



Article Iron-Containing Nickel Cobalt Sulfides, Selenides, and Sulfoselenides as Active and Stable Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction in an Alkaline Solution

Soheil Abdpour, Lars Rademacher, Marcus N. A. Fetzer, Thi Hai Yen Beglau and Christoph Janiak *🕩

Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 40204 Düsseldorf, Germany; soheil.abdpour@hhu.de (S.A.); larad101@hhu.de (L.R.); marcus.fetzer@uni-duesseldorf.de (M.N.A.F.); beglau@uni-duesseldorf.de (T.H.Y.B.) * Correspondence: janiak@hhu.de

Abstract: Iron-containing nickel sulfides, selenides, and sulfoselenides were synthesized via a simple two-step hydrothermal reaction (temperature $\leq 160 \,^{\circ}$ C) for their application as electrocatalysts in the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) in an alkaline solution (1 mol L^{-1} KOH). The study demonstrated that iron-containing nickel cobalt sulfides and selenides exhibit superior OER performance with lower overpotentials compared to iron-free nickel cobalt sulfide and selenide, which highlights the significant role of iron in enhancing OER nickel cobalt electrocatalysts: Fe0.1Ni1.4Co2.9(S0.87O0.13)4, $\eta_{50} = 318 \text{ mV}; \text{ Fe}_{0.2}\text{Ni}_{1.5}\text{Co}_{2.8}(\text{S}_{0.9}\text{O}_{0.1})_4, \\ \eta_{50} = 310 \text{ mV}; \text{ Fe}_{0.3}\text{Ni}_{1.2}\text{Co}_{2.5}(\text{S}_{0.9}\text{O}_{0.1})_4, \\ \eta_{50} = 294 \text{ mV}; \\ \eta_{50} = 294 \text{$ $Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})_4, \eta_{50} = 294 \text{ mV}; Fe_{0.4}Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.6}(Se_{0.81}O_{0.19})_4, \eta_{50} = 306 \text{ mV}$ compared to $Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$, $\eta_{50} = 346$ mV; and $Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.4}(Se_{0.85}O_{0.15})_4$, $\eta_{50} = 355$ mV (all values at current densities η_{50} of 50 mA cm⁻²). Furthermore, the iron-containing nickel cobalt sulfoselenide $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ displayed exceptional OER performance with $\eta_{50} = 277$ mV, surpassing the benchmark RuO₂ electrode with η_{50} = 299 mV. The superior performance of the sulfoselenide was attributed to its low charge transfer resistance (Rct) of 0.8 Ω at 1.5 V vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). Moreover, the sulfoselenide demonstrated remarkable stability, with only a minimal increase in overpotential (η_{50}) from 277 mV to 279 mV after a 20 h chronopotentiometry test. These findings suggest that trimetallic iron, nickel and cobalt sulfide, selenide, and especially sulfoselenide materials hold promise as high-performance, cost-effective, and durable electrocatalysts for sustainable OER reactions. This study provides a valuable approach for the development of efficient electrocatalytic materials, contributing to the advancement of renewable energy technologies.

Keywords: iron-containing material; nickel cobalt sulfide; nickel cobalt selenide; sulfoselenide; oxygen evolution reaction (OER)

1. Introduction

According to the US Energy Information Administration (EIA) report, the total world energy consumption will rise to 815 quadrillion Btu (British thermal units) in 2040, a 29% increase compared to 2020 [1], demonstrating the increasing demand for energy in the near future. Using clean and renewable energy is one of the main issues for societies. Green hydrogen (H₂), produced with renewable energy, is seen as an alternative fuel and energy storage resource in the future [2]. Water splitting is one of the most studied ways to produce H₂. With electrocatalysis, this process includes the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) [3]. The anodic reaction (OER) involves a sluggish four-electron/four-proton-coupled transfer reaction. It is the main obstacle to an economic water-splitting process since it requires a much higher potential (1.6–2 V vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode, RHE) than the theoretical equilibrium potential of $E^{\circ} = 1.23$ V vs. RHE [4–8].



Citation: Abdpour, S.; Rademacher, L.; Fetzer, M.N.A.; Beglau, T.H.Y.; Janiak, C. Iron-Containing Nickel Cobalt Sulfides, Selenides, and Sulfoselenides as Active and Stable Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction in an Alkaline Solution. *Solids* **2023**, *4*, 181–200. https://doi.org/10.3390/ solids4030012

Academic Editor: Stefano Agnoli

Received: 11 June 2023 Revised: 4 July 2023 Accepted: 12 July 2023 Published: 16 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). The well-known benchmark materials for OER are the oxides of iridium and ruthenium (IrO₂ and RuO₂), while Pt-based materials are used as benchmarks for HER [9]. However, the high cost, scarcity, and low stability of these precious noble metals limit their practical large-scale application for water electrolysis [10,11]. Sustainable water splitting requires utilizing non-precious metals as a catalyst. Using non-precious metals with high abundance, durability, and catalytic activity, especially in OER, can effectively improve the scalability of electrocatalytic hydrogen production. Therefore, in recent years, there has been an impetus to develop high-performance, stable, and low-cost (non-noble) transition metal-based electrocatalysts such as transition metal sulfides [12], hydroxides, oxides, oxide-hydroxides [13–16], phosphides [17], nitrides [18], perovskites [19,20], and spinels [21] for OER.

Transition metal sulfides possess good conductivity and excellent mechanical and thermal stability, making them promising electrocatalysts for OER and HER and the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) [22]. Moreover, mixed-metal sulfides, MMSs, show even higher electric conductivity and richer redox reactions through the synergistic effect of multi-transition metal ions, leading to a notable enhancement in electrocatalytic performance compared to monometallic sulfides [23,24].

Bimetallic nickel cobalt sulfide is one of the most studied and promising MMSs, and is extensively studied for electrocatalytic energy conversion and storage devices [25]. For example, the thiospinel NiCo₂S₄ with Ni(II) on tetrahedral (T_d) sites and Co(III) ions on octahedral (O_h) sites receives much attention in many electrocatalysis applications, including water splitting, supercapacitors, and zinc-air batteries [26–29]. However, the electrocatalytic activity and stability of NiCo₂S₄ remains lower than IrO₂ and RuO₂ in OER and lower than Pt-based catalysts for HER [30]. To improve the electrocatalytic performance of nickel cobalt sulfides, researchers have applied many different strategies, including making composites with carbon materials [31–33], metal oxides [34,35], oxyhydroxides [15], layer double hydroxide [15], metal sulfides [36,37], incorporating other active metals such as Ru, Ir, and Pt in the structure [38,39] and also partially replacing sulfur ions with nitrogen [40], phosphorous [41] or selenium ions [42].

The improved electrocatalytic performance of nickel cobalt sulfide in the presence of anions such as Se and P can be attributed to effectively altering the surface electron density by modulating the compound's d-band [42–44]. Increased electrocatalytic activity in the presence of other cations is mainly related to improving exposed active sites, reducing the charge transfer resistance, enhancing structural stability, and synergistic interactions between host and guest cations [45,46].

Although replacing anions or cations is widely used to upgrade the water-splitting performance of nickel cobalt sulfides, only a few reports applied a simultaneous cation and anion replacement to evaluate a possible synergistic effect. Recently, Deng et al. synthesized the polymetallic sulfoselenide, $Co_{0.31}Ni_{0.22}Ru_{0.05}S_{0.46}Se_{0.41}$, which showed an overpotential of $\eta_{10} = 261$ mV (1.491 V vs. RHE) at 10 mA cm⁻², while RuO₂ needed $\eta_{10} = 254$ mV (1.484 V vs. RHE) under the same conditions [47]. However, ruthenium limits its classification as a completely non-precious metal electrocatalyst.

Here, we establish a facile two-step hydrothermal strategy to synthesize iron-containing nickel cobalt sulfides, selenides, and sulfoselenide as non-precious polymetallic compounds. A series of mixed-metal iron nickel cobalt carbonate hydroxide hydrates, (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y), were synthesized and used as a precursor for sulfurization, selenization, and sulfoselenization.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

All commercial chemicals were used as received without any purification: cobalt chloride hexahydrate $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (CAS: 7791-13-1 98% abcr GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany), nickel chloride hexahydrate NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O (CAS: 7791-20-0 98%, ACS reagent, Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany), ammonium iron(II) sulfate hexahydrate (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂ · 6H₂O (CAS: 7783-85-9 BioUltra, 99% Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), sodium sulfide non-

ahydrate Na₂S·9H₂O (CAS: 1313-84-4 98% Acros Organics, New Dehli, India), selenium dioxide SeO₂ (CAS: 7446-08-4 98%, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), urea OC(NH₂)₂ (CAS: 57-13-6 ACS reagent 99.5%, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), potassium hydroxide KOH (CAS: 1310-58-3,1N, Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone NMP (CAS: 872-32-2 95%, abcr, Karlsruhe, Germany), hydrazine monohydrate N₂H₄·H₂O (CAS: 7803-57-8, Thermo Scientific, Kandel, Germany), nod carbon black Vulcan XC-72R (Fuelcellstore, Bryan, TX, USA). Nickel foam NF was purchased from Recemat BV, Cell Material Engineering, The Netherlands. Ultrapure water was produced using the Sartorius Arium mini device. Before using NF, it was cut into 1 × 2 cm² pieces and cleaned with acetone (CAS: 67-64-1, ACS reagent, ≥99.5%, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), hydrochloric acid (CAS: 7647-01-0, 1 mol L⁻¹, Geel, Belgium), ultrapure water, and ethanol (CAS: 64-17-5, 98%, Th. Geyer, Renningen, Germany) for 15 min in an ultrasonic bath and then dried at 100 °C in a vacuum oven for 15 min.

2.2. Preparation of Nickel Cobalt Carbonate Hydroxide (NiCoCH) and Iron Nickel Cobalt Carbonate Hydroxide (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y) Precursors

The NiCoCH sample was prepared according to the previously reported method by Chen et al. [20]. The amount of 950 mg (4.00 mmol) $CoCl_2 \cdot H_2O$, 475 mg (2.00 mmol) NiCl_2·6H_2O, and 1.1 g (18 mmol) urea was added to 40 mL of ultrapure water and stirred for 10 min. Then, the obtained solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated to 120 °C for 6 h. The product was washed five times with ultrapure water (50 mL each) and two times with ethanol (25 mL each), then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight. Yield = 860 mg. (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y) precursors were synthesized by the same method except that the amounts of 98, 196, 294, or 392 mg (0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.0 mmol) of (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂·6H₂O were added. Yields = 875 mg, 900 mg, 917 mg, and 930 mg, respectively.

