients’ age, prior urethroplasty, prior transurethral procedure, stricture length, patency of urethral lumen, body mass index (BMI), diabetes mellitus, disease etiology, and smoking habits
between the stricture segment groups. Continuous and categorical variables were compared using the Mann–Whitney U and chi-squared tests, respectively. Continuous variables are expressed as medians (interquartile range: IQR), whereas categorical variables are expressed as numbers (percentages).

To assess whether the stricture segment affected the selection of substitution urethroplasty, a multivariate logistic regression model with stepwise selection using Akaike’s information criteria was implemented. Based on clinical practice, the cut-off values of stricture length and BMI were 20 mm and 25 kg/m², respectively, whereas 53 years was the cut-off value for age, or the median age of this cohort. The recurrence rate was calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. The database was constructed in Excel 2016 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA), and analyses were performed using R version 4.1.2.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of Stricture Characteristics

The patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. Patients were categorized as group 1 (165, 84%) and group 2 (31, 16%). There were no statistically significant differences between groups in terms of age, prior transurethral procedures, and prior urethroplasty. The median stricture length of group 1 (10 mm, 7–15) was significantly shorter than that of group 2 (23 mm, 10–32) ($p < 0.001$), and stricture etiology was significantly different between groups ($p = 0.01$). The most common stricture etiologies were perineal trauma in group 1 (47%) and idiopathic etiologies in group 2 (35%). The urethral lumen at the stricture site was obliterated in 43 (27%) patients in group 1, which was significantly higher than that in group 2 (6.0%, $p = 0.02$).

Table 1. Patient characteristics of the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment Factors</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>165 (84)</td>
<td>31 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age y.o.</td>
<td>53 (39–64)</td>
<td>55 (43–70)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke none</td>
<td>60 (36)</td>
<td>11 (35)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM present</td>
<td>10 (6.1)</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m²</td>
<td>23 (22–25)</td>
<td>23 (21–26)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior urethroplasty ≥1</td>
<td>19 (12)</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricture length mm</td>
<td>10 (7–15)</td>
<td>23 (10–32)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumen non-obliterated</td>
<td>121 (73)</td>
<td>29 (94)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior transurethral procedure ≥2</td>
<td>71 (43)</td>
<td>18 (58)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>78 (47)</td>
<td>8 (26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>51 (31)</td>
<td>11 (35)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic</td>
<td>34 (21)</td>
<td>9 (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2 (1.0)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IQR: interquartile range; DM: diabetes mellitus; BMI: body mass index.

3.2. Comparison of Required Surgical Techniques and Outcomes

Table 2 presents the selected surgical procedures for groups 1 and 2. The ratio of patients who underwent substitution urethroplasty (OA and SU) (OA: 12%, SU 2.4%) was significantly lower in group 1 than in group 2 (OA 58%, SU 23%, $p < 0.0001$). Corporal splitting was carried out for 62% of group 1 patients and 3% of group 2 patients (<0.001).
Table 2. Comparison of surgical techniques, surgical duration, and bleeding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Unit/Category</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical technique</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Median/Number</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTAU</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporal splitting</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time</td>
<td>minute</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss</td>
<td>mL</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IQR: interquartile range; EPA: excision and primary anastomosis; NTAU: non-transecting anastomotic urethroplasty; OA: onlay augmentation; SU: staged urethroplasty. * Comparison between the groups in terms of anastomotic (EPA/NTAU) or substitution urethroplasty (OA/SU).

No significant difference in the operation time ($p = 0.19$) or amount of blood loss ($p = 0.67$) was observed between groups. A total of 179 (91%) patients were stricture-free at the median postoperative period of 69 months, and 17 patients (8.7%) experienced recurrence. The Kaplan–Meier curves of the stricture segments did not show any significant differences in stricture-free survival rates between groups ($p = 0.18$) (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier curves of group 1 (solid line) and group 2 (dashed line). No significant differences were observed in the recurrence-free survival values ($p = 0.18$).

3.3. Comparison of Stricture Lengths in Patients Treated with EPA or NTAU

The median stricture lengths of patients in groups 1 and 2 treated by EPA or NTAU were 10 mm (7.0–15.0) and 7.0 mm (6.25–9.25), respectively, which were not significantly different ($p = 0.098$). The maximum stricture lengths were 33 and 11 mm, respectively.

3.4. Predictive Factors for Substitution Urethroplasty

We further investigated whether the stricture segment was a predictor of the requirement for substitution urethroplasty (Table 3). In the multivariate logistic regression model, group 2 (OR: 19, 95% CI: 5.6–66, $p < 0.001$), stricture length longer than 20 mm (OR: 18, 95% CI: 6.1–54, $p < 0.001$), a prior history of transurethral procedure (OR 3.1, 95% CI 1.1–8.3,

$p = 0.03$), and non-obiterated urethral lumen (OR: 13, 95% CI: 1.5–100, $p = 0.02$) were independent predictors of the need for substitution urethroplasty.

Table 3. Results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis with stepwise selection using Akaike’s information criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Result</th>
<th>Final Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VIF</td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment group 2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior urethroplasty ≥1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumen non-obliterated</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior transurethral procedure ≥2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking present</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥53 y.o.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricture length &gt;20 mm</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt;25</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology non-traumatic</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: VIF: variance inflation factor; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; BMI: body mass index.

