

Case Report

3D-Printed Titanium Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion Cage for Treatment of Foraminal Stenosis Due to Congenital L5 Hemivertebra with Virtual Surgical Planning: Technical Note and Case Report

Richard J. Parkinson ^{1,2,3,4,*}, Ralph J. Mobbs ^{1,2,3}, Christopher Huang ^{1,2} and William C. H. Parr ^{1,5}

¹ Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Randwick, NSW 2031, Australia

² NeuroSpine Surgery Research Group (NSURG), Sydney, NSW 2031, Australia

³ NeuroSpine Clinic, Prince of Wales Private Hospital, Randwick, NSW 2031, Australia

⁴ Faculty of Medicine, Clinical school, Notre Dame University, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia

⁵ 3DMorphic, 38 Raymond Ave, Matraville, Sydney, NSW 2036, Australia

* Correspondence: secretary@ispine.com.au

Highlights

What are the main findings?

- 3D-customised cages provide a superior anatomical solution in cases of congenital spinal deformity.
- Implantation is safe and feasible in rare deformities.

What are the implications of the main findings?

- Further clinical series using this technology is recommended.
- 3D planning can assist patient safety and recovery.

Abstract

Background/Objectives: The integration of three-dimensional (3D) printing and virtual surgical planning (VSP) has introduced a new standard in spinal surgery, enabling highly individualised preoperative preparation and intraoperative execution. **Methods:** By virtually reconstructing patient anatomy, surgeons can identify critical vascular corridors, analyse endplate morphology, determine optimal interbody cage geometry for the best anatomical fit, and predefine screw trajectories and entry points with exceptional accuracy. This level of planning reduces intraoperative uncertainty and minimises the risk of implant malposition, particularly in anatomically complex scenarios, such as the current case report. Quantitative assessment of alignment correction can also be performed pre-operatively, allowing the surgeon to model and predict postoperative spinal balance with greater precision. This technology is particularly advantageous in congenital spinal anomalies, such as hemivertebrae, where orientation can be challenging and anatomical landmarks are often distorted or partially obscured. **Results:** We report the application of 3D-printed patient-specific implants (PSIs) in conjunction with VSP to perform a single-level, standalone anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) for the treatment of congenital scoliosis due to a left hemivertebra, associated with progressive left L5/S1 foraminal stenosis. **Conclusion:** This is the first case of a standalone ALIF for this indication. The patient presented with severe left L5 radicular pain and motor–sensory radiculopathy. The use of a PSI facilitated precise reconstruction of the spinal column, optimised implant fit and enhanced surgical accuracy in this technically complex case.

Academic Editors: Egidio Riggio and Daniel Dubinski

Received: 4 March 2026

Revised: 4 April 2026

Accepted: 20 July 2026

Published: 3 August 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.

Keywords: foraminal stenosis; hemivertebra; patient's specific implant (PSI); vertebral wedge deformity; anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF); 3D-printed interbody cage

1. Introduction

A hemivertebra represents a defect of embryological vertebral formation, characterised by a wedge-shaped vertebral body with a single hemi-lamina and pedicle, resulting from the failure of formation of one of the two primary ossification centres [1]. With an estimated incidence of 1–10 per 10,000 live births, hemivertebrae are among the most common causes of congenital scoliosis [1–5]. Lumbar hemivertebrae occur due to maldevelopment of a single half of the vertebral body and are a well-recognised cause of spinal deformity in childhood, adolescence, and adulthood. While typically sporadic, they may also occur as part of various syndromic conditions such as Klippel–Feil, Jarcho–Levin (Spondylocostal Dysostosis), and VACTERL associations [5–9]. Coexisting congenital anomalies are frequent [10]. Prenatal ultrasound can sometimes identify hemivertebrae [4], but they are most commonly diagnosed during evaluation of progressive scoliosis in childhood or adulthood.

