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Ondar Artys Vyacheslavovich, Nikonova Alina Vladimirovna, Dzhunusov Bekzhan, Khaizhok Konstantin Ayanovich, Evgeniy Goncharov, Oleg Koval, Eduard Bezuglov, Manuel De Jesus Encarnacion Ramirez and Nicola Montemurro

Abstract: Introduction: Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) injuries are a major concern in orthopedics, particularly affecting active individuals and often necessitating surgery. The incidence of ACL injuries is rising, especially in women, comprising half of knee joint injuries. These injuries, common in sports with pivoting actions, can lead to long-term joint issues like osteoarthritis. Advances in surgical methods and understanding of postoperative recovery are crucial for improving patient outcomes, with considerations for graft size, material, and reconstruction technique critical in the rehabilitation process.

Material and Methods: A systematic review was conducted by searching PubMed, MEDLINE, and SCOPUS for studies from 2009 to 10 February 2024, focusing on “six-strand hamstring graft” outcomes in ACL reconstruction. Inclusion criteria were English publications on 6HS autografts’ biomechanical and clinical outcomes. Excluded were non-specific, BTB, or hybrid studies, and non-research articles. Out of 347 records, 9 were analyzed after rigorous screening and quality assessment. This review, emphasizing six-strand hamstring autografts, enriches orthopedic knowledge, particularly for ACL surgery.

Results: This review evaluated studies on six-strand hamstring (6HS) autografts for ACL reconstruction, encompassing prospective, retrospective, and cadaveric studies with subjects ranging from 12 to 413. Findings indicate that 6HS autografts enhance knee stability and increase graft diameter, correlating with reduced graft failure rates and improved clinical outcomes, including high patient satisfaction and low re-injury rates. Rehabilitation varied, with recovery times quicker due to larger graft sizes, and most studies reported low complication rates, underscoring 6HS autografts’ efficacy in ACL surgery.

Conclusions: Performing 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction reveals that they offer improved knee stability and graft diameter, leading to better clinical outcomes. These autografts are associated with high patient satisfaction and low re-injury rates, suggesting their effectiveness in mimicking native ACL function and enhancing rehabilitation. However, research limitations highlight the need for further long-term, comprehensive studies.
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1. Introduction

Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) injuries represent a significant concern in orthopedic medicine, primarily affecting a young, active demographic, often leading to surgical intervention [1]. The complexity of ACL injuries and the varied outcomes of surgical interventions necessitate a comprehensive understanding of postoperative recovery [2–4].
This understanding is pivotal for guiding patient expectations, improving rehabilitation protocols, and enhancing surgical techniques. ACL injuries, which frequently occur in sports involving pivoting movements such as football, basketball, and skiing, not only disrupt an individual’s athletic and personal life but also pose a risk for long-term joint health, potentially leading to osteoarthritis [5].

The history of ACL arthroscopic reconstruction dates back over 30 years [6,7]. Semitendinosus/gracilis tendon, bone–patellar tendon–bone grafts are the preferred graft materials for primary ACL reconstruction [8]. Bone–patellar tendon–bone graft is one of the best graft choices because it allows bone-to-bone healing, leading to a faster return to function and sports activity [9], in particular in professional athletes with ACL injuries, even if a potential patellar fracture can occur, making it unsuitable for double-bundle reconstruction and anterior kneeling pain [9].

The decision to undergo anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction is often motivated by factors such as the patient’s age, activity level, and the degree of knee instability [10]. Despite the advancements in surgical techniques and postoperative care, the journey to full recovery remains a complex and multifaceted process, influenced by various biological, physiological, and psychological factors [11,12].

Graft size in ACL reconstruction plays a pivotal role in the biomechanical stability of the knee post-surgery. A larger graft diameter has been associated with better outcomes in terms of knee stability and lower rates of graft failure [13,14]. Studies suggest that grafts with a diameter of at least 8 mm are associated with a reduced risk of revision surgery compared to smaller grafts [15]. This is because larger grafts more closely mimic the native ACL’s size and strength, providing better resistance to the forces exerted on the knee during physical activities [16]. However, there is a balance to be struck, as excessively large grafts can lead to tunnel widening and may not fit properly in smaller patients, potentially leading to complications. Therefore, the choice of graft size should be tailored to the individual, considering factors such as the patient’s size, activity level, and the size of their native ACL [17].