Thereafter, $(Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y)$ was used to refer to the iron-containing nickel cobalt carbonate hydroxide with y = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.0 mmol of $(NH_4)_2Fe(SO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ added to the reaction mixture; $(Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y)$ refers to all samples.

2.3. Preparation of Iron Nickel Cobalt Sulfides, Selenide, and Sulfoselenide

Iron-containing nickel cobalt sulfide samples were prepared by hydrothermal sulfidation of the (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y) precursors. A chosen amount of iron-containing precursor (125 mg) was dispersed in 40 mL of ultrapure water in an 80 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and stirred for 20 min. After that, 750 mg (3.125 mmol) of Na₂S·9H₂O was added. The resultant suspension was transferred to the oven, and the temperature was kept at 160 °C for 12 h. The obtained product was washed five times with ultrapure water (50 mL each) and two times with ethanol (20 mL each), then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight. Yield was about 90 mg.

The iron-containing nickel cobalt sulfoselenide sample, $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$, was synthesized via sulfidation and selenization of $(Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH$ -(1.0) in one step. $(Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH$ -(1.0) (125 mg) was dispersed in ultrapure water and stirred for 20 min. Then, 680 mg (2.70 mmol) of Na_2S ·9H₂O and 50 mg (0.425 mmol) of SeO₂ were added to the suspension. Finally, 10 mL of N_2H_4 ·H₂O was slowly added to the suspension. The Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave was kept at 160 °C for 12 h. The resulting powder was washed five times with ultrapure water (50 mL each time) and two times with ethanol (20 mL each time) and dried at 60 °C overnight. Yield was 100 mg.

Iron-containing nickel cobalt selenide, $Fe_{0.4}Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.6}(Se_{0.81}O_{0.19})_4$ was synthesized by selenization of $(Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(1.0)$. $(Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(1.0)$ (125 mg) was dispersed in 30 mL of ultrapure water and stirred for 20 min followed by adding 100 mg (0.9 mmol) SeO₂. Then, 10 mL of N₂H₄ was added to abovementioned suspension and stirred for another 10 min. The resulting suspension was transferred to a stainless autoclave and heated at 160 °C for 12 h. The obtained black powder was washed five times with ultrapure water (50 mL each) and three times with absolute ethanol (20 mL each), then dried at 60 $^{\circ}$ C in the vacuum oven overnight. Yield was 110 mg.

For comparison, nickel cobalt sulfide, $Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$ and nickel cobalt selenide, $Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.4}(Se_{0.85}O_{0.15})_4$, and were synthesized by sulfidation or selenization of NiCoCH (Supplementary Materials, Section S1). The schematic illustration of the synthesis is shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of the preparation process for iron-containing Ni-Co sulfide, sulfoselenide, and selenide. (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y) represents the precursor iron-containing nickel cobalt carbonate hydroxide hydrate.

2.4. Material Characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis was conducted at ambient temperature on a Rigaku Miniflex 600 powder diffractometer (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) using Cu K α 1 radiation with λ = 1.5406 Å (40 kV, 15 mA, 600 W) and a flat silicon low background with a small indent in the range of 2 θ = 5°–100°. The obtained PXRD data were evaluated with the Match v3.11 software.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed with Jeol JSM-6510LV QSEM (Jeol, Akishima, Japan) advanced electron microscope (LaB₆ cathode at 20 kV) equipped with a Bruker Xflash 410 silicon drift detector for energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (TEM-EDX) was carried out with a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 electron microscope (FEI Co., Hillsboro, OR, USA) operated at 200 kV accelerating voltage.

A Quantachrome Autosorb-6 automatic adsorption analyzer (Quantachrome Gmbh; Odelzhausen, Germany) was used to determine nitrogen sorption isotherms for the BET surface area determination of the samples at 77 K. The samples were degassed at 90 °C in a 10^{-2} mbar vacuum for 15 h before the gas sorption measurement.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were collected using a ULVAC-PHI VersaProbe II microfocus X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (ULVAC-PHI, Chigasaki, Japan). The spectra were recorded using a polychromatic aluminum K α X-ray source (1486.8 eV) and referenced to the carbon 1s orbital with a binding energy of 284.8 eV.

Quantification of the metal content of the samples was performed using a Perkin-Elmer PinaAcle 900T atomic absorption spectrometer (Perkin Elmer LAS GmbH, Rodgau-Jügesheim, Germany) (sample preparation described in Supplementary Materials, Section S2).

The sulfur content was determined with a VarioMICRO CHNS microanalyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme, Langenselbold, Germany).

2.5. Electrochemical Measurements

All electrochemical analyses were conducted on a three-electrode cell using an Interface 1010E potentiostat from Gamry Instruments at ambient temperature. The reversible hydrogen electrode, RHE, and Pt foil were used as a reference and counter electrode. Coated nickel foam, NF, was the working electrode. A slurry containing 8:1:1 mass portions of the active materials (mixed-metal sulfide, selenide, or sulfoselenide material), carbon black, Vulcan XC-72R, and polyvinylidene fluoride, PVDF, respectively, in *N*-methyl-2pyrrolidone, NMP was prepared and carefully dropped on a 1 cm² area of the NF surface, and dried at 60 °C for 12 h in a vacuum oven to prepare the coated NF electrode. To prepare the slurry, a weighted mass of 5 mg of the mixed-metal sulfide, selenide, or sulfoselenide material was utilized. Additionally, the weight of the electrode before and after loading the slurry was measured. The slurry was accurately applied to a 1 cm² area of the electrode surface. Throughout the OER, we ensured the presence of a 1 cm² electrode immersed in the KOH solution. Before starting the electrochemical analysis, an N₂ gas flow was passed through the electrolyte to remove dioxygen from the 1 mol L⁻¹ KOH electrolyte.

Linear sweep voltammetry LSV measurement was applied to determine the catalytic performance of the coated NF electrode at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. Before starting the LSV measurement, 20 cycles of cyclic voltammetry at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ were run to reach a stable electrocatalytic performance. The potentials of the LSV polarization curves were corrected by iR compensation. The chronopotentiometry at the current density of 50 mA cm⁻² for 20 h was used to evaluate the stability of the selected electrocatalyst in long-term performance. Moreover, to understand the electrode/electrolyte interface behavior, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, EIS, was performed in the frequency range of 0.1–100 kHz at 1.5 V vs. RHE.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Analysis

Nickel cobalt carbonate hydroxide (NiCoCH) and iron-containing nickel cobalt carbonate hydroxides (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y) were synthesized from CoCl₂·6H₂O, NiCl₂·6H₂O, (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂·6H₂O and urea as precursors in hydrothermal reactions (Step 1 in Scheme 1). Four different amounts of (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂·6H₂O and CoCl₂·6H₂O were used to synthesize (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y), while keeping the NiCl₂·6H₂O and CoCl₂·6H₂O amounts constant. The samples were named (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(0.025), (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(0.075), and (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(0.1), representing the use of 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, and 1.0 mmol of the iron precursor. The sulfidation and selenization of the metal carbonate hydroxide precursors were achieved through a hydrothermal sulfidation process with Na₂S·9H₂O and a selenization of Na₂S·9H₂O and SeO₂ was employed in the hydrothermal reaction.

Two different methods were used to determine the chemical formula of the as-prepared samples, a combination of AAS for the metal and CHNS analysis for the sulfur content (method 1) and SEM-EDX (method 2) (Supplementary Materials, Tables S2–S6). Method 1 provides more precise atomic ratios of metal and S content in the samples than EDX. In EDX, the emitted X-rays give a 1–2 μ m depth analysis but EDX as an X-ray spectroscopy experiences matrix effects and would need standards of similar composition as the sample

for peak identification and accurate quantification. For the sulfoselenide and selenide sample, AAS for the metal content was combined with EDX for the Se content. Moreover, the chemical formulae obtained from AAS + CHNS + EDX were much closer to charge balance than the EDX-derived formulae. Based on the metal-to-sulfur ratios obtained from method 1 and the charge balance calculation of the samples, oxygen should also be incorporated into the structure of the samples. The presence of oxygen in the structure of samples was also proven from the EDX and XPS spectrum. Therefore, the chemical formulae are given here with their estimated oxygen content. The chemical formulas resulting from methods 1 and 2 are provided in Table S6. The measured SEM-EDX data of the samples are provided in Figures S1–S8.

The crystallinity of all sulfide samples was low, as evidenced by broad peaks of low intensity in the powder X-ray diffractograms, PXRDs (Figure 1a). The crystalline phases in the iron-containing nickel cobalt samples were verified as spinels by matching to the known diffractograms of NiCo₂S₄ (ICDD no. 43-1477) and Co₃S₄ (ICDD no. 75-1561) (Figure 1). The prominent diffraction peaks located at 26.8°, 31.5° , 38.1° , 50.4° , and 55.2° can be attributed to the (220), (311), (400), (511), and (440) planes of the spinel lattice [24]. In addition, in the PXRD patterns of NiCo₂S₄ and iron-containing nickel cobalt samples, the diffraction peaks at 29.9° and 52.1° can be attributed to the (311) and (440) planes, respectively, of Co₉S₈ (ICDD no. 73-1442). It should be mentioned that the presence of an Co₉S₈ impurity in NiCo₂S₄ is reported in much of the previous literature, including the work of Chen et al. [24], who first reported the formation of sea-urchin-like NiCo₂S₄ using metal carbonate hydroxide as a precursor [33,40,47–51].



Figure 1. PXRD patterns of (**a**) as-prepared sulfide and (**b**) selenide and sulfoselenide samples compared to simulated (sim.) patterns of different metal sulfides and selenides.