The goals of treatment include halting progression of the deformity, relieving pain, improving cosmetic alignment, removing or reconstructing the hemivertebra when indicated, decompressing neural elements, and achieving stable, durable correction in accordance with established scoliosis treatment paradigms [11,12]. Surgical strategies have traditionally relied on “subtractive” techniques, including hemivertebra resection, decortication with in situ fusion, and osteotomies.

Recent advances in virtual surgical planning (VSP) and three-dimensional (3D)-printed patient-specific implants (PSIs) have enabled more sophisticated, anatomy-tailored approaches. VSP facilitates comprehensive preoperative analysis of patient anatomy, including vascular structures, variant anatomy, endplate morphology, cage placement points, and potential osteotomy sites. It allows surgeons to virtually plan osteotomies, screw trajectories, cage dimensions, and to quantify foraminal correction achievable through interbody distraction [13]. PSIs, developed through VSP, enable accurate, reproducible implant placement while minimising the risk of intraoperative complications—particularly vascular injury—through precise approach planning. Compared with conventional subtractive methods, this approach represents a shift toward an “augmentative” paradigm, with potentially less intraoperative morbidity and improved postoperative recovery.


Anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) can facilitate deformity correction, indirect decompression, and restoration of sagittal alignment, particularly at the lumbosacral junction [14]. The anterior approach allows wide exposure of the disc space, placement of a large lordotic implant, and correction of deformity with minimal posterior disruption. Its use with a 3D-printed patient-specific implant and virtual surgical planning has previously been described for adult lumbar hemivertebrae [13]. Alternative strategies include hemivertebra resection, a combined anterior–posterior (360°) approach, and posterior decompression with instrumented fusion [1,2,12]. In this report, we describe the application of VSP and a 3D-printed PSI as part of a single-level ALIF procedure to treat symptomatic degeneration and congenital scoliosis associated with a lateral L5 hemivertebra in an adult female patient. This case illustrates the utility of combining anterior-based deformity correction with modern patient-specific technologies to address complex congenital spinal pathology. The secondary aim is to illustrate that more invasive approaches, such as vertebrectomy, may not be necessary.

2. Technical Note


A 53-year-old right-handed female presented with a three-year history of left paramedian lumbosacral pain, exacerbated by prolonged standing. The back and sciatic pain was rated at 6–8/10 on the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and had progressively interfered with activities of daily living. Over the preceding six months, she had also developed left L5-pattern sciatica with a clear mechanical and weight-bearing component. Conservative management—including temporarily effective caudal steroid injections, structured physiotherapy, multimodal pain strategies, regular analgesia, and weight loss—failed to provide sustained relief. Neurological examination demonstrated an incomplete left L5 motor radiculopathy with 4+/5 weakness in the extensor hallucis longus and foot eversion, with normal strength elsewhere. There was mild sensory loss to light touch in the left L5 dermatome, and her gait exhibited a mild Trendelenburg pattern. There were no signs of myelopathy.

Radiological assessment included standing EOS films, MRI, and CT imaging. EOS imaging (Figure 1) revealed both coronal and sagittal deformity. CT and MRI (Figures 2 and 3) confirmed a trapezoidal L5 hemivertebra and progressive severe left L5 bony foraminal stenosis. Comparison with MRI performed two years earlier demonstrated progressive narrowing of the left L5/S1 foramen (Figure 3).

Given the complex anatomy and anticipated challenges of anterior exposure, further evaluation with CT abdomen and dedicated vascular imaging was performed. A multidisciplinary team discussion concluded that a single-level anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) at L5/S1, with foraminal correction using a patient-specific implant (PSI), represented a targeted strategy to address both the deformity and radiculopathy. The adjacent L4/5 level was also evaluated and deemed uninvolved. Virtual surgical planning (VSP) was undertaken to analyse vascular approach anatomy, endplate morphology, optimal cage geometry, and screw entry points, and to virtually model foraminal reconstitution. A custom 3D-printed patient-specific interbody device (3DMorphic, Sydney, Australia) was manufactured. The patient underwent a single-level standalone L5/S1 ALIF with deformity correction and foraminal decompression. The postoperative course was uneventful, and at 3- and 12-month follow-up she demonstrated complete resolution of radicular pain and neurological deficit, with restoration of normal function and gait mechanics. Postop VAS was 1/10 and ODI improved from 68% to 18%.