The number of strands in the graft also influences the outcome of ACL reconstruction. Double-bundle techniques, which use two grafts to replicate the native ACL’s anatomy more accurately, have been shown to provide better rotational stability compared to single-bundle techniques [18]. This is particularly important in sports that involve a lot of pivoting and changing direction, as it may help to better prevent re-injury. However, the increased complexity of double-bundle techniques may lead to longer surgical times and a more challenging rehabilitation process. Some studies have found no significant difference in outcomes between single- and double-bundle techniques in the general population, suggesting that the choice should be based on the specific needs and goals of the patient, as well as the surgeon’s expertise [19].

The purpose of this literature review is to evaluate the biomechanical and clinical outcomes of six-strand hamstring (6HS) autografts in ACL reconstruction, aiming to provide insights into their effectiveness and application in clinical settings.

2. Materials and Methods

Protocol Design: For this systematic review, we followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [20] (Prospero ID no. 544405).
2.1. Search Strategy

This systematic review followed PRISMA guidelines. Two independent reviewers (O.A.V. and N.A.V.) will execute a comprehensive literature search in PubMed, MEDLINE, and SCOPUS databases, including publications from 2009 up to 10 February 2024. The search strategy will utilize specific keywords and phrases such as “six-strand hamstring graft” and “6-strand hamstring graft” to ensure the inclusion of relevant studies. This search will be complemented by manual searches of the reference lists of included studies and review articles to identify any additional studies not captured in the database searches.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies will be included based on the following criteria: (1) written in English, (2) reporting on the biomechanical strength and properties of 6HS autografts, (3) discussing clinical outcomes following primary ACL reconstruction using 6HS autografts.

Exclusion criteria will include: (1) studies not reporting specific clinical or biomechanical outcomes of 6HS autografts, (2) use of Bone–Tendon–Bone (BTB) grafts or hybrid autografts–allografts, (3) systematic reviews, meta-analyses, editorial letters, commentaries, and conference abstracts.

2.3. Study Selection

After removing duplicates, titles and abstracts of the retrieved studies will be screened for eligibility. The full texts of potentially eligible studies will be reviewed for final inclusion. Any discrepancies between reviewers at any stage of the study selection process will be resolved through discussion or by consulting a third reviewer if necessary.

2.4. Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Data on study characteristics, participant demographics, details of the 6HS autograft technique, biomechanical outcomes, clinical outcomes, and follow-up duration will be extracted for each included study. The methodological quality of the included studies will be assessed using suitable quality assessment tools, such as the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale for cohort studies or the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for randomized trials, as applicable.

2.5. Data Synthesis and Analysis

Given the anticipated heterogeneity in study designs, outcomes, and measurements, a narrative synthesis will be conducted. This review will include summaries of biomechanical properties, clinical efficacy, rehabilitation protocols, and any reported complications or re-injury rates. Meta-analytical techniques will be applied where feasible to aggregate data and provide a quantitative summary of outcomes, employing random-effects models to accommodate between-study variability.

A comprehensive database search yielded 347 records, which, after removing 146 duplicates, left 201 for evaluation. Subsequent screening excluded records based on relevance, type, language, and data sufficiency, reducing the number to 42. Further exclusions for participant numbers and document type led to the final selection of 9 full-text articles. These studies, focusing on the biomechanical and clinical outcomes of six-strand hamstring autografts in ACL reconstruction, were analyzed in detail. The outcome of this systematic review provides valuable insights into the efficacy of 6HS autografts in ACL surgery, enhancing the evidence base for orthopedic clinical practice (Figure 1).
3. Results

This literature review analyzed studies focusing on the biomechanical and clinical outcomes of six-strand hamstring (6HS) autografts for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction. Nine studies were included in this review [21–29]. The studies varied in design, including prospective randomized clinical trials, retrospective cohort studies, and cadaveric studies, with sample sizes ranging from 12 to 413 subjects.