4. Discussion

In this retrospective study, we successfully confirmed the variability in disease characteristics between stricture segments in the bulbar urethra. Patients in group 1 had shorter and obliterated strictures, mostly caused by perineal traumas, than those in group 2. Furthermore, we demonstrated that group 2 was an independent factor for deciding surgical techniques, as well as stricture length. The proximal bulbar urethra has a thick blood-rich spongiosum [15], whereas the distal bulbar urethra is less mobile and has a limited blood supply. Therefore, the proximal bulbar urethra is more amenable to long excisional and anastomotic repair in clinical practice [16,17], owing to its mobility. Nevertheless, despite apparently different anatomical or surgical characteristics, the definitions of the proximal or distal bulbar urethra remain unclear. Terlecki et al. [18] defined the proximal bulbar urethra as the segment within 5 cm of the membranous urethra and the distal bulbar urethra as the adjacent segment extending to the penoscrotal junction. In the recently published LSE classification system, the bulbar urethra was divided into two parts; however, the definition was not disclosed, whereas our definition of dividing the bulbar urethra at the mid-point was straightforward and could account for individual differences.

The differences in characteristics between groups 1 and 2 can be partially explained by different etiologies, as approximately half of group 1 cases were caused by external traumas, which accounted for only 26% in group 2, in which idiopathic and iatrogenic etiologies were more common. These outcomes are consistent with those of a previous study [10]. For example, in straddle injuries, the bulbous urethra is aggressively pushed against the pubic bone, a mechanism that more frequently affects the proximal bulbar urethra, which may be explained by differences in etiology. Prior to the analysis, we hypothesized that traumatic strictures might influence the choice of treatment. However, traumatic strictures were eliminated in the middle step of the multivariate analysis and obliteration of the lumen was observed to be the significant factor. While these two factors appeared related, the variance inflation factor was not substantial. In this analysis, we believe that the risk of multicollinearity is low.

The most critical factor defining the urethroplasty technique for bulbar urethral stricture is the stricture length. Substitution urethroplasty is generally recommended for bulbar strictures longer than 20 mm because of the inevitable tension in the urethral anastomosis [5–8]. However, based on experience, reconstructive urologists acknowledge that stricture length is just one of the factors to determine whether substitution is required. The eligibility of group 1 for anastomotic urethroplasty is broader than that of group 2, and anastomotic urethroplasty can be used even for strictures longer than 20 mm in the proximal BUS. For example, Morey et al. reported that strictures of up to 5 cm in the proximal BUS might be treated with EPA in young men [9]. In contrast, those in the distal BUS reportedly require substitution procedures more frequently, even when adjusting the
stricture length to 2–5 cm [18], and are more vulnerable to recurrence. After classifying 2162 urethral strictures, Erickson et al. [10] observed that distal BUS was more likely to be treated by an OA compared with proximal BUS. Despite the lack of significant differences in the median stricture length between the groups in the present study, stricture length up to 33 mm in group 1 was treated using EPA, whereas 11 mm was the longest stricture length in group 2. In group 1, adequate urethral mobilization extended the available urethra, and corporal splitting further reduced the tension at the anastomotic site. Therefore, we speculate that the length limit for anastomotic repair in group 1 cannot be absolute and may depend on the individual anatomical condition. Additionally, to our knowledge, previous reports suggesting group 2 as an independent predictor of substitution urethroplasty using multivariate analysis are lacking, which highlights the novelty of our findings.

Nevertheless, the present study has some limitations. First, the study was retrospective in nature, and since our institution is the largest center in Japan by volume, some selection bias may exist. Additionally, patients in group 2, who were possible candidates for EPA, might have been treated elsewhere, whereas patients requiring challenging procedures were referred to our department. Furthermore, some techniques rarely performed by us can change the frequency of the surgical strategy. To reduce tension at the anastomotic site, corporal splitting was conducted in 62.42% of cases in group 1, whereas it was only performed in 3.23% of the cases in group 2, as this technique was unsuitable for group 2. Performing tunica albuginea plication (TAP) could have resulted in more patients from group 2 being treated using EPA [19]. Nevertheless, we avoid performing TAP routinely owing to its disadvantages, such as shortening the penile length and curving the penis upon erection. TAP is used only in rare patients who have lost erectile functions and are not concerned with aesthetics. However, this does not impact our conclusion as the requirements for such specialized techniques differed between groups 1 and 2.

Second, the effects of the stricture segment on prognosis remain unelucidated. As recurrence occurred only in 8.67% of patients, the statistical power was insufficient for analysis to detect the difference. The small sample size and the imbalance in the number of cases between groups 1 and 2 might impact the statistical power. However, it is worth noting that even in the original study of the LSE classification, a similar imbalance is observed (S1a: 1223, S1b: 282). This aspect itself could reflect the inherent differences between these two groups [10].

Third, the recurrence rate must be interpreted with caution. Given the significantly different backgrounds of groups 1 and 2, the observed differences in prognosis may stem from their distinct characteristics. This study has not provided evidence to establish that the location of the bulbar urethral stricture itself is an independent prognostic factor.

Consequently, studies with larger sample sizes must be conducted to determine whether the stricture segment independently affects the recurrence rate.

5. Conclusions

Groups 1 and 2 had substantially different disease characteristics in terms of anatomy, etiology, surgical technique. Distal BUS tend to have more complex underlying factors and often require the use of substitute tissues in treatment. Due to these considerations, a more cautious treatment approach is warranted.
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Abbreviations

LSE Length, segment, and etiology
BUS bulbar urethral strictures
NTAU non-transecting anastomotic urethroplasty
EPA excision and primary anastomosis
OA onlay augmentation urethroplasty
SU staged urethroplasty
BMI body mass index
IQR interquartile range
OR odds ratio
95% CI 95% confidence interval
TAP tunica albuginea plication
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