



Editorial

Advancing Qualitative Research: Insights from the 7th World Conference on Qualitative Research

Gianina-Estera Petre ^{1,*} and António Pedro Costa ² ¹ Theology-Social Work-Education Sciences Department, Adventus University, 077035 Cernica, Romania² Research Centre on Didactics and Technology in the Education of Trainers, Department of Education and Psychology, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal; apcosta@ua.pt

* Correspondence: gianina.petre@uadventus.ro

The 7th World Conference on Qualitative Research convened scholars, researchers, and practitioners across various domains to exchange insights into the wide-ranging utilisation of qualitative research. Within this distinctive *Social Sciences* Special Issue, readers will encounter invaluable suggestions on employing qualitative research methodologies within diverse cultural and environmental contexts. These directives not only aid researchers in adeptly tailoring their investigative approaches to varying settings, but also underscore the importance of employing digital tools and embracing stringent practices to uphold methodological credibility.

In the contemporary globalised landscape—the global village (McLuhan 1962)—societies must be able to effectively respond and adjust to perpetually evolving environments (Liu et al. 2023). Qualitative research presents a valuable avenue for acquiring insight into diverse cultural norms, equipping researchers with adequate methodologies and tools to navigate this dynamic world. Through thorough immersion in the research context (Creswell and Poth 2018), researchers can more efficiently collect, expand on, and utilise information, thus easily adapting to challenging environments. In today's international setting, researchers must establish connections with their global counterparts, aiming to augment knowledge, enrich understanding, and advocate for adopting optimal research practices, ultimately contributing to advancing both individuals and society.

Therefore, advancements in the domain of research methodology must be grounded in peer-to-peer interaction and collaboration, which encompasses diverse components such as formulating methodologies (Tracy 2013), identifying appropriate research settings and participants (Neale 2021), and selecting efficient data collection methods (Creswell and Creswell 2018; Creswell and Poth 2018). These initiatives aim to address research challenges effectively and foster advancements worldwide while acknowledging the importance of situating discoveries and methods within the framework of qualitative research.

Nind and Katramadou (2023) emphasise the positive impact of qualitative research in empowering individuals amidst adversities and stress how important it is for researchers to be well versed in these outcomes. One key advantage of qualitative research lies in its ability to offer a profound comprehension of the subject by clarifying diverse research designs (König et al. 2022). As Anderson et al. (2021) highlighted, qualitative investigation can empower women by providing them with local and global platforms to voice their experiences and viewpoints. Furthermore, a noteworthy aspect is the increasing integration of digital tools and platforms into qualitative research for data collection and analysis, which enables researchers to cultivate crucial skills relevant to the digital era (Dumitrica and Jarmula 2022). Additionally, qualitative researchers play a pivotal role in enhancing educational settings by examining participant input (Debnam et al. 2021), promoting a more profound understanding of ethical principles in research practices (Taquette and Borges da Matta Souza 2022), and fostering innovation within research endeavours (Opara et al. 2023).

This Special Issue presents papers delivered at the 7th World Conference on Qualitative Research (WCQR2023), held on 25–27 January 2023 in Algarve, Portugal (hybrid conference).



Citation: Petre, Gianina-Estera, and António Pedro Costa. 2024.

Advancing Qualitative Research: Insights from the 7th World Conference on Qualitative Research. *Social Sciences* 13: 68. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci13010068>

Received: 12 January 2024

Accepted: 16 January 2024

Published: 22 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The WCQR2023 was organised by the ESEC of the University of Algarve and Ludomedia of Portugal, with collaboration/sponsorship from multiple universities, research institutes, and companies, such as the CIDTFF, University of Aveiro; University of Alberta; NCRM; AQRA; Adventus University; and the Nursing Research, Innovation and Development Centre, Lisbon (ESEL). Other partners included VESIM, Society of Qualitative Studies and Research, CIAIQ, Action Research Network, Global CAR, Atlas.ti, Timberlake, and DiscoverText.