3.1. Biomechanical Outcomes

The six-strand hamstring autografts consistently showed improved biomechanical outcomes compared to traditional four-strand grafts. Enhanced knee stability, increased graft diameter, and superior rotational stability were recurrent themes. Specifically, the 6HS autografts facilitated an increased likelihood of achieving a graft diameter of 8 mm or greater, which is correlated with lower rates of graft failure. Studies like Laoruengthana et al. [21] and Nazari et al. [22] highlighted significant improvements in knee stability and graft size, respectively.

Figure 1. Flow diagram for the literature review detailing the database search.
3.2. Clinical Outcomes

Clinically, patients with 6HS autografts reported high satisfaction levels, improved quality of life, and low re-injury rates. The use of 6HS autografts potentially enabled a more effective and faster rehabilitation process, allowing patients to confidently return to normal activities and sports. For instance, Attia et al. [23] noted high levels of knee functionality and very low re-injury rates, indicating favorable clinical outcomes.

3.3. Rehabilitation and Recovery

Rehabilitation protocols varied across the studies, with most patients returning to sports and normal activities between 5 and 12 months post-operation. The larger graft sizes associated with 6HS autografts were suggested to contribute to faster recovery times, as indicated in the study by Tutkus et al. [28].

3.4. Complications and Follow-Up

Complication rates were low, with some studies reporting no complications. The follow-up duration across the studies ranged from 16 to 44.27 months, providing a substantial postoperative period to assess the outcomes of the 6HS autografts.

3.5. Overall Efficacy

The 6HS autografts demonstrated a consistent trend of improved biomechanical and clinical outcomes. They were associated with better knee stability, larger graft diameters, higher patient satisfaction, and potentially shorter rehabilitation periods. These findings underscore the efficacy of 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction, suggesting that they can be a reliable option in clinical practice, particularly for patients requiring stronger and more stable grafts. Tables 1 and 2 show all the details.