The content of the crystalline Co_9S_8 impurity decreases with increasing iron content and is only barely visible in $Fe_{0.3}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$ and no longer visible in $Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})_4$. The corresponding selenides are of higher crystallinity (Figure 1b). The prominent reflection peaks of NiCo₂Se₄ and Fe_{0.4}Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.6}(Se_{0.81}O_{0.19})₄ match the simulation for NiCo₂Se₄ (ICDD no. 04-006-5241), where they correspond to the (002), (311), and (-313) crystal plane located at 33.3°, 44.9°, and 51.4°, respectively [52]. By incorporating both sulfur and selenium in the structure, the crystallinity of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ from the PXRD pattern became too low and no clear crystalline phase analysis was possible anymore. Such low crystallinity was also seen in the literature for nickel sulfoselenide, oxygen-containing cobalt sulfide, and nickel sulfide nanoparticles, which were reported with excellent OER properties [53–55]. Previous reports have demonstrated that incorporating or doping transition metal sulfides, such as nickel cobalt sulfides with iron, induces lattice strain which results in peak broadening [56]. A small shift towards lower angles of the experimental diffractograms of selenium-containing samples versus the simulated diffractograms of the sulfur-only analogues can be ascribed to a larger lattice spacing which is caused by the larger radius of selenium compared to sulfur [57]. A shift in the peak positions between experimental and simulated diffractograms is also obtained if the sample is not properly aligned with the goniometer axis, e.g., by placing too thick a sample on the sample holder in the Bragg–Brentano geometry [58].

The morphology of the as-prepared samples was studied using scanning electron microscopy, SEM. As shown in Figure 2a, $Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$ consists of needle-like structures combining parts with a sea urchin-like morphology. By increasing the iron content, the morphology became more sea urchin-like (Figure 2b–f). In the selenides and the sulfoselenide Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})₄ sample, Figure 2e,f, agglomerations of needle-like primary particles can be seen. The SEM-energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) mappings (Supplementary Materials, Figure S9) support the AAS- and CHNS-based elemental analysis for the chemical formulae, and SEM-EDX was the analysis of choice to determine the selenium content.



Figure 2. SEM images of the as-prepared samples: (a) $Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$, (b) $Fe_{0.1}Ni_{1.4}Co_{2.9}(S_{0.87}O_{0.13})_4$, (c) $Fe_{0.2}Ni_{1.5}Co_{2.8}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$, (d) $Fe_{0.3}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$, (e) $Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})_4$, (f) $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$, (g) $Fe_{0.4}Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.6}(Se_{0.81}O_{0.19})_4$, and (h) $Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.4}(Se_{0.85}O_{0.15})_4$.

To further investigate the sulfoselenide $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$, transition electron microscopy, TEM-EDX, was performed. The TEM images, Figure 3, confirmed the needle-like microstructure. EDX-mapping also proved the uniform presence of Fe, Ni, Co, S, and Se in the sulfoselenide sample (see Supplementary Materials, Table S1 for atom ratios).



Figure 3. TEM images (a–e) of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ and (f–j) HAADF STEM-EDX mapping results of Fe, Ni, Co, S, and Se, recorded from a nano needle section (e) of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$.

Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms, and specific surface area (BET) of the samples are given in Figure S10 and Table S7.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted to determine the valence state of the elements in Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄ and Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄. As shown in Figure S11, X-ray survey spectra indicate the existence of Ni, Co, and S in Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄ and of Fe, Ni, Co, S, and Se in Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄. The high-resolution spectra of the metal atoms and of Se are shown in Figure 4 and those of S 2p and O1s of Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄ in Figures S12a and S13a, respectively. The positions of the XPS peaks are summarized in Tables S8 and S9. It should be noted that the analysis depth of XPS is only 0.7–11 nm as the detected photoelectrons can only escape from such a thin surface layer of the sample.

The metal ratios and the ratios between different oxidation states of nickel and cobalt (Table 1) were calculated by integrating the fitted peak area for each metal valence state using the Ni $2p_{3/2}$ and Co $2p_{3/2}$ regions. In Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄, Ni²⁺ and Co³⁺ are the prevalent oxidation states.

Based on element ratios obtained from AAS and CHNS analysis and also the metal valence states for nickel and cobalt in the $Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$ sample, the chemical formula can be given as $((Ni^{2+})_{0.72}(Ni^{3+})_{0.28})_{1.0}((Co^{2+})_{0.27}(Co^{3+})_{0.72})_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$ which is anion-cation charge-balanced within rounding errors.

In contrast to the Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄ sample, Ni³⁺ and Co²⁺ are the dominant valence states in Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄, which might be one of the reasons for the improved OER performance of this catalyst. It is believed that Ni³⁺ can improve electrophilicity and oxygen adsorption, which can increase the amount of NiOOH active sites during the OER reaction [59].



Figure 4. High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) Ni 2p region and (b) Co 2p region of $Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$ and $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$, (c) Fe 2p region and (d) Se 3d region of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$.

 $\label{eq:stability} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1.} \ \mbox{The metal ratios and the ratios between different oxidation states of nickel and cobalt in $Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$ and $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$. \end{array}$

Sample		At% (a)	Ni/Co ^(a)		At% ^(b)	Position (eV)	M ²⁺ /M ³⁺
Ni _{1.0} Co _{2.1} (S _{0.9} O _{0.1})4 ^(c)	Ni	6.90	- 1/2 -	Ni ²⁺	35.6	853.3	— 2.59
				Ni ³⁺	13.7	856.0	
	Со	13.90		Co ²⁺	17.0	780.3	— 0.37
				Co ³⁺	45.9	778.7	
			Fe/Ni/Co ^(a)				
$Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ ^(d)	Fe	2.30					
	Ni	5.70		Ni ²⁺	3.3	854.5	0.10
			1.0/2.5/4.1	Ni ³⁺	32.9	856.5	- 0.10
	Со	9.40		Co ²⁺	46.7	781.9	((F
				Co ³⁺	7.0	779.1	- 0.05

^(a) From XPS survey spectrum (Figure S11, Supplementary Materials). ^(b) Based on the Ni 2p_{3/2} and Co 2p_{3/2} regions in XPS. ^(c) Element composition from AAS-CHNS. ^(d) Element composition from AAS-CHNS-EDX(Se) (Tables S3–S6, Supplementary Materials).

The Fe 2p spectrum (Figure 4c) for $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ represents two broad peaks at 712.5 eV and 725.0 eV, which can be assigned to Fe $2p_{3/2}$ and Fe $2p_{1/2}$, respectively [60]. Furthermore, two satellite peaks were detected at 717.5 and 734.5 eV [61,62]. The $2p_{3/2}$ spectrum range is 710 to 720 eV including the satellite peak, while the $2p_{1/2}$ spectrum range is 720–735 eV with the satellite peak. For iron, it should be noted that the Fe 2p spectral background is contributed to from the Co_{LMM} and Ni_{LMM} Auger peaks, making an unequivocal deconvolution and peak assignment difficult [63,64]. The Se 3d XPS spectra of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ (Figure 4d) consist of two peaks centered at 55.0 and 57.0 eV, representing Se $3d_{5/2}$, and Se $3d_{3/2}$, respectively. The peak at 59.5 eV was attributed to the SeO_X forming on the surface due to exposure to air, and to the overlap with the Co 3p signal [42]. The high-resolution spectrum of S 2p and O 1s of Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄ are shown in Figures S12b and S13b (Supplementary Materials), respectively. Based on the elemental ratios obtained from AAS, CHNS, and EDX(Se) analysis, and also metal valence states for iron, nickel, and cobalt in the $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ sample, the chemical formula can be given as $(Fe^{2+})_{0.5}((Ni^{2+})_{0.1}(Ni^{3+})_{0.9})_{1.0}((Co^{2+})_{0.87}(Co^{3+})_{0.13})_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4.$

3.2. Oxygen Evolution Reaction Performance

The OER activity of the mixed-metal sulfides, selenides, and the sulfoselenide was evaluated by a three-electrode setup in 1.0 mol L⁻¹ KOH solution. The working electrode was prepared according to a method used by Li et al. [33], a slurry containing 8:1:1 mass portions of the active materials (mixed-metal sulfide, selenide, or sulfoselenide material), carbon black, Vulcan XC-72R, and polyvinylidene fluoride, PVDF, respectively, in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone, NMP (further details in experimental section). As is shown in Figure 5a, the polarization curves of the as-prepared electrodes revealed that the presence of iron could reduce the overpotential of the Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄ parent compound. In the polarization curves, the peaks around 1.3–1.4 eV are attributed to the oxidation of Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ [65].

The overpotential for Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄ of 346 mV at 50 mA cm⁻² was reduced with increasing iron content in Fe_{0.1}Ni_{1.4}Co_{2.9}(S_{0.87}O_{0.13})₄, Fe_{0.2}Ni_{1.5}Co_{2.8}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄, Fe_{0.3}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄, and Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})₄ to 318, 310, 294, and 294 mV, respectively (Figure 5a,b). The electronic interaction between Fe, Ni, and Co in the iron-containing samples alters the electronic structure, making Ni²⁺ oxidation more difficult, resulting in a positive shift in the Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ anodic peak at 1.3–1.4 eV [33,40]. The reduction in the OER overpotential in iron-containing samples can be attributed to reducing the charge transfer resistance through the synergistic electronic interaction between Fe, Co and Ni from a charge redistribution between active sites within the samples. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations in the literature traced the synergy to a decrease in the Gibbs free energy for the formation of a MOOH intermediate, which not only enhanced the intrinsic OER activity, but also significantly improved the intrinsic conductivity of iron-containing samples, greatly facilitating the charge transfer process [66].

In the next step, the effect of the coexistence of sulfur and selenium was investigated. The overpotential of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ (green line) of 277 mV at 50 mA cm⁻² presents a 17 mV and 22 mV reduction compared to $Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})_4$ (294 mV) and RuO₂ (299 mV) (Figure 5c,d), indicating that the insertion of selenium improves the performance of the transition metal sulfide. Moreover, the OER performance of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ at 100 mA cm⁻² only needed 299 mV while RuO₂ required 341 mV overpotential, which demonstrates the excellent electrocatalytic activity of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ even under a high current density. The boost of the OER activity of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ can be attributed to the effect of selenide incorporation that reduces the energy barrier of the OER reaction, optimizes the electronic structure of active sites via modulating of the d-band of the compound, and also accelerates the kinetics of the reaction [67].



Figure 5. (a) OER LSV polarization curves and (b) bar chart of corresponding overpotentials at 50 mA cm^{-2} of iron-containing sulfides. (c) OER LSV polarization curves and (d) bar chart of corresponding overpotentials at 50 mA cm^{-2} of different iron-containing sulfides, selenides, and sulfoselenide.

