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Abstract: Background: New technologies and techniques allow us to offer better solutions for patients’ needs. Specifically, guided surgery is usually flapless, and the resulting prosthetic rehabilitation often includes immediate loading. Thus, bleeding risk is controlled, and more comfortable prosthetic procedures are performed. Guided surgery decreases surgical risks and is less invasive. The aim of this article is to present a case of guided osteotomy for bone biopsy and implant placement. Methods: CBCT was performed for the patient’s bone examination, an optical scanner was used for intra-oral images, and surgical certified software was applied for the osteotomy planning and the surgeon’s guide realization. Case report: The patient’s question is about left maxilla prosthetic rehabilitation. During the oral cavity and X-ray examination, a radiopacity with a feathered edge was found; in order to detect the finding, a CBCT was performed, and the surgery was planned. A bone biopsy was performed simultaneously with the implant’s placement through a drill guide. The specimen sent for histological exam showed osteosclerosis. Conclusions: It is the opinion of the authors that by involving and combining close collaboration and communication, several professional specializations (clinicians and radiologists) can improve the treatments for better patient care.
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1. Introduction

Two-dimensional (2D) X-ray is the first level X-ray examination in dentistry. When further investigation is needed, such as volumetric data, a three-dimensional (3D) X-ray is required to improve the diagnosis. Computed tomography was first developed in 1972, but its technology uses large and expensive devices that exposes patients to relatively high doses of radiation [1]. The X-ray that offers 3D images with relatively low costs and radiation doses in a more compact device is the cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). CBCT has been utilized in dentistry since the second half of 1990 [2], and its radiation doses fall into a range from 19 µSv to 1073 µSv [3]. CBCT is prescribed in dentistry for evaluating conditions such as impacted teeth, apical lesions, and diseases of the jaws. In implant dentistry, CBCT is used for presurgical diagnosis, preoperative planning, and preoperative assessments [4]. Dental implants improve the performance of oral prosthetic rehabilitation, but they involve invasive surgery with flaps and hemorrhagic risks. Guided surgery allows more stable and comfortable prosthetic rehabilitation even to high-bleeding-risk (HBR) patients [5]. Thanks to 3D images, guided surgery allows us to plan and execute, in many cases, a flapless surgery. Sometimes it helps the immediate loading of the implants.
Drill guides are performed by the technician who receives the 3D X-ray exam; thus, communication is fundamental for agreeing on treatment goals and surgical procedures. Moreover, it has been shown that the precision of CBCT images and the quality of the software used are discriminating for the performance of the drill guide. Compared with freehand implants, navigated implants allow highly accurate implant placement; a margin of −2 mm is necessary due to a deviation of more than 1 mm [8]. The diagnosis and therapy in oral pathology and, generally, in all branches of medicine [9] have become more accurate and more precise [10]. This is due to not only technical but also technological and cognitive improvements. In this way, professions become more specialized, needing more specific updating. The solution is to improve the communication between different practitioners studying the same clinical case to cure the pathologies patients ask us to. In this article, the case of a multidisciplinary approach is reported in order to find a better and minimally invasive diagnosis and treatment, thanks to the multidisciplinary discussion.

2. Materials and Methods

Informed consent from the patient was obtained.

A dental impression was acquired through an oral cavity scan with the Carestream CS 3600 (Carestream Dental, Rochester, NY City State 14608, USA) and processed by the Software Real Guide 5.0 (Real Guide 5.0 _ version 5.2, 3Diemme, Cantù (Como) 22063 Italy); the surgical guide was performed by 3Diemme.

The high-resolution (HiRes) CBCT was obtained in an oral and maxillofacial diagnostic center (Novarad, Noale, Italy) with a VGI EVO scanner (NewTom, Cefla Medical Equipment, Imola (Bologna) 40026 Italy) with a 12 × 8 cm field of view (FOV), 0.150 mm slice thickness, and 4.3 s and mAs 88.95 exposure time; the volume was isotropic, and the tube voltage was 110 Kv.

Diagnostics software (3Diemme, Italy) was used to perform the analysis and the surgical guide, matching DICOM images of the CBCT and intra-oral gingival detection by the optical scanner (STL file).

The internal diameter of the trephine was 2.6, and the external was 3.2. The surgical guide did not have a guide sleeve for the trephine, only a calibrated hole. The drill speed rotation was 800 rpm with saline cooling.

All samples were fixed in 10% formalin in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.3) and decalcified in a buffer solution containing equal parts of 8 N formic acid and 1 N sodium formate (pH 2.2) (Kristensen 1948) for 2 h; then, after rinsing in flowing water for 24 h, they were dehydrated serially in declining concentrations of alcohol and embedded in paraffin. Sections that were 5 µm thick were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE) (Kristensen, H.K. (1948)), an improved method of [11].