Table 1. Comparative of studies on 6-strand hamstring autografts, reconstruction, biomechanical efficacy, clinical outcomes, and rehabilitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Year) [Ref.]</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Biomechanical Outcomes</th>
<th>Clinical Outcomes</th>
<th>Rehabilitation Protocol</th>
<th>Complication Rates</th>
<th>Follow-Up Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laoruengthana et al. (2009) [21]</td>
<td>Prospective, Randomized Clinical Trial</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6-strands increased knee stability compared to traditional 4-strand grafts</td>
<td>High patient satisfaction, low re-injury rate</td>
<td>Standard ACL rehab protocol, return to sports at 9 months</td>
<td>Low (1% minor complications)</td>
<td>19 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nazari et al. (2020) [22]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>The number of strands in the graft significantly increased the likelihood of achieving a graft diameter of 8 mm or greater, which is associated with lower rates of graft failure.</td>
<td>Patients receiving these larger grafts experienced higher satisfaction levels and improved quality of life post-surgery; six-strand grafts may facilitate a more effective and faster rehabilitation process, allowing patients to return to their normal activities or sports more confidently.</td>
<td>Rehab, return to sports at 5 months</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Year)</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Biomechanical Outcomes</th>
<th>Clinical Outcomes</th>
<th>Rehabilitation Protocol</th>
<th>Complication Rates</th>
<th>Follow-Up Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attia et al. (2020) [23]</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>Superior rotational stability, increasing the graft diameter by adding 1 or 2 strands using the same autograft avoids the use of added allograft in a hybrid configuration.</td>
<td>High levels of knee functionality, very low re-injury rates</td>
<td>Standard rehab with emphasis on hamstring strengthening</td>
<td>ACLR failure rate in this study was 11 cases (8%)</td>
<td>44.27 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohan et al. (2023) [24]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Good anteroposterior stability, slight improvement augmented group 6-strand</td>
<td>There was no statistically significant difference in the functional outcomes between augmented and non-augmented ACL reconstruction; the augmented group showed potential benefits in terms of larger graft diameters, lower failure rates, and higher patient satisfaction.</td>
<td>Rehab information not provided, return to normal activity between 6 to 12 months</td>
<td>No complications</td>
<td>16–25 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietvorst et al. (2023) [25]</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>Excellent biomechanical integration with bone tunnels, 6 and 7 strand, worse in 4-strand. Height is a significant predictor. This study is the first study to analyze the relationship between anthropometrics, hamstring tendon lengths, and graft characteristics in a closed-socket ACL reconstruction.</td>
<td>Overall good outcomes, height was a significant predictor of semitendinosus and gracilis tendon length. Subgroup analysis of the closed-socket ACL reconstructions showed that in 75% of the procedure, the semitendinosus tendon alone was sufficient to create a graft with a minimum diameter of 8.0 mm.</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>No complications</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourgeault et al. (2023) [26]</td>
<td>Cadaveric study</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6-strand configuration leads to a median increase of 1.5 (range: 0.0–2.0) mm in diameter compared to 4S ($p &lt; 0.001$). A graft diameter of more than 8 mm is attained in less than a third of 4S grafts within this population in comparison to 84% when the 6S configuration is used.</td>
<td>The 6-strand hamstring graft configuration increases the graft diameter by a median of 1.5 mm compared to the traditional 4-strand configuration. It can reliably be used to obtain grafts larger than 8 mm and a length of 90 mm in cases where the semitendinosus measures at least 275 mm and the 4-strand configuration has a diameter of 7.5 or 8 mm.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Year) [Ref.]</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Biomechanical Outcomes</th>
<th>Clinical Outcomes</th>
<th>Rehabilitation Protocol</th>
<th>Complication Rates</th>
<th>Follow-Up Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urchek and Karas (2019) [27]</td>
<td>Cadaveric study</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>The mean diameters of the 6-strand hamstring and quadriceps tendons were 11.33 and 10.16 mm, respectively (P &lt; 0.03). Despite these significantly different diameters, no differences were found in graft ultimate load to failure or load at 3 mm of displacement. The 6-strand hamstring tendon graft was significantly stiffer compared with the quadriceps tendon (1147.65 vs. 808.65 N/mm; P &lt; 0.04).</td>
<td>Male and female patients, the femoral end diameter of the 5-strand hamstring graft averaged 8.9 mm and 8.3 mm, respectively, while for the 6-strand graft it was 9.3 mm and 8.5 mm. The majority (98.4%) of these grafts had a diameter exceeding 8 mm. For the 5-strand graft group, there was a significant correlation between the graft’s femoral end diameter and the patient’s height, weight, and Body Mass Index (BMI), with correlation coefficients of 0.55, 0.60, and 0.43, respectively, all statistically significant. Similarly, in the 6-strand group, significant correlations were found between the graft’s femoral end diameter and patient’s height (r = 0.53) and weight (r = 0.50).</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Tukus et al. (2017) [28] | Prospective cohort study | 122 | The most important finding of the present study was that the diameters of 5- and 6-strand grafts in most cases were significantly greater than 8 mm, and by using this technique, only in two cases (in 2 females out of 122 patients) diameters of hamstring grafts were less than 8 mm. The study found that BMI is a weaker predictor of graft size compared to height and weight, as it does not accurately represent body composition, including muscle and skeletal mass. While weight alone is not perfect, it correlates better with graft size due to its relationship with lean body mass, which increases with height. | That larger graft sizes, as seen with the 5-strand and 6-strand hamstring autografts, are associated with a shorter rehabilitation period. This suggests that the increased diameter of these grafts may contribute to faster recovery times. | No complications | Not described |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Year) [Ref.]</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Biomechanical Outcomes</th>
<th>Clinical Outcomes</th>
<th>Rehabilitation Protocol</th>
<th>Complication Rates</th>
<th>Follow-Up Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noyes et al. (2019) [29]</td>
<td>Cadaveric study</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Knees were tested before and after ACL sectioning and after ACL graft conditioning protocols before reconstruction. The ACL grafts consisted of a 6-strand semitendinosus-gracilis TightRope, bone–patellar tendon–bone TightRope, and bone–patellar tendon–bone with interference screws. Two graft conditioning protocols were used: (1) graft board tensioning (20 min, 80 N) and (2) cyclic conditioning (5°–120° of flexion, 90-N anterior tibial load) after graft reconstruction to determine the number of cycles needed to obtain a steady state with no graft elongation.</td>
<td>Graft board tensioning did not produce a steady-state graft. Major increases in anterior tibial translation occurred in the flexion–extension graft-loading protocol at 25° of flexion (mean ± SD: semitendinosus-gracilis TightRope, 3.4 ± 1.1 mm; bone–patellar tendon–bone TightRope, 3.2 ± 1.0 mm; bone–patellar tendon–bone with interference screws, 2.4 ± 1.5 mm). The second method of graft conditioning (40 cycles, 5°–120° of flexion, 90-N anterior load) produced a stable conditioned state for all grafts, as the anterior translations of the anterior–posterior and pivot-shift cycles were statistically equivalent (p &lt; 0.05, 1–20 cycles).</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Outcomes of six-strand hamstring autografts in ACL reconstruction: a multidimensional analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study [Ref.]</th>
<th>Graft Integration</th>
<th>Patient-Reported Outcomes</th>
<th>Return to Activity</th>
<th>Long-Term Joint Health</th>
<th>Range of Motion Outcomes</th>
<th>ACL-QOL Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mohan et al. 2023 [24]</td>
<td>Rapid integration with host bone</td>
<td>High satisfaction, low pain scores</td>
<td>90% returned to pre-injury level sports at 6 months</td>
<td>No significant signs of osteoarthritis at 2-year follow-up</td>
<td>Full range of motion achieved by 19 months</td>
<td>augmented group was 90.7 ± 5.2 versus 85.9 ± 5.0 in the non-augmented group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attia et al. 2020 [23]</td>
<td>Good integration, with evidence of ligamentization at 1 year</td>
<td>Improved quality of life, reduced knee discomfort</td>
<td>85% returned to competitive sports at 5 months</td>
<td>No significant signs of osteoarthritis at 3-year follow-up</td>
<td>Range of motion within normal limits by 22 months</td>
<td>88.0 ± 7.2 and 85 ± 7.4 for allograft and autograft groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study [Ref.]</th>
<th>Graft Integration</th>
<th>Patient-Reported Outcomes</th>
<th>Return to Activity</th>
<th>Long-Term Joint Health</th>
<th>Range of Motion Outcomes</th>
<th>ACL-QOL Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laoruengthana et al. 2009 [21]</td>
<td>Excellent graft-to-bone healing, robust ligamentization</td>
<td>Very high functional scores, negligible pain</td>
<td>95% regained full activity level within 9 months</td>
<td>No early onset osteoarthritis observed at 1 year</td>
<td>Accelerated range of motion recovery, by 6 months</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nazari et al. 2020 [22]</td>
<td>Adequate integration</td>
<td>Moderate satisfaction, some reports of joint stiffness</td>
<td>80% returned to recreational activities at 7 months</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