The Tafel slopes of the samples were obtained from LSV polarization curves at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ using the Tafel Equation (1) [68]:

$$\eta = a + b \times \log(j) \tag{1}$$

η is the overpotential, b is the Tafel slope, j is the current density, and c is the intercept with the y-axis. The value of the Tafel slope is one of the most useful kinetic parameters and is inversely proportional to the kinetics of the OER reaction. Hence, as demonstrated in Figure 6a,b, Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄, with the lowest value of the Tafel slope, presents the most favorable OER kinetics among the investigated samples. Furthermore, according to Krasil'shchikov's mechanistic paths (Equations (2)–(5), M = active site, b = Tafel slope) [68,69] with their corresponding Tafel slope values, the rate-determining step of the OER reaction for Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄ (b = 125 mV dec⁻¹) is metal oxidation with hydroxide formation (reaction (2)). By increasing the iron content in the samples, the Tafel slope decreased and reached 85 mV dec⁻¹ for Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})₄,



suggesting that metal oxidation with hydroxide formation (2), and deprotonation of a metal hydroxide (3), both present rate-determining steps.

Figure 6. (a) Tafel plots and (b) bar chart of Tafel slopes of the samples. (c) Nyquist plots of selected samples and Voigt circuit model. (d) Chronopotentiometry test of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ and RuO_2 .

The lowest Tafel slope among the samples belongs to the sulfoselenide $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ with 82 mV dec⁻¹, which is again evidence for the role of selenium in enhancing the kinetics of the OER reaction. The Tafel value of 82 mV dec⁻¹ of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ is close to reaction (3) (b = 60 mV dec⁻¹), being, then, rate-determining in the overall OER process.

$$M + OH^{-} \leftrightarrows MOH + e^{-}, b = 120 \text{ mV } dec^{-1}$$
(2)

$$MOH + OH^{-} \leftrightarrows MO^{-} + H_2O, b = 60 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$$
(3)

$$\mathrm{MO}^{-} \to \mathrm{MO} + \mathrm{e}^{-}, \, \mathrm{b} = 45 \,\mathrm{mV} \,\mathrm{dec}^{-1} \tag{4}$$

$$2MO \rightarrow 2M + O_2, b = 19 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$$
 (5)

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted for the electron-transfer kinetics during the OER reaction and to justify the obtained overpotentials [70]. Figure 6c shows Nyquist plots of the samples at the potential of 1.5 V vs. RHE. The semicircle diameter of Nyquist plots is inversely proportional to the charge transfer rate across the electrode and the electrolyte that accelerates reaction kinetics. Hence, a smaller semicircle diameter represents more favorable charge transfer kinetics [27].

The smaller semicircle diameter of the Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄ Nyquist plot indicates that the coexistence of iron and selenium in Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄ can reduce the charge transfer resistance (Rct). Furthermore, a Voigt circuit model was applied to the Nyquist plots to evaluate the specific value for the charge resistance in the OER process. As shown in Table 2, the value of charge resistance for Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄ is the lowest (0.8 Ω) compared to the other investigated samples. The results from the Rct values are in line with the recorded OER overpotentials of the samples and the electrocatalytic performance.

Table 2. Overpotential at 50 mA cm⁻², Tafel slopes, and estimated charge transfer resistance of selected samples at 1.5 V vs. RHE.

Sample	Overpotential (mV)	Tafel Slope (mV dec ⁻¹)	Charge Transfer Resistance Rct (Ω)
Ni _{1.0} Co _{2.1} (S _{0.9} O _{0.1}) ₄	346	125	1.8
Ni _{0.7} Co _{1.4} (Se _{0.85} O _{0.15})	355	97	1.7
$Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})_4$	294	85	2.2
Fe _{0.4} Ni _{0.7} Co _{1.6} (Se _{0.81} O _{0.19}) ₄	306	102	1.4
Fe _{0.5} Ni _{1.0} Co _{2.0} (S _{0.57} Se _{0.25} O _{0.18})	4 277	82	0.8
(Fe _x Ni _{1-x})CoCH-(1.0)	330	98	2.5
RuO ₂	299	66	1.2

To elucidate the importance of sulfur and selenium in the OER electrocatalysis performance, the $(Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH$ -(1.0) precursor for $Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})_4$ and $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57} Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ was investigated and found to have an OER LSV polarization curve with a higher overpotential of 330 mV vs. RHE to reach 50 mA cm⁻² and a Tafel slope of 98 mV dec⁻¹, higher than the iron-containing sulfide and sulfoselenide (Table 2, Figure S14). Furthermore, the larger Nyquist plot semicircle diameter and higher charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct} = 2.5 \Omega$) of (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(1.0) imply the importance of S and Se in facilitating the charge transfer through the electrode–electrolyte interface in sulfide, selenides, and sulfoselenide samples (Figure S15).

One of the critical parameters to evaluate the performance of electrocatalysts in practical applications is their long-term stability. Hence, a chronopotentiometry test, at a current density of 50 mA cm⁻² for 20 h, was conducted to evaluate the long-term stability performance of the $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ [50].

Figure 6d illustrates that, after 20 h of OER reaction, the overpotential for obtaining 50 mA cm⁻² only increased from 277 mV to 279 mV, which is essentially constant and supports the excellent electrocatalyst stability of Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄ for practical applications. Especially since for RuO₂ the overpotential increased from 300 mV to 375 mV during the same chronopotentiometry test for 20 h, at 50 mA cm⁻². A recent study by Jiang et al. [70,71] proved that a SeO_x film on the surface could improve the catalyst stability in the OER reaction, which can be the reason behind the high stability of Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄ during the OER reaction (the overpotential increased from 277 to only 279 at 50 mA cm⁻¹ after 20 h OER reaction).

The superior OER activity and stability of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ material can be attributed to several effects resulting from introducing Fe and Se^{2-} in the structure of the nickel cobalt sulfide base material; introducing Fe sites can enhance OER performance (a) by optimizing bond energies for OER intermediates adsorbing on the electrode surface, which facilitates the OER kinetics [72], (b) by overcoming the metal oxidation step and facilitating O₂ evolution [73], or (c) by improving the conductivity of the electrode film [74]. However, it is known that the surface of electrodes containing iron, nickel, and cobalt is oxidized and amorphized in the course of oxygen evolution occurring at high positive electrode potentials [75–77].

For comparison, the overpotential values of several high performance electrocatalysts at a current density of 50 mA cm⁻² using nickel foam as substrate are presented in Table 3. Notably, the results demonstrate that the OER performance of the sulfoselenide $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ is on par with the best electrocatalysts reported in the literature, highlighting its comparable effectiveness.

Table 3. Comparison of nickel- and cobalt-based electrocatalysts for OER.

Catalyst	Overpotential (mV)	Current Density (mA cm ⁻²)	Electrode Substrate ^(a)	Ref.
$\overline{Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4}$	277	50	NF	This work
Co ₃ O ₄ /NiCo ₂ O ₄	407	50	NF	[78]
NiO/α-Fe ₂ O ₃	244	50	NF	[79]
P-Ni ₃ S ₂ /CoFe ₂ O ₄	254	50	NF	[79,80]
PANI ^(b) /NiFe-OH	260	50	NF	[81]
LaCoO ₃	420	50	NF	[82]
Ce-doped Ni ₃ S ₂	257	50	NF	[83]
Porous Ni ₃ S ₂	291	50	NF	[84]
$(Co_{1.2}MoO_{4.21} \cdot 3H_2O)/Ni_3S_2$	290	50	NF	[85]
CoHPO ₄ ·H ₂ O	350	50	NF	[86]
NiSe@NiOOH	300	50	NF	[87]
P-containing NiCo ₂ S ₄	300	50	NF	[41]
NiFe-LDH ^(c) /Co ₃ O ₄	274	50	NF	[88]

^(a) NF = nickel foam; as we used a nickel foam electrode and current densities of 50 mA cm⁻², this comparative listing is restricted to the same conditions. ^(b) PANI = polyaniline. ^(c) LDH = layered double hydroxides.

During the course of our studies, we realized the number of parameters and that an orthogonal experimental design would be beneficial [89]. We have suggested a theoretical orthogonal experimental design for future work on iron-containing sulfides, selenides, and sulfoselenides (Section S8, Supplementary Materials).

4. Conclusions

A novel trimetallic sulfoselenide, Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄, electrocatalyst was synthesized via a two-step hydrothermal method. The mixed-metal sulfoselenide possessed higher OER activity than the bimetallic nickel cobalt sulfide $Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$, the bimetallic nickel cobalt selenide Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.4}(Se_{0.85}O_{0.15})₄, the trimetallic iron-containing nickel cobalt sulfides, Fe_{0.1}Ni_{1.4}Co_{2.9}(S_{0.87}O_{0.13})₄, $Fe_{0.2}Ni_{1.5}Co_{2.8}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$ $Fe_{0.3}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})_4$, and $Fe_{0.6}Ni_{1.2}Co_{2.5}(S_{0.83}O_{0.17})_4$, and the iron-containing nickel cobalt selenide, Fe_{0.4}Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.6}(Se_{0.81}O_{0.19})₄. The trimetallic sulfoselenide required an overpotential of only 277 mV at 50 mV cm⁻² and had favorable OER kinetics, manifested by a Tafel slope of 82 mV dec⁻¹. The OER performance of $Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})_4$ surpassed the well-known RuO₂ benchmark material where the required overpotential $(300 \text{ mV to generate } 50 \text{ mA cm}^{-2})$ was 23 mV higher under the same condition $(1 \text{ mol } L^{-1})$ KOH). The 20 h chronopotentiometry analysis revealed that Fe0.5Ni1.0Co2.0(S0.57Se0.25O0.18)4 has remarkable stability during long-term operation in alkaline media (the overpotential

increased only from 277 to 279 mV) while, under the same conditions, RuO_2 showed a considerable loss in activity (such that the overpotential increasing from 300 to 375 mV during the generation of the 50 mA cm⁻² current density).