A



B

Figure 1. (A) Axial T2 MRI image at L5/S1 showing the left L5 foraminal compression. (B) AP and lateral EOS images showing sagittal alignment and pelvic incidence. Long segment reconstruction was felt to be unnecessary.




Figure 2. Illustration of virtual surgical planning (VSP) with respect to the major vascular structures for an ALIF approach. (A) Axial CT slice with vascular structures outlined: common iliac arteries [red]; common iliac veins [blue]. (B) 3D model of the pathological spine osteology, also showing the major arteries (red) and veins (blue). (C) 3D model demonstrating planned PSI positioning relative to the major vessels, and (D) anatomical biomodel showing the positioning and fit of the patient-specific implant (PSI).



A.
2019



Figure 3. MRI showing progressive left L5/S1 foraminal stenosis. (A) CT performed in 2019 ; (B) CT performed 2024.

3. Planning and Implant Production

3.1. Surgical Planning and Implant Design

Preoperative planning and implant design were conducted in collaboration with 3DMorphic Pty Ltd. (Matraville, Australia). Segmentation and three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of the patient's CT scan were performed using Materialise MIMICs (Leuven, Belgium), enabling precise delineation of the hemivertebra, endplate morphology, and adjacent vascular structures. Haptic biomodels of the preoperative anatomy were then 3D-printed in acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS), providing tactile reference models for preoperative planning and intraoperative orientation.

Patient-specific implants (PSIs) were designed by 3DMorphic Pty Ltd. (Sydney, Australia). The virtual surgical planning (VSP) process included detailed evaluation of vertebral morphology, vascular approach anatomy, vertebral alignment, and foraminal correction to optimise implant design and placement [13]. The superior and inferior implant surfaces were contoured to match the patient's endplate morphology, enabling intimate bone contact and optimised load transfer. Alignment correction was built into the implant geometry to address both coronal (scoliotic) and sagittal (lordotic) deformities. Virtual modelling allowed the simulation of cage placement and foraminal opening, improving accuracy and minimising intraoperative guesswork.


A preoperative planning session was also held with a 3D model and cage model to assess the ease of implantation from the desired angle.

3.2. Surgical Technique

The patient was positioned supine on a radiolucent table, and the L5/S1 level was confirmed with fluoroscopy prior to incision. A mini-Pfannenstiel incision was made, and a circular retractor system (TeDan Surgical Innovations, Inc. (TSI). Sugar Land, Texas 77478, USA) was employed for a retroperitoneal approach. Mobilisation of the iliac vessels

allowed safe exposure of the anterior L5/S1 vertebral body, performed by a vascular surgeon. The target level was reconfirmed with fluoroscopy.

A complete discectomy was performed, with meticulous endplate preparation using curettes and pituitary rongeurs to preserve the subchondral bone and optimise implant seating (Figures 4 and 5). Trial spacers were not required due to the patient-specific design; instead, intraoperative fluoroscopy confirmed the planned dimensions and trajectory. The selected PSI was packed with cancellous allograft bone and inserted under fluoroscopic guidance, with single-shot exposures used to verify position, alignment, and depth. Fascial and skin layers were closed in standard fashion. Skin-to-skin operative time was 3 h, and estimated blood loss was 50 cc.



A



B

Figure 4. Analysis of the change in coronal plane angles from the pre-operative. (A) pathological state to the post-operative state; (B) Note the significant increase in disc height and foraminal volume.




Figure 5. Comparison of right and left L5-S1 foraminal aperture heights between the preoperative pathological state, the planned correction, and the achieved postoperative state.