The conference's main topic was qualitative research, focusing on methodological considerations and how they relate to research questions, concepts, and findings. Encompassing four main application fields—Education, Health, Social Sciences, and Engineering and Technology—the WCQR2023 covered seven core areas: Rationale and Paradigms of Qualitative Research (theoretical studies, critical reflections on epistemological, ontological, and axiological dimensions); Systematisation of Approaches to Qualitative Studies (literature reviews, integrating results, aggregation studies, meta-analyses, meta-syntheses, meta-ethnographies); Qualitative and Mixed-Methods Research (with an emphasis on research processes that build on mixed methodologies, prioritising qualitative approaches); Data Analysis Types (content analysis, discourse analysis, thematic analysis, narrative analysis, etc.); Innovative Processes of Qualitative Data Analysis (design analysis, articulation, and triangulation of different sources of data, such as images, audio, and video); Qualitative Research in Web Contexts (e-research, virtual ethnography, interaction analysis, Internet corpora, etc.); and Qualitative Analysis with the Support of Specific Software (usability studies, user experience, the impact of software on the quality of research and analysis). With each article carefully reviewed by at least three independent reviewers, thirteen high-quality works (of nineteen invited articles) from the WCQR were selected for this publication. They bring together thirty-eight (38) authors from nine (9) countries, namely Chile, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Poland, Portugal, South Africa, Spain and the United Kingdom.

Special thanks go to Conceição Ferreira, Jaime Ribeiro, Fábio Freitas, Hugo Mártires, Mfanelo Ntsohi, Marisa Mártires, Grzegorz Bryda, King Costa, Elizabeth Pope, and Sónia Mendes for their careful and dedicated work on this publication, including financial matters, local logistics, promotion, and scientific supervision. We also thank the other reviewers and all members of the WCQR Programme Committee, whose contributions ensured the excellent calibre of scholarship at this event, as well as the writers and attendees whose work and presence made this event possible. Lastly, we would like to thank the *Social Sciences* editorial staff for their help in producing this Special Issue.

Funding: The work of the second author is funded by national funds through FCT—Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portugal), under the Scientific Employment Stimulus—Institutional Call—[CDL-CTTRI-248-SGRH/2022] and the CIDTFF (projects UIDB/00194/2020 and UIDP/00194/2020).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Anderson, C. Leigh, Travis W. Reynolds, Pierre Biscaye, Vedavati Patwardhan, and Carly Schmidt. 2021. Economic Benefits of Empowering Women in Agriculture: Assumptions and Evidence. *The Journal of Development Studies* 57: 193–208. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Creswell, John Ward, and Cheryl Poth. 2018. *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design. Choosing among Five Approaches*, 4th ed. Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Creswell, John Ward, and John David Creswell. 2018. *Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative & Mixed Methods Approaches*, 5th ed. Melbourne: SAGE.
- Debnam, Katrina, Kelly Edwards, and Dewey Cornell. 2021. Improving the School Environment: School Staff Perceptions of School Climate Data and Reporting Practices. *Journal of Community Psychology* 49: 1965–82. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Dumitrica, Delia, and Paulina Jarmula. 2022. Teaching Qualitative Research Methods in Media and Communication: The Benefits and Limitations of Digital Learning Objects. *The Qualitative Report* 27: 1934–51. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- König, Johannes, Rossella Santagata, Thorsten Scheiner, Ann-Kristin Adleff, Xinrong Yang, and Gabriele Kaiser. 2022. Teacher Noticing: A Systematic Literature Review of Conceptualizations, Research Designs, and Findings on Learning to Notice. *Educational Research Review* 36: 100453. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

- Liu, Ming, Haomin Wu, Bingxuan Lin, and Jingxia Zhang. 2023. A Small Global Village: The Effects of Collectivist, Tight and Confucian Cultures on the Spread of COVID-19. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 10: 789. [[CrossRef](#)]
- McLuhan, Marshall. 1962. *The Gutenberg Galaxy*. Toronto: University of Toronto.
- Neale, Bren. 2021. *The Craft of Qualitative Longitudinal Research*. Melbourne: SAGE.
- Nind, Melanie, and Angeliki Katramadou. 2023. Lessons for teaching social science research methods in higher education: Synthesis of the literature 2014–2020. *British Journal of Educational Studies* 71: 241–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Opara, Victoria, Sabrina Spangsdorf, and Michelle Kim Ryan. 2023. Reflecting on the Use of Google Docs for Online Interviews: Innovation in Qualitative Data Collection. *Qualitative Research* 23: 561–78. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Taquette, Stella Regina, and Luciana Maria Borges da Matta Souza. 2022. Ethical Dilemmas in Qualitative Research: A Critical Literature Review. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 21: 160940692210787. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Tracy, Sarah J. 2013. Qualitative Research Methods. In *Collecting Evidence, Crafting Analysis, Communicating Impact*. Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.