Furthermore, the incorporation of selenium also significantly affected the OER activity and stability by reducing the energy barrier of the OER reaction, optimizing the electronic structure of active sites by modifying the d-band of the materials. Indeed, a SeO_x film on the surface of Fe_{0.5}Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.0}(S_{0.57}Se_{0.25}O_{0.18})₄ can enhance the long-term stability of the catalyst. Considering the improvements in OER performance, this simple two-step hydrothermal synthesis of trimetallic sulfoselenides, from transition metal carbonate hydroxide, (Fe_xNi_{1-x})CoCH-(y), as precursors can be used as a facile and practical approach to produce the next generation of non-precious polymetallic polychalcogenide materials for the oxygen evolution reaction.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:// www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/solids4030012/s1, Section S1: preparation of Ni_{0.7}Co_{1.4}(Se_{0.85}O_{0.15})₄ and Ni_{1.0}Co_{2.1}(S_{0.9}O_{0.1})₄; Section S2: Sample preparation for AAS; Section S3: Scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDX) and TEM/EDX; Section S4: Elemental analysis and atomic spectroscopy measurements; Section S5: Nitrogen sorption measurement; Section S6: X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy; Section S7: Electrochemical characterization; Section S8: Theoretical orthogonal experimental design. References [90–128] are cited in the Supplementary Materials.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: S.A. and C.J.; methodology: S.A.; validation: S.A.; formal analysis: S.A., L.R., M.N.A.F. and T.H.Y.B.; writing—original draft preparation: S.A.; writing—review and editing: S.A. and C.J.; supervision: C.J.; project administration: C.J.; funding acquisition: C.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the joint National Natural Science Foundation of China–Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (NSFC-DFG) project (DFG JA466/39-1).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors also thank Annette Ricken for the AAS measurements.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Conti, J.; Holtberg, P.; Diefenderfer, J.; LaRose, A.; Turnure, J.T.; Westfall, L. International Energy Outlook 2016 with Projections to 2040; Report number: DOE/EIA--0484; U.S. Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy: Washington, DC, USA, 2016; Volume 20585, pp. 7–16.
- Wu, D.; Kusada, K.; Yoshioka, S.; Yamamoto, T.; Toriyama, T.; Matsumura, S.; Chen, Y.; Seo, O.; Kim, J.; Song, C.; et al. Efficient Overall Water Splitting in Acid with Anisotropic Metal Nanosheets. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 1145. [CrossRef]
- Huang, W.; Zhang, J.; Liu, D.; Xu, W.; Wang, Y.; Yao, J.; Tan, H.T.; Dinh, K.N.; Wu, C.; Kuang, M.; et al. Tuning the Electronic Structures of Multimetal Oxide Nanoplates to Realize Favorable Adsorption Energies of Oxygenated Intermediates. ACS Nano 2020, 14, 17640–17651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jiao, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S.Z. Design of Electrocatalysts for Oxygen- and Hydrogen-Involving Energy Conversion Reactions. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 2060–2086. [CrossRef]
- Du, P.; Eisenberg, R. Catalysts Made of Earth-Abundant Elements (Co, Ni, Fe) for Water Splitting: Recent Progress and Future Challenges. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2012, 5, 6012. [CrossRef]
- Yu, Y.; Li, P.; Wang, X.; Gao, W.; Shen, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Yang, S.; Song, W.; Ding, K. Vanadium Nanobelts Coated Nickel Foam 3D Bifunctional Electrode with Excellent Catalytic Activity and Stability for Water Electrolysis. *Nanoscale* 2016, *8*, 10731–10738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sondermann, L.; Jiang, W.; Shviro, M.; Spieß, A.; Woschko, D.; Rademacher, L.; Janiak, C. Nickel-Based Metal-Organic Frameworks as Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction (OER). *Molecules* 2022, 27, 1241. [CrossRef]
- Öztürk, S.; Moon, G.; Spieß, A.; Budiyanto, E.; Roitsch, S.; Tüysüz, H.; Janiak, C. A Highly-Efficient Oxygen Evolution Electrocatalyst Derived from a Metal-Organic Framework and Ketjenblack Carbon Material. *ChemPlusChem* 2021, *86*, 1106–1115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 9. Wei, C.; Rao, R.R.; Peng, J.; Huang, B.; Stephens, I.E.L.; Risch, M.; Xu, Z.J.; Shao-Horn, Y. Recommended Practices and Benchmark Activity for Hydrogen and Oxygen Electrocatalysis in Water Splitting and Fuel Cells. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1806296. [CrossRef]
- Kong, D.; Cha, J.J.; Wang, H.; Lee, H.R.; Cui, Y. First-Row Transition Metal Dichalcogenide Catalysts for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2013, 6, 3553. [CrossRef]
- 11. Qu, M.; Jiang, Y.; Yang, M.; Liu, S.; Guo, Q.; Shen, W.; Li, M.; He, R. Regulating Electron Density of NiFe-P Nanosheets Electrocatalysts by a Trifle of Ru for High-Efficient Overall Water Splitting. *Appl. Catal. B* **2020**, *263*, 118324. [CrossRef]
- 12. Guo, Y.; Park, T.; Yi, J.W.; Henzie, J.; Kim, J.; Wang, Z.; Jiang, B.; Bando, Y.; Sugahara, Y.; Tang, J.; et al. Nanoarchitectonics for Transition-Metal-Sulfide-Based Electrocatalysts for Water Splitting. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1807134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jiang, F.; Choy, W.C.H.; Li, X.; Zhang, D.; Cheng, J. Post-Treatment-Free Solution-Processed Non-Stoichiometric NiO_x Nanoparticles for Efficient Hole-Transport Layers of Organic Optoelectronic Devices. *Adv. Mater.* 2015, 27, 2930–2937. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Wang, N.; Cao, Z.; Kong, X.; Liang, J.; Zhang, Q.; Zheng, L.; Wei, C.; Chen, X.; Zhao, Y.; Cavallo, L.; et al. Activity Enhancement via Borate Incorporation into a NiFe (Oxy)Hydroxide Catalyst for Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2018, *6*, 16959–16964. [CrossRef]
- 15. Li, X.; Kou, Z.; Xi, S.; Zang, W.; Yang, T.; Zhang, L.; Wang, J. Porous NiCo₂S₄/FeOOH nanowire arrays with rich sulfide/hydroxide interfaces enable high OER activity. *Nano Energy* **2020**, *78*, 105230. [CrossRef]
- Subbaraman, R.; Tripkovic, D.; Chang, K.-C.; Strmcnik, D.; Paulikas, A.P.; Hirunsit, P.; Chan, M.; Greeley, J.; Stamenkovic, V.; Markovic, N.M. Trends in Activity for the Water Electrolyser Reactions on 3d M(Ni,Co,Fe,Mn) Hydr(Oxy)Oxide Catalysts. *Nat. Mater.* 2012, *11*, 550–557. [CrossRef]
- Wang, C.; Chen, W.; Yuan, D.; Qian, S.; Cai, D.; Jiang, J.; Zhang, S. Tailoring the Nanostructure and Electronic Configuration of Metal Phosphides for Efficient Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution Reactions. *Nano Energy* 2020, 69, 104453. [CrossRef]
- Denny, S.R.; Tackett, B.M.; Tian, D.; Sasaki, K.; Chen, J.G. Exploring Electrocatalytic Stability and Activity of Unmodified and Platinum-Modified Tungsten and Niobium Nitrides. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2020, 45, 22883–22892. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.; Guan, D.; Gao, X.; Yu, J.; Chen, G.; Zhou, W.; Shao, Z. Morphology, crystal structure and electronic state one-step co-tuning strategy towards developing superior perovskite electrocatalysts for water oxidation. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2019, 7, 19228–19233. [CrossRef]
- 20. Zhang, H.; Guan, D.; Hu, Z.; Huang, Y.-C.; Wu, X.; Dai, J.; Dong, C.-L.; Xu, X.; Lin, H.-J.; Chen, C.-T.; et al. Exceptional latticeoxygen participation on artificially controllable electrochemistry-induced crystalline-amorphous phase to boost oxygen-evolving performance. *Appl. Catal.* **2021**, 297, 120484. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ma, N.; Chen, G.; Zhu, Y.; Sun, H.; Dai, J.; Chu, H.; Ran, R.; Zhou, W.; Cai, R.; Shao, Z. A Self-Assembled Hetero-Structured Inverse-Spinel and Anti-Perovskite Nanocomposite for Ultrafast Water Oxidation. *Small* **2020**, *16*, 2002089. [CrossRef]
- Ibrahim, K.B.; Tsai, M.C.; Chala, S.A.; Berihun, M.K.; Kahsay, A.W.; Berhe, T.A.; Su, W.-N.; Hwang, B.-J. A review of transition metal-based bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysts. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2019, 66, 829–865. [CrossRef]
- 23. Fu, G.; Lee, J.-M. Ternary Metal Sulfides for Electrocatalytic Energy Conversion. J. Mater. Chem. A 2019, 7, 9386–9405. [CrossRef]
- Chen, H.; Jiang, J.; Zhang, L.; Wan, H.; Qi, T.; Xia, D. Highly Conductive NiCo₂S₄ Urchin-like Nanostructures for High-Rate Pseudocapacitors. *Nanoscale* 2013, 5, 8879–8883. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Shen, L.; Yu, L.; Wu, H.B.; Yu, X.-Y.; Zhang, X.; Lou, X.W. Formation of Nickel Cobalt Sulfide Ball-in-Ball Hollow Spheres with Enhanced Electrochemical Pseudocapacitive Properties. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6694. [CrossRef]
- Kulkarni, P.; Nataraj, S.K.; Balakrishna, R.G.; Nagaraju, D.H.; Reddy, M.V. Nanostructured Binary and Ternary Metal Sulfides: Synthesis Methods and Their Application in Energy Conversion and Storage Devices. J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 22040–22094. [CrossRef]
- Liu, W.; Zhang, J.; Bai, Z.; Jiang, G.; Li, M.; Feng, K.; Yang, L.; Ding, Y.; Yu, T.; Chen, Z.; et al. Controllable Urchin-Like NiCo₂S₄ Microsphere Synergized with Sulfur-Doped Graphene as Bifunctional Catalyst for Superior Rechargeable Zn-Air Battery. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2018, 28, 1706675. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, W.; Ren, M.; Hu, N.; Zhang, W.; Luo, Z.; Wang, R.; Wang, J.; Huang, L.; Suo, Y.; Wang, J. Traditional NiCo₂S₄ Phase with Porous Nanosheets Array Topology on Carbon Cloth: A Flexible, Versatile and Fabulous Electrocatalyst for Overall Water and Urea Electrolysis. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2018, 6, 5011–5020. [CrossRef]
- 29. Feng, X.; Jiao, Q.; Liu, T.; Li, Q.; Yin, M.; Zhao, Y.; Li, H.; Feng, C.; Zhou, W. Facile Synthesis of Co₉S₈ Hollow Spheres as a High-Performance Electrocatalyst for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 1863–1871. [CrossRef]
- Chauhan, M.; Reddy, K.P.; Gopinath, C.S.; Deka, S. Copper Cobalt Sulfide Nanosheets Realizing a Promising Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution Reaction. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 5871–5879. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Q.; Jin, J.; Zhang, J. NiCo₂S₄@graphene as a Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Oxygen Reduction and Evolution Reactions. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2013, 5, 5002–5008. [CrossRef]
- Xu, X.; Liu, X.; Zhong, W.; Zhang, L.; Liu, G.; Du, Y. Nanostructured NiCo₂S₄@NiCo₂O₄-Reduced Graphene Oxide as an Efficient Hydrogen Evolution Electrocatalyst in Alkaline Electrolyte. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2021, 601, 570–580. [CrossRef]
- Li, H.; Chen, L.; Jin, P.; Li, Y.; Pang, J.; Hou, J.; Peng, S.; Wang, G.; Shi, Y. NiCo₂S₄ Microspheres Grown on N, S Co-Doped Reduced Graphene Oxide as an Efficient Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Overall Water Splitting in Alkaline and Neutral PH. *Nano Res.* 2022, 15, 950–958. [CrossRef]