Throughout the procedure, the 3D-printed biomodel and virtual plan were referenced to confirm the orientation of the hemivertebra, foraminal stenosis correction, and the spatial relationship of vascular structures to the implant. The correct orientation was confirmed before implantation.

3.3. Implant Manufacturing

The PSI was produced using biomedical grade 5 titanium alloy (Ti6Al4V) (3DMorphic Pty Ltd., Matraville, NSW, Australia). Additive manufacturing allowed the reproduction of the planned geometry with high fidelity. The overall implant architecture was designed to maximise endplate contact while permitting graft material packing through a large central window.

4. Conclusions

This case highlights the advantages of combining patient-specific implant (PSI) technology with virtual surgical planning (VSP) in the management of complex congenital spinal deformities. In situations where unique anatomical variations, such as hemivertebrae, present significant challenges to standard instrumentation, PSIs offer a tailored solution that conforms precisely to individual bony morphology, optimises implant fit, and facilitates accurate deformity correction. Decisions tailored to the patient's specific clinical problem (in this case, foraminal reconstruction) can be easily made. Limitations to this report include single-case design, lack of comparators, and limited generalizability.

Preoperative VSP enables a detailed understanding of regional anatomy—including vascular structures, endplate morphology, and deformity parameters—thereby enhancing surgical precision, minimising intraoperative uncertainty, and improving overall workflow. By planning screw trajectories, cage geometry, and approach corridors in advance, the surgical team can anticipate technical challenges and execute the procedure with confidence.

Importantly, this augmentative strategy offers a compelling alternative to traditional subtractive and potentially morbid approaches such as hemivertebra resection or complex

osteotomies. By restoring alignment and decompressing neural elements through a customised anterior interbody reconstruction, major destabilising bone resections can be avoided, potentially reducing operative morbidity, preserving stability, and accelerating recovery. Together, PSIs and VSP represent a powerful and evolving adjunct to spinal deformity surgery, particularly in patients with complex or atypical anatomy, allowing for safer, more accurate, and less invasive surgical solutions. Further series will elucidate more specific indications.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, R.J.P. and R.J.M.; methodology, R.J.P.; software, W.C.H.P.; validation, R.J.P., R.J.M., C.H. and W.C.H.P.; formal analysis, R.J.P.; investigation, R.J.P.; resources, R.J.P.; data curation, R.J.P.; writing—original draft preparation, R.J.P.; writing—review and editing, R.J.P., C.H. and R.J.M.; visualisation, R.J.P.; supervision, R.J.M.; project administration, R.J.P.; funding acquisition, W.C.H.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent has been obtained from the patient(s) to publish this paper.

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: Author William CH Parr is a director of 3D Morphic company, which had no involvement in the study design, data collection, analysis, or manuscript preparation. The authors declare no competing interests arising from this employment.

Abbreviations

3DP	Three-dimensional printing
VSP	virtual surgical planning
PSI	patient-specific implants
ALIF	anterior lumbar interbody fusion
VACTERL	Vertebral, Anal, Cardiac, Tracheo-Esophageal, Renal, and Limb anomalies
ABS	acrylonitrile butadiene styrene