Case Report

We report a case of a 45-year-old woman in general good health, not taking medications, who presents for prosthetic rehabilitation of the left upper jaw (Figure 1).

Implant rehabilitation was suggested. For this, a panoramic X-ray was performed, and it showed a homogeneous radiopacity in the left upper jaw, with feathered edges of about 10 mm in dimension (Figure 2).

The patient was sent to the oral surgery specialist, who recommended the second-level X-ray examination (CBCT) due to the diagnostic doubt in the panoramic X-ray, thus involving a third specialist, the radiologist. The 3D exam was performed by an oral and maxillofacial center with a cone beam CT scanner with low-dose ionizing radiation, isotropic volume, and a 12 cm field. Axial, panoramic, and cross-section images (dental scan modality) were obtained by multiplanar reconstruction. Image dimensions were real to give the possibility of thickness and distance measurement. A three-dimensional X-ray showed a bone island of juxtacortical hyperdensity and defined edges in the premolar region of the left maxilla (Figure 3).
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Figure 1. Preoperative records: (a) frontal view; (b) occlusal view.

Figure 2. Orthopanoramic X-ray showing radiopacity in left upper jaw.

Figure 3. Axial, sagittal, and paracoronal view of the hyperdense finding in CBCT examination.
The therapeutic planning included the placement of two dental implants and CBCT-guided osteotomy for a bone biopsy. A non-invasive dental scan was acquired through scanning the oral cavity with an optical scanner, and surgical planning and the guide’s realization was performed with certified surgical software (Figure 4).

![Figure 3. Axial, sagittal, and paracoronal view of the hyperdense finding in CBCT examination.](image)

Figure 3. Axial, sagittal, and paracoronal view of the hyperdense finding in CBCT examination.

The oral implants Zimmer 4.1 × 10 TSVM4B10 and Zimmer 4.1 × 8 TSVM4B8 were placed, and a bone biopsy was performed through an osteotomy (Figure 5).

For the bone biopsy, a trephine core drill with a 3 mm diameter was used. A bone specimen of cm 1 × 0.2 was sent for histological examination (Figure 6).

The surgery was performed under local anesthesia. The histological exam reported oral mucosa with squamous stratified epithelium with hyperparakeratosis and bone tissue with an area of intra-lacunae necrosis and marginal calcium micro fragments. There was an absence of atypical cells (Figure 7).
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Figure 5. Oral surgery: (a) placement of implants; (b) bone biopsy; (c) occlusal view after implants’ placement and osteotomy; (d) final view, with healing screws placed.

Figure 6. Bone specimen with a dimension of about 10 mm.
Figure 7. Oral cavity biopsy from the left superior jaw: (a) H&E stain of the specimen showing the mucosa with hyperkeratosis and a fragment of the compacted bone with microcalcification around the bone tissue; (b) H&E stain 25×. At the bottom (c), a second fragment of the same biopsy showed the bone tissue with lacunar necrosis (12×); (d) higher magnification 100×.

The final diagnosis of idiopathic osteosclerosis was confirmed. A six-month follow-up showed good healing, and prosthesis on dental implants was performed (Figures 8–10).

Figure 8. Good healing of soft tissues.
Benefits of CAS in maxillary reconstruction are a shorter ischemia and operative time compared with the conventional technique [15].

CAS seems to be superior to conventional free-hand surgery because of the more accurate postoperative results, but true evidence is lacking [16].

Yuzhang Feng et al. evidenced that the apical deviations between the planned and placed implants in the edentulous mandible were 1.48 ± 0.56 mm in the first implants compared with only 1.10 ± 0.28 mm in the later implants. The angular deviation was 3.02 ± 1.32 degrees [17].
The time for the discussion of the case and its design is certainly long, but the surgical time shortens.

Combining and involving several academic disciplines and professional specializations can lead to an accurate and safer management of a surgical case.

4. Conclusions

The first CBCT appeared more than 20 years ago. Recently, thanks to 3D imaging, guided surgery is possible, thus allowing less invasive surgery in all fields of medicine. In dentistry, guided surgery is often used for dental implant placement. Most of the available software enables the planning and execution of fully guided implant surgery, although the precision of the CBCT device and 3D software is a discriminant factor for the outcome [18,19].

The improvement of new technologies allows simplification and optimization of the surgical session. The aim of this report is to present a feasible approach for the guided sampling of bone pathologies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.B. and F.S.; methodology, D.P.; software, T.B., L.S. and O.A.; validation, C.B., F.S. and D.P.; formal analysis, C.B.; investigation, C.B. and D.P.; resources, T.B.; data curation, T.B., E.F. and A.S.; writing—original draft preparation, C.B.; writing—review and editing, D.P. and C.B.; visualization, E.F. and A.S.; supervision, C.B.; project administration, C.B.; histological examination. M.F., surgery, E.F.; prosthetic conception, A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical approval was not sought for the present study because it is case study.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Contact correspondence author for the details.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