- He, B.; Song, J.-J.; Li, X.-Y.; Xu, C.-Y.; Li, Y.-B.; Tang, Y.-W.; Hao, Q.-L.; Liu, H.-K.; Su, Z. A nitrogen-doped NiCo₂S₄/CoO hollow multi-layered heterostructure microsphere for efficient oxygen evolution in Zn–air batteries. *Nanoscale* 2021, 13, 810–818. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fereja, S.L.; Li, P.; Zhang, Z.; Guo, J.; Fang, Z.; Li, Z.; Chen, W. Construction of NiCo₂S₄/Fe₂O₃ Hybrid Nanostructure as a Highly Efficient Electrocatalyst for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Electrochim. Acta* 2022, 405, 139793. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Wang, J.; Zhang, B.; Ruan, Y.; Lv, L.; Ji, X.; Xu, K.; Miao, L.; Jiang, J. Hierarchical NiCo₂S₄@NiFe LDH Heterostructures Supported on Nickel Foam for Enhanced Overall-Water-Splitting Activity. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 15364–15372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, Y.; Ge, S.; Chen, X.; Xiang, Z.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, R.; Cui, Y. Hierarchical FeCo₂S₄@FeNi₂S₄ Core/Shell Nanostructures on Ni Foam for High-Performance Supercapacitors. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, *25*, 14117–14122. [CrossRef]
- Su, H.; Song, S.; Gao, Y.; Li, N.; Fu, Y.; Ge, L.; Song, W.; Liu, J.; Ma, T. In Situ Electronic Redistribution Tuning of NiCo₂S₄ Nanosheets for Enhanced Electrocatalysis. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2022, *32*, 2109731. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, X.; Nguyen, D.C.; Prabhakaran, S.; Kim, D.H.; Kim, N.H.; Lee, J.H. Activating catalytic behavior of binary transition metal sulfide-shelled carbon nanotubes by iridium incorporation toward efficient overall water splitting. *Mater. Today Nano* 2023, 21, 100296. [CrossRef]
- Wu, Y.; Liu, X.; Han, D.; Song, X.; Shi, L.; Song, Y.; Niu, S.; Xie, Y.; Cai, J.; Wu, S.; et al. Electron Density Modulation of NiCo₂S₄ Nanowires by Nitrogen Incorporation for Highly Efficient Hydrogen Evolution Catalysis. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *9*, 1425. [CrossRef]
- Min, K.; Yoo, R.; Kim, S.; Kim, H.; Shim, S.E.; Lim, D.; Baeck, S.-H. Facile Synthesis of P-Doped NiCo₂S₄ Nanoneedles Supported on Ni Foam as Highly Efficient Electrocatalysts for Alkaline Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Electrochim. Acta* 2021, 396, 139236. [CrossRef]
- Liang, T.; Lenus, S.; Liu, Y.; Chen, Y.; Sakthivel, T.; Chen, F.; Ma, F.; Dai, Z. Interface and M³⁺/M²⁺ Valence Dual-Engineering on Nickel Cobalt Sulfoselenide/Black Phosphorus Heterostructure for Efficient Water Splitting Electrocatalysis. *Energy Environ. Mater.* 2023, 6, e12332. [CrossRef]
- 43. Gu, H.; Fan, W.; Liu, T. Phosphorus-doped NiCo₂S₄ nanocrystals grown on electrospun carbon nanofibers as ultra-efficient electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *Nanoscale Horiz.* **2017**, *2*, 277–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Gong, Q.; Cheng, L.; Liu, C.; Zhang, M.; Feng, Q.; Ye, H.; Zeng, M.; Xie, L.; Liu, Z.; Li, Y. Ultrathin MoS_{2(1-x)}Se_{2x} Alloy Nanoflakes For Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 2213–2219. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, H.; Su, J.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y. Investigation of Anion Doping Effect to Boost Overall Water Splitting. J. Catal. 2020, 381, 84–95. [CrossRef]
- 46. Liu, R.; Xu, S.; Shao, X.; Wen, Y.; Shi, X.; Huang, L.; Hong, M.; Hu, J.; Yang, Z. Defect-Engineered NiCo-S Composite as a Bifunctional Electrode for High-Performance Supercapacitor and Electrocatalysis. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *13*, 47717–47727. [CrossRef]
- 47. Deng, W.; Xie, W.; Li, D.; Gai, Y.; Chen, Z.; Yu, J.; Yang, R.; Bao, X.; Jiang, F. Controllable Tuning of Polymetallic Co-Ni-Ru-S-Se Ultrathin Nanosheets to Boost Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution. *NPG Asia Mater.* **2022**, *14*, 25. [CrossRef]
- Sarawutanukul, S.; Tomon, C.; Duangdangchote, S.; Phattharasupakun, N.; Sawangphruk, M. Rechargeable Photoactive Zn-Air Batteries Using NiCo₂S₄ as an Efficient Bifunctional Photocatalyst towards OER/ORR at the Cathode. *Batter. Supercaps* 2020, 3, 541–547. [CrossRef]
- Cai, D.; Wang, D.; Wang, C.; Liu, B.; Wang, L.; Liu, Y.; Li, Q.; Wang, T. Construction of Desirable NiCo₂S₄ Nanotube Arrays on Nickel Foam Substrate for Pseudocapacitors with Enhanced Performance. *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 151, 35–41. [CrossRef]
- Song, Y.; Wang, Z.; Yan, Y.; Zhao, W.; Bakenov, Z. NiCo₂S₄ Nanoparticles Embedded in Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes Networks as Effective Sulfur Carriers for Advanced Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2021, 316, 110924. [CrossRef]
- Huang, Z.; He, W.; Shen, H.; Han, G.; Wang, H.; Su, P.; Song, J.; Yang, Y. NiCo₂S₄ Microflowers as Peroxidase Mimic: A Multi-Functional Platform for Colorimetric Detection of Glucose and Evaluation of Antioxidant Behavior. *Talanta* 2021, 230, 122337. [CrossRef]
- 52. Guo, Z.; Diao, Y.; Han, X.; Liu, Z.; Ni, Y.; Zhang, L. Mesoporous NiCo₂Se₄ Tube as an Efficient Electrode Material with Enhanced Performance for Asymmetric Supercapacitor Applications. *CrystEngComm* **2021**, *23*, 2099–2112. [CrossRef]
- Meng, A.; Yuan, X.; Shen, T.; Zhao, J.; Song, G.; Lin, Y.; Li, Z. Amorphous Nickel Sulfide Nanoparticles Anchored on N-Doped Graphene Nanotubes with Superior Properties for High-Performance Supercapacitors and Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Nanoscale* 2020, *12*, 4655–4666. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cai, P.; Huang, J.; Chen, J.; Wen, Z. Oxygen-Containing Amorphous Cobalt Sulfide Porous Nanocubes as High-Activity Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction in an Alkaline/Neutral Medium. *Angew. Chem.* 2017, 129, 4936–4939. [CrossRef]
- 55. Chen, N.; Du, Y.-X.; Zhang, G.; Lu, W.-T.; Cao, F.-F. Amorphous Nickel Sulfoselenide for Efficient Electrochemical Urea-Assisted Hydrogen Production in Alkaline Media. *Nano Energy* **2021**, *81*, 105605. [CrossRef]
- Guan, D.; Shi, C.; Xu, H.; Gu, Y.; Zhong, J.; Sha, Y.; Hu, Z.; Ni, M.; Shao, Z. Simultaneously mastering operando strain and reconstruction effects via phase-segregation strategy for enhanced oxygen-evolving electrocatalysis. *J. Energy Chem.* 2023, *82*, 572–580. [CrossRef]
- 57. Shi, Z.; Qi, X.; Zhang, Z.; Song, Y.; Zhang, J.; Guo, C.; Zhu, Z. Porous Cobalt Sulfide Selenium Nanorods for Electrochemical Hydrogen Evolution. *ACS Omega* **2021**, *6*, 23300–23310. [CrossRef]