References

1. Zhuang, Q.; Zhang, J.; Li, S.; Wang, S.; Guo, J.; Qiu, G. One-stage posterior-only lumbosacral hemivertebra resection with short segmental fusion: A more than 2-year follow-up. *Eur. Spine J.* **2016**, *25*, 1567–1574. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-015-3995-x>. PMID: 26006704.
2. Peng, Z.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, H.; Du, Y.; Yin, X.; Wang, S.; Zhang, J. Long-term results of single-stage posterior hemivertebra resection and short segment fusion using pedicle screws fixation in thoracolumbar congenital early-onset scoliosis: An 8.97-year average follow-up study. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **2024**, *25*, 824. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-024-07931-y>. PMID: 39427154; PMCID: PMC11492213.
3. Goldstein, I.; Makhoul, I.R.; Weissman, A.; Drugan, A. Hemivertebra: Prenatal diagnosis, incidence and characteristics. *Fetal Diagn. Ther.* **2005**, *20*, 121–126. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000082435>.
4. Forrester, M.B.; Merz, R.D.C. Descriptive epidemiology of hemivertebrae, Hawaii, 1986–2002. *Congenit. Anom.* **2006**, *46*, 172–176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-4520.2006.00124.x>.
5. Bollini, G.; Launay, F.; Docquier, P.L.; Viehweger, E.; Jouve, J.L. Congenital abnormalities associated with hemivertebrae in relation to hemivertebrae location. *J. Pediatr. Orthop. B* **2010**, *19*, 90–94. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BPB.0b013e3283327f57>.
6. Basude, S.; McDermott, L.; Newell, S.; Wreyford, B.; Denbow, M.; Hutchinson, J.; Abdel-Fattah, S. Fetal hemivertebra: Associations and perinatal outcome. *Ultrasound Obs. Gynecol.* **2015**, *45*, 434–438. <https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.13401>.

7. Passias, P.G.; Poorman, G.W.; Jalai, C.M.; Diebo, B.G.; Vira, S.; Horn, S.R.; Baker, J.F.; Shenoy, K.; Hasan, S.; Buza, J.; et al. Incidence of congenital spinal abnormalities among Pediatric Patients and their Association with Scoliosis and systemic anomalies. *J. Pediatr. Orthop.* **2019**, *39*, e608–e613. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BPO.0000000000001066>.
8. Rustemi, O.; Beggio, G.; Segna, A. Spondylocostal Dysostosis (Jarcho-Levin syndrome) in an adult patient with Consanguineous Parents, in Long-Term Follow-Up. *World Neurosurg.* **2019**, *122*, 451–452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.11.058>.
9. Xue, X.; Shen, J.; Zhang, J.; Tian, Y.; Zhao, H.; Wang, Y.; Liang, J.; Li, Z.; Qiu, G. Klippel-Feil syndrome in congenital scoliosis. *Spine* **2014**, *39*, E1353–E1358. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000000587>.
10. Tawk, R.G.; Ondra, S.L.; Jorge, A.M.; Morrison, T.; Ganju, A. Hypersegmentation Klippel-Feil syndrome, and hemivertebra in a scoliotic patient. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* **2002**, *195*, 570–571. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1072-7515\(02\)01298-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1072-7515(02)01298-X).
11. Johal, J.; Loukas, M.; Fisahn, C.; Chapman, J.R.; Oskouian, R.J.; Tubbs, R.S. Hemivertebrae: A comprehensive review of embryology, imaging, classification, and management. *Child's Nerv. Syst.* **2016**, *32*, 2105–2109.
12. Ruf, M.; Jensen, R.; Letko, L.; Harms, J. Hemivertebra Resection and Osteotomies in Congenital Spine Deformity. *Spine* **2009**, *34*, 1791–1799.
13. Amin, T.; Parr, W.C.H.; Natarajan, P.; Lennox, A.; Koinis, L.; Mobbs, R.J. Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion (ALIF) for Lumbar Hemivertebra in an Adult Using Three-Dimensional-Printed Patient-Specific Implants and Virtual Surgery Planning: A Technical Report. *Surg. Tech. Dev.* **2023**, *12*, 199–210. <https://doi.org/10.3390/std12040019>.
14. Mobbs, R.J.; Phan, K.; Malham, G.; Seex, K.; Rao, P.J. Lumbar interbody fusion: techniques, indications and comparison of interbody fusion options including PLIF, TLIF, MI-TLIF, OLIF/ATP, LLIF and ALIF. *J. Spine Surg.* **2015**, *1*, 2–18. <https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2414-469X.2015.10.05>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.