Operating Characteristics of Metal Hydride-Based Solar Energy Storage Systems
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Abstract: Thermochemical energy storage systems, based on a high-temperature metal hydride coupled with a low-temperature metal hydride, represent a valid option to store thermal energy for concentrating solar power plant applications. The operating characteristics are investigated for a tandem hydride bed energy storage system, using a transient lumped parameter model developed to identify the technical performance of the proposed system. The results show that, without operational control, the system undergoes a thermal ratcheting process, causing the metal hydride concentrations to accumulate hydrogen in the high-temperature bed over time, and deplete hydrogen in the low temperature. This unbalanced system is compared with a ‘thermally balanced’ system, where the thermal ratcheting is mitigated by thermally balancing the overall system. The analysis indicates that thermally balanced systems stabilize after the first few cycles and remain so for long-term operation, demonstrating their potential for practical thermal energy storage system applications.

Keywords: metal hydrides; solar energy; thermal energy storage; hydrogen storage; transport models

1. Introduction

The generation of electric power by solar and wind energy suffers from the intermittency of either of these energy sources. As a result, without some form of mitigation, the electric power generated directly by solar and wind energy will be incapable of providing a constant electric power supply consistent with the requirements of residential and commercial utilization. In the case of solar power, there is not only the obvious loss of an energy source at night, but also a reduction in collected power due to atmospheric conditions, such as clouds or airborne particulates. Providing a constant electric power supply