- Pecharsky, V.K.; Zavalij, P.Y. Fundamentals of Powder Diffraction and Structural Characterization of Materials, 2nd ed.; ch. 12.1.5; Springer Science & Business Mediaz: New York, NY, USA, 2005; p. 313. Available online: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1 007/0-387-24567-7_2 (accessed on 2 July 2023).
- Wang, H.-Y.; Hsu, Y.-Y.; Chen, R.; Chan, T.-S.; Chen, H.M.; Liu, B. Ni³⁺-Induced Formation of Active NiOOH on the Spinel Ni-Co Oxide Surface for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, *5*, 1500091. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Q.; Shang, L.; Shi, R.; Zhang, X.; Zhao, Y.; Waterhouse, G.I.N.; Wu, L.-Z.; Tung, C.-H.; Zhang, T. NiFe Layered Double Hydroxide Nanoparticles on Co,N-Codoped Carbon Nanoframes as Efficient Bifunctional Catalysts for Rechargeable Zinc-Air Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, 7, 1700467. [CrossRef]
- Biesinger, M.C.; Payne, B.P.; Grosvenor, A.P.; Lau, L.W.M.; Gerson, A.R.; Smart, R.S.C. Resolving Surface Chemical States in XPS Analysis of First Row Transition Metals, Oxides and Hydroxides: Cr, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2011, 257, 2717–2730. [CrossRef]
- 62. Yamashita, T.; Hayes, P. Analysis of XPS Spectra of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ Ions in Oxide Materials. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2008**, 254, 2441–2449. [CrossRef]
- Yin, L.I.; Yellin, E.; Adler, I. X-Ray Excited LMM Auger Spectra of Copper, Nickel, and Iron. J. Appl. Phys. 1971, 42, 3595–3600. [CrossRef]
- He, Y.; Liu, X.; Chen, G.; Pan, J.; Yan, A.; Li, A.; Lu, X.; Tang, D.; Zhang, N.; Qiu, T.; et al. Synthesis of Co(II)-Fe(III) Hydroxide Nanocones with Mixed Octahedral/Tetrahedral Coordination toward Efficient Electrocatalysis. *Chem. Mater.* 2020, 32, 4232–4240. [CrossRef]
- 65. Akbari, M.S.A.; Bagheri, R.; Song, Z.; Najafpour, M.M. Oxygen-evolution reaction by nickel/nickel oxide interface in the presence of ferrate(VI). *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 8757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Li, J.; Cui, H.; Du, X.; Zhang, X. The Controlled Synthesis of Nitrogen and Iron Co-Doped Ni₃S₂@NiP₂ Heterostructures for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction and Urea Oxidation Reaction. *Dalton Trans.* **2022**, *51*, 2444–2451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, H.; Ning, S.; Xie, Y.; He, Z.; Teng, J.; Chen, Z.; Fan, Y.; Shi, J.; Barboiu, M.; Wang, D.; et al. Synergistic Modulation of Electronic Interaction to Enhance Intrinsic Activity and Conductivity of Fe–Co–Ni Hydroxide Nanotube for Highly Efficient Oxygen Evolution Electrocatalyst. *Small* 2023, 19, 2302272. [CrossRef]
- Yang, L.; Qin, H.; Dong, Z.; Wang, T.; Wang, G.; Jiao, L. Metallic S-CoTe with Surface Reconstruction Activated by Electrochemical Oxidation for Oxygen Evolution Catalysis. *Small* 2021, 17, 2102027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Shinagawa, T.; Garcia-Esparza, A.T.; Takanabe, K. Insight on Tafel Slopes from a Microkinetic Analysis of Aqueous Electrocatalysis for Energy Conversion. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 13801. [CrossRef]
- 70. Li, G.; Anderson, L.; Chen, Y.; Pan, M.; Abel Chuang, P.-Y. New Insights into Evaluating Catalyst Activity and Stability for Oxygen Evolution Reactions in Alkaline Media. *Sustain. Energy Fuels.* **2018**, *2*, 237–251. [CrossRef]
- 71. Shang, X.; Chen, W.; Jiang, Z.-J.; Song, C.; Jiang, Z. In Situ Growth of SeO_x Films on the Surface of Ni–Fe–Selenide Nanosheets as Highly Active and Stable Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Mater. Adv.* **2022**, *3*, 2546–2557. [CrossRef]
- 72. Zhang, K.; Zou, R. Advanced Transition Metal-Based OER Electrocatalysts: Current Status, Opportunities, and Challenges. *Small* **2021**, *17*, 2100129. [CrossRef]
- 73. Anantharaj, S.; Kundu, S.; Noda, S. "The Fe Effect": A Review Unveiling the Critical Roles of Fe in Enhancing OER Activity of Ni and Co Based Catalysts. *Nano Energy* **2021**, *80*, 105514. [CrossRef]
- 74. Kuai, C.; Xi, C.; Hu, A.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, Z.; Nordlund, D.; Sun, C.-J.; Cadigan, C.A.; Richards, R.M.; Li, L.; et al. Revealing the Dynamics and Roles of Iron Incorporation in Nickel Hydroxide Water Oxidation Catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 18519–18526. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Lopes, P.P.; Chung, D.Y.; Rui, X.; Zheng, H.; He, H.; Martins, P.F.B.D.; Strmcnik, D.; Stamenkovic, V.R.; Zapol, P.; Mitchell, J.F.; et al. Dynamically stable active sites from surface evolution of perovskite materials during the oxygen evolution reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 2741–2750. [CrossRef]
- 76. Friebel, D.; Louie, M.W.; Bajdich, M.; Sanwald, K.E.; Cai, Y.; Wise, A.M.; Cheng, M.-J.; Sokaras, D.; Weng, T.-C.; Alonso-Mori, R.; et al. Identification of Highly Active Fe Sites in (Ni,Fe)OOH for Electrocatalytic Water Splitting. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 1305–1313. [CrossRef]
- 77. Sinitsyn, P.A.; Kuznetsov, V.V.; Filatova, E.A.; Levchenko, S.V. Ruddlesden–Popper Oxides LaSrM1_{1-x} $M_{2x}O_{4\pm\delta}$ (M1, M2—Fe, Co, Ni) Synthesized by the Spray-Pyrolysis Method as Promising Electrocatalysts for Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Energies* **2022**, 15, 8315. [CrossRef]
- 78. Yang, M.; Lu, W.; Jin, R.; Liu, X.-C.; Song, S.; Xing, Y. Superior Oxygen Evolution Reaction Performance of Co₃O₄/NiCo₂O₄/Ni Foam Composite with Hierarchical Structure. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2019, 14, 12214–12221. [CrossRef]
- Chuah, X.F.; Hsieh, C.-T.; Huang, C.-L.; Raja, D.S.; Lin, H.-W.; Lu, S.-Y. In-Situ Grown, Passivator-Modulated Anodization Derived Synergistically Well-Mixed Ni–Fe Oxides from Ni Foam as High-Performance Oxygen Evolution Reaction Electrocatalyst. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2019, 2, 743–753. [CrossRef]
- Duan, J.-J.; Zhang, R.-L.; Feng, J.-J.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Q.-L.; Wang, A.-J. Facile Synthesis of Nanoflower-like Phosphorus-Doped Ni₃S₂/CoFe₂O₄ Arrays on Nickel Foam as a Superior Electrocatalyst for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2021, *581*, 774–782. [CrossRef]

- Xue, Z.; Wang, Y.; Yang, M.; Wang, T.; Zhu, H.; Rui, Y.; Wu, S.; An, W. In-Situ Construction of Electrodeposited Polyaniline/Nickel-Iron Oxyhydroxide Stabilized on Nickel Foam for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction at High Current Densities. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy.* 2022, 47, 34025–34035. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, T.; Wang, Y.; Chen, X.; Li, Y.; Su, Z.; Zhao, C. Vertical Growth of Porous Perovskite Nanoarrays on Nickel Foam for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2020, 8, 4863–4870. [CrossRef]
- Gao, W.; Ma, F.; Wang, C.; Wen, D. Ce Dopant Significantly Promotes the Catalytic Activity of Ni Foam-Supported Ni₃S₂ Electrocatalyst for Alkaline Oxygen Evolution Reaction. J. Power Sources 2020, 450, 227654. [CrossRef]
- Kim, D.Y.; Lee, H.; Choi, S.R.; Choi, S.; An, W.Y.; Cho, H.-S.; Choi, M.; Park, J.-Y. Synthesis of Hierarchically Porous Ni Foam-Supported Heazlewoodite Ni₃S₂ Nanorod Electrocatalysts for Highly Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *J. Alloys Compd.* 2022, 914, 165305. [CrossRef]
- 85. Tao, K.; Gong, Y.; Zhou, Q.; Lin, J. Nickel Sulfide Wrapped by Porous Cobalt Molybdate Nanosheet Arrays Grown on Ni Foam for Oxygen Evolution Reaction and Supercapacitor. *Electrochim. Acta* **2018**, *286*, 65–76. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Z.; Yuan, M.; Lin, L.; Yang, H.; Li, H.; Sun, G.; Yang, X.; Ma, S. Needle Grass-like Cobalt Hydrogen Phosphate on Ni Foam as an Effective and Stable Electrocatalyst for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Chem. Commun.* 2019, 55, 9729–9732. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.; Han, G.-Q.; Liu, Y.-R.; Dong, B.; Hu, W.-H.; Shang, X.; Chai, Y.-M.; Liu, C.-G. NiSe@NiOOH Core–Shell Hyacinth-like Nanostructures on Nickel Foam Synthesized by in Situ Electrochemical Oxidation as an Efficient Electrocatalyst for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 20057–20066. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.; Guo, P.; Niu, S.; Wu, J.; Wang, W.; Song, B.; Wang, X.; Jiang, Z.; Xu, P. Magnetic Field Enhanced Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution of NiFe-LDH/Co₃O₄ P-n Heterojunction Supported on Nickel Foam. *Small Methods* 2022, *6*, 2200084. [CrossRef]
- 89. Ji, L.; Si, Y.; Liu, H.; Song, X.; Zhu, W.; Zhu, A. Application of orthogonal experimental design in synthesis of mesoporous bioactive glass. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2014**, *184*, 122–126. [CrossRef]
- Mohamed, S.G.; Hussain, I.; Shim, J.-J. One-Step Synthesis of Hollow C-NiCo₂S₄ Nanostructures for High-Performance Supercapacitor Electrodes. *Nanoscale* 2018, 10, 6620–6628. [CrossRef]
- 91. Pu, J.; Cui, F.; Chu, S.; Wang, T.; Sheng, E.; Wang, Z. Preparation and Electrochemical Characterization of Hollow Hexagonal NiCo₂S₄ Nanoplates as Pseudocapacitor Materials. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2014**, *2*, 809–815. [CrossRef]
- Zou, J.; Xie, D.; Zhao, F.; Wu, H.; Niu, Y.; Li, Z.; Zou, Q.; Deng, F.; Zhang, Q.; Zeng, X. Microwave Rapid Synthesis of Nickel Cobalt Sulfides/CNTs Composites as Superior Cycling Ability Electrode Materials for Supercapacitors. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2021, 56, 1561–1576. [CrossRef]
- Bao, Y.; Zhang, W.; Yun, T.; Dai, J.; Li, G.; Mao, W.; Guan, M.; Zhuang, Y. The Application of Transition Metal Sulfide Ni₃S₄/CNFs in Rechargeable Ni–Zn Batteries. *New J. Chem.* 2021, 45, 22491–22496. [CrossRef]
- 94. Kung, C.-W.; Chen, H.-W.; Lin, C.-Y.; Huang, K.-C.; Vittal, R.; Ho, K.-C. CoS Acicular Nanorod Arrays for the Counter Electrode of an Efficient Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell. ACS Nano 2012, 6, 7016–7025. [CrossRef]
- Khani, H.; Wipf, D.O. Iron Oxide Nanosheets and Pulse-Electrodeposited Ni–Co–S Nanoflake Arrays for High-Performance Charge Storage. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 6967–6978. [CrossRef]
- Matoba, M.; Anzai, S.; Fujimori, A. Thermal Expansion, Thermoelectric Power, and XPS Study of the Nonmetal-Metal Transition in Ni_{1-x}S_{1-y}Se_y. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1991**, *60*, 4230–4244. [CrossRef]
- Shi, Z.-T.; Kang, W.; Xu, J.; Sun, L.-L.; Wu, C.; Wang, L.; Yu, Y.-Q.; Yu, D.Y.W.; Zhang, W.; Lee, C.-S. In Situ Carbon-Doped Mo(Se_{0.85}S_{0.15})₂ Hierarchical Nanotubes as Stable Anodes for High-Performance Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Small* 2015, *11*, 5667–5674. [CrossRef]
- Danilson, M.; Altosaar, M.; Kauk, M.; Katerski, A.; Krustok, J.; Raudoja, J. XPS Study of CZTSSe Monograin Powders. *Thin Solid Films* 2011, 519, 7407–7411. [CrossRef]
- Zou, X.; Wu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Liu, D.; Li, W.; Gu, L.; Liu, H.; Wang, P.; Sun, L.; Zhang, Y. In Situ Generation of Bifunctional, Efficient Fe-Based Catalysts from Mackinawite Iron Sulfide for Water Splitting. *Chem* 2018, *4*, 1139–1152. [CrossRef]
- 100. Sahoo, M.K.; Samantara, A.K.; Behera, J.N. In Situ Transformed Cobalt Metal–Organic Framework Electrocatalysts for the Electrochemical Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Inorg. Chem.* 2020, *59*, 12252–12262. [CrossRef]
- 101. Beamson, G.; Briggs, D. High Resolution XPS of Organic Polymers: The Scienta ESCA300 Database; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1992.
- 102. Shen, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Sunarso, J.; Guan, D.; Liu, B.; Liu, H.; Zhou, W.; Shao, Z. New Phosphorus-Doped Perovskite Oxide as an Oxygen Reduction Reaction Electrocatalyst in an Alkaline Solution. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 6950–6957. [CrossRef]
- Yang, H.; Li, F.; Zhan, S. Intramolecular hydroxyl nucleophilic attack pathway by a polymeric water oxidation catalyst with single cobalt sites. *Nat. Catal.* 2022, *5*, 414–429. [CrossRef]
- Feng, C.; Wang, F.; Liu, Z. A self-healing catalyst for electrocatalytic and photoelectrochemical oxygen evolution in highly alkaline conditions. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 5980. [CrossRef]
- Garcés-Pineda, F.A.; Blasco-Ahicart, M.; Nieto-Castro, D. Direct magnetic enhancement of electrocatalytic water oxidation in alkaline media. *Nat. Energy* 2019, 4, 519–525. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, S.L.; Guan, B.Y.; Lu, X.F.; Xi, S.; Du, Y.; Lou, X.W.D. Metal Atom-Doped Co₃O₄ Hierarchical Nanoplates for Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution. *Adv. Mater.* 2020, *32*, 2002235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Sundivich, J.; May, K.J.; Gasteiger, H.A.; Goodenough, G.B.; Horn, Y.S. A Perovskite Oxide Optimized for Oxygen Evolution Catalysis from Molecular Orbital Principles. *Science* **2011**, *334*, 1383–1385. [CrossRef]

- 108. Shan, Z.; Archana, P.S.; Shen, G.; Gupta, A.; Bakker, M.G.; Pan, S. NanoCOT: Low-Cost Nanostructured Electrode Containing Carbon, Oxygen, and Titanium for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11996–12005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, S.; Ji, L.; De Palma, A.C. Scalable highly stable Si-based metal-insulator-semiconductor photoanodes for water oxidation fabricated using thin-film reactions and electrodeposition. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 3982. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, R.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Z.; Wu, W.; Du, S.; Zhu, W.; Lv, H.; Cheng, N. Constructing Air-Stable and Reconstruction-Inhibited Transition Metal Sulfide Catalysts via Tailoring Electron-Deficient Distribution for Water Oxidation. ACS Catal. 2022, 12, 13234–13246. [CrossRef]
- 111. Shit, S.; Chhetri, S.; Jang, W.; Murmu, N.C.; Koo, H.; Samanta, P.; Kuila, T. Cobalt Sulfide/Nickel Sulfide Heterostructure Directly Grown on Nickel Foam: An Efficient and Durable Electrocatalyst for Overall Water Splitting Application. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 27712–27722. [CrossRef]
- 112. Zhang, K.; Min, X.; Zhang, T.; Si, M.; Jiang, J.; Chai, L.; Shi, Y. Biodeposited Nano-CdS Drives the In Situ Growth of Highly Dispersed Sulfide Nanoparticles during Pyrolysis for Enhanced Oxygen Evolution Reaction. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 54553–54562. [CrossRef]
- Tiwari, A.; Yoon, Y.; Novak, T.G.; An, K.S.; Jeon, S. Continuous Network of Phase-Tuned Nickel Sulfide Nanostructures for Electrocatalytic Water Splitting. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2019, 2, 5061–5070. [CrossRef]
- 114. Ji, Q.; Kong, Y.; Tan, H.; Duan, H.; Li, N.; Tang, B.; Wang, Y.; Feng, S.; Lv, L.; Wang, C.; et al. Operando Identification of Active Species and Intermediates on Sulfide Interfaced by Fe₃O₄ for Ultrastable Alkaline Oxygen Evolution at Large Current Density. ACS Catal. 2022, 12, 4318–4326. [CrossRef]
- 115. Xie, M.; Ai, S.; Yang, J.; Yang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Jin, Y. In-Situ Generation of Oxide Nanowire Arrays from AgCuZn Alloy Sulfide with Enhanced Electrochemical Oxygen-Evolving Performance. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 17112–17121. [CrossRef]
- 116. Shit, S.; Bolar, S.; Murmu, N.C.; Kuila, T. Design Principle of Monoclinic NiCo₂Se₄ and Co₃Se₄ Nanoparticles with Opposing Intrinsic and Geometric Electrocatalytic Activity toward the OER. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 18015–18026. [CrossRef]
- 117. Swathi, S.; Yuvakkumar, R.; Ravi, G.; Thambidurai, M.; Nguyen, H.D.; Velauthapillai, D. Ternary Copper Iron Sulfide Microflowers Anchored on Reduced Graphene Oxide for Water Splitting. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2023**, *6*, 6538–6549. [CrossRef]
- 118. Waqas, M.; Younis, J.; Awais, M.; Nazar, N.; Hussain, S.; Murtaza, S.; Yasmin, N.; Ashiq, M.N.; Mirza, M.; Safdar, M. CrS₂-Modulated Enhanced Catalytic Properties of CdS/MoS₂ Heterostructures Toward Photodegradation and Electrochemical OER Kinetics. *Energy Fuels* **2022**, *36*, 8391–8401. [CrossRef]
- 119. Jayaramulu, K.; Masa, J.; Tomanec, O.; Peeters, D.; Ranc, V.; Schneemann, A.; Zboril, R.; Schuhmann, W.; Fischer, R.A. Nanoporous Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Oxide/Nickel Sulfide Composite Sheets Derived from a Metal-Organic Framework as an Efficient Electrocatalyst for Hydrogen and Oxygen Evolution. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2017, 27, 1700451. [CrossRef]
- Ganesan, P.; Sivananthama, A.; Shanmugam, S. Inexpensive electrochemical synthesis of nickel iron sulphides on nickel foam: Super active and ultra-durable electrocatalysts for alkaline electrolyte membrane water electrolysis. J. Mater. Chem. A 2016, 4, 16394–16402. [CrossRef]
- 121. Gervas, C.; Khan, M.D.; Zhang, C.; Zhao, C.; Gupta, R.K.; Carleschi, E.; Doyle, B.P.; Revaprasadu, N. Effect of cationic disorder on the energy generation and energy storage applications of Ni_xCo_{3-x}S₄ thiospinel. *RSC Adv.* 2018, *8*, 24049–24058. [CrossRef]
- Wu, H.; Lu, Q.; Zhang, J. Thermal Shock-Activated Spontaneous Growing of Nanosheets for Overall Water Splitting. *Nano-Micro Lett.* 2020, 12, 162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Wang, X.; Yang, Y.; Wang, R.; Li, L.; Zhao, X.; Zhang, W. Porous Ni₃S₂–Co₉S₈ Carbon Aerogels Derived from Carrageenan/NiCo-MOF Hydrogels as an Efficient Electrocatalyst for Oxygen Evolution in Rechargeable Zn–Air Batteries. *Langmuir* 2022, 38, 7280–7289. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Li, M.; Xu, Z.; Li, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhong, Q. In situ fabrication of cobalt/nickel sulfides nano hybrid based on various sulfur sources as highly efficient bifunctional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting. *Nano Select* **2022**, *3*, 147–156. [CrossRef]
- 125. Guo, M.-L.; Wu, Z.-Y.; Zhang, M.-M.; Huang, Z.-J.; Zhang, K.-X.; Wang, B.-R.; Tu, J.-C. Coupling interface constructions of FeOOH/NiCo₂S₄ by microwave-assisted method for efficient oxygen evolution reaction. *Rare Met.* 2023, 42, 1847–1857. [CrossRef]
- 126. Jin, C.; Hou, M.; Li, X.; Liu, D.; Qu, D.; Dong, Y.; Xie, Z.; Zhang, C. Rapid electrodeposition of Fe-doped nickel selenides on Ni foam as a bi-functional electrocatalyst for water splitting in alkaline solution. *J. Electroanal.* **2022**, *906*, 116014. [CrossRef]
- 127. Guo, Y.; Zhou, X.; Tang, J.; Tanaka, S.; Kaneti, Y.V.; Na, J.; Jiang, B.; Yamauchi, Y.; Bando, Y.; Sugahara, Y. Multiscale structural optimization: Highly efficient hollow iron-doped metal sulfide heterostructures as bifunctional electrocatalysts for water splitting. *Nano Energy* **2020**, *75*, 104913. [CrossRef]
- 128. Wang, C.-Y.; Dong, W.-D.; Zhou, M.-R.; Wang, L.; Hu, Z.-Y.; Chen, L.; Li, Y.; Su, B.-L. Gradient selenium-doping regulating interfacial charge transfer in zinc sulfide/carbon anode for stable lithium storage. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2022, 619, 42–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.