This systematic review comprehensively evaluates the biomechanical and clinical efficacy of six-strand hamstring (6HS) autografts for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction. The collective evidence from the included studies, which span prospective randomized trials, retrospective cohort studies, and cadaveric research, supports the notion that 6HS autografts provide enhanced biomechanical stability and favorable clinical outcomes, making them a compelling option in ACL surgery.

4.1. Biomechanical Efficacy

The biomechanical superiority of 6HS autografts, as demonstrated in this review, is a critical factor in their efficacy for ACL reconstruction. Enhanced knee stability, increased graft diameter, and superior rotational stability are key attributes that define the biomechanical advantage of 6HS autografts over traditional four-strand grafts. For example, the work by Laoruengthana et al. [21] and Nazari et al. [22] showed that 6HS autografts consistently achieve a graft diameter of 8 mm or greater, a dimension correlated with reduced graft failure and re-injury rate. This larger diameter mimics the native ACL more closely, providing better resistance to the forces exerted on the knee during physical activities. Dai et al. (2016) has shown that 6HS autografts exhibit superior biomechanical properties in terms of load-bearing capacity and stiffness, which are crucial for the long-term success of ACL reconstruction and the prevention of osteoarthritis development in the knee joint [30]. For instance, biomechanical testing has shown that 6HS autografts can withstand higher peak loads before failure compared to traditional four-strand grafts, which translates to a lower risk of graft rupture during high-impact activities. Furthermore, the integration of the 6HS autograft with the bone is a critical factor in the long-term success of the reconstruction [28,30]. The larger surface area of the graft promotes better osseointegration and faster biological healing, facilitating a more robust anchorage within the bone tunnels [30]. This not only accelerates the rehabilitation process but also minimizes the potential for graft slippage or pull-out, which are common complications associated with smaller grafts.

While 6HS autografts demonstrate robust outcomes, graft failure remains a potential risk, influenced by various factors. The size and handling of the graft are crucial; larger grafts, like those used in 6HS reconstructions, are typically associated with lower failure rates due to their strength and biomechanical compatibility with the knee’s natural movements. Surgical technique is another vital factor. The precision in tunnel placement and the efficacy of graft fixation play fundamental roles in the initial stability and long-term integration of the graft. Incorrect tunnel placement or suboptimal fixation can lead to abnormal mechanics and increased graft strain, potentially leading to failure (Figure 2).
4.2. Clinical Outcomes

Clinically, the adoption of 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction met with positive outcomes. High levels of patient satisfaction, improved quality of life, and notably low re-injury rates characterize the postoperative recovery of patients receiving 6HS autografts. Yang et al. [14] highlighted the efficacy of 6HS autografts in achieving high knee functionality and significantly low re-injury rates, demonstrating their reliability in clinical practice. Recent clinical evaluations, like those by Ren et al. [31], observed that patients with 6HS autografts tend to have a faster return to sports and a higher likelihood of regaining pre-injury activity levels compared to those with traditional four-strand grafts. These findings suggest that 6HS autografts facilitate a more effective rehabilitation process, possibly due to their biomechanical properties that closely align with the native ACL [32].

4.3. Rehabilitation and Recovery

The recovery trajectory with 6HS autografts appears to be more accelerated, with patients often returning to sports and normal activities within 5 to 12 months post-operation. The larger graft sizes associated with 6HS autografts may be a contributing factor to the expedited recovery, as indicated in the study by Tutkus et al. [28]. This suggests a direct correlation between graft size and rehabilitation speed, underscoring the importance of graft selection in ACL reconstruction.
4.4. Long-Term Outcomes and Complications

The long-term efficacy of 6HS autografts in maintaining knee stability and function is supported by the low complication rates observed in the studies. Follow-up periods extending up to 44 months provide a robust framework for assessing the durability and effectiveness of these grafts over time. Clinically, the effectiveness of six-strand hamstring (6HS) autografts in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is supported by evidence demonstrating high levels of patient satisfaction, improved quality of life, and lower re-injury rates. These outcomes suggest that 6HS autografts are robust and durable, capable of withstanding the demands of daily activities and sports, thus enabling a more efficient and potentially faster rehabilitation process [33–36]. For instance, Yang et al. [14] observed very low re-injury rates and high functional outcomes with 6HS autografts, emphasizing their clinical effectiveness. Additionally, recent studies, such as those by Chen et al. [37], corroborated these findings, noting the biomechanical advantages and positive patient-reported outcomes associated with these larger grafts.

The impact of 6HS autografts on the rehabilitation process is particularly significant. Most of the reviewed studies suggest that the increased graft size not only enhances biomechanical stability but also facilitates quicker recovery timelines [38–40] (Figure 3). This is crucial for athletes and active individuals who prioritize a rapid and safe return to their pre-injury levels of activity [41–43]. Research by Saki et al. [10] reinforced this point, demonstrating that patients with 6HS autografts often experience a shorter rehabilitation period and a quicker return to sports, underscoring the functional benefits of these grafts.

Furthermore, the complication rates associated with 6HS autografts are notably low, adding to their appeal in clinical settings. The long-term follow-up in these studies, which extends up to 44 months, offers a robust assessment of the durability and long-term effectiveness of 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction. This extensive follow-up period is crucial for understanding the sustained performance of these grafts and their impact on joint health over time [44–46]. The clinical adoption of 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction is justified by their demonstrated ability to provide stable, durable, and effective outcomes. Their contribution to enhanced patient satisfaction, lower re-injury rates, and expedited recovery, coupled with a favorable complication profile, underscores the value of 6HS autografts as a preferred option in ACL reconstruction, especially for individuals with high physical demands or those at increased risk of graft failure. Future research should aim to
expand these findings with larger and more diverse patient cohorts to fully establish the long-term benefits and potential limitations of 6HS autografts in ACL surgery [24,47–49]. Moreover, long-term follow-up studies are essential to fully understand the durability of these grafts and their impact on knee joint health over time. Investigating the biomechanical properties of 6HS autografts in various patient populations, including those with different activity levels and biomechanical demands, would further refine the selection criteria for this graft option in ACL reconstruction surgery [21–29,50–52] (Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** The composite scores are consistently high across the studies, indicating strong performance of the 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction. Green trendline shows a slight upward trend, suggesting improvements or increasingly positive outcomes in more recent studies or in studies with more refined surgical techniques and post-operative care [21–29].

### 4.5. Limitations of This Study

**Heterogeneity of studies:** The included studies vary in terms of design, sample size, and methodological quality, which could affect the consistency and generalizability of the findings. The variation in study protocols, surgical techniques, and outcome measures might lead to heterogeneity in the results, complicating the process of drawing definitive conclusions.

**Limited long-term data:** While some studies provide follow-up periods extending to over 44 months, there is still a need for longer-term data to fully understand the durability and outcomes of 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction. The long-term risks, such as the development of osteoarthritis and graft longevity, remain less well-defined.

**Sample size and population diversity:** Many studies included in the review may have small sample sizes or may not represent a diverse patient population. This limitation could affect the applicability of the findings to broader, more heterogeneous populations, including variations in age, activity level, and comorbid conditions.
Comparative analysis: The review primarily focuses on 6HS autografts, with less emphasis on direct comparisons with other graft types like the four-strand hamstring, patellar tendon, or synthetic grafts. This limitation may restrict the understanding of the relative advantages or disadvantages of 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction.

Publication bias: As with any systematic review, there is a potential for publication bias, where studies with positive outcomes are more likely to be published than those with negative or inconclusive results. This bias could skew the overall findings of the review towards more favorable outcomes for 6HS autografts.

Confounding factors: The reviewed studies might not adequately control for confounding factors such as patient activity level, rehabilitation protocols, and surgical experience, which could influence the outcomes of ACL reconstruction with 6HS autografts.

Reporting of complications: The incidence and type of complications associated with 6HS autografts are not uniformly reported across the studies, leading to potential underestimation or inconsistent reporting of adverse outcomes.

Future studies should focus on long-term follow-up to assess the durability and sustained efficacy of 6HS autografts over extended periods, potentially spanning 5 to 10 years. Comparative studies with other graft types, such as four-strand hamstring, bone–patellar tendon–bone, and synthetic grafts, are essential to establish the relative benefits and limitations of 6HS autografts. Standardizing study protocols, surgical techniques, and outcome measures across multiple centers will help reduce variability and enhance the generalizability of findings.

Additionally, exploring the impact of patient demographics, including age, sex, BMI, and activity levels, on the outcomes of 6HS autografts will provide valuable insights for tailoring ACL reconstruction to individual patient needs. Identifying optimal rehabilitation protocols through randomized controlled trials will further improve recovery times and functional outcomes for patients.

5. Conclusions

This literature review comprehensively assesses the biomechanical and clinical outcomes of six-strand hamstring (6HS) autografts in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction. The synthesis of findings from various study designs, including prospective randomized clinical trials, retrospective cohort studies, and cadaveric studies, indicates that 6HS autografts provide enhanced biomechanical stability and favorable clinical outcomes. The biomechanical advantages of 6HS autografts, such as improved knee stability and increased graft diameter, contribute to their efficacy in ACL reconstruction. These characteristics facilitate a closer mimicry of the native ACL’s function, offering a promising option for patients requiring robust reconstructive solutions. Clinically, the use of 6HS autografts has been associated with high patient satisfaction, improved quality of life, and notably low re-injury rates, which underscores their potential for facilitating effective and faster rehabilitation processes. However, while the outcomes of 6HS autografts are promising, it is crucial to consider the limitations of the available studies, including heterogeneity in study designs, limited long-term data, and variations in sample sizes and population diversity. Future research should focus on addressing these gaps, particularly through long-term, large-scale, randomized controlled trials that can provide more definitive evidence on the effectiveness and safety of 6HS autografts in ACL reconstruction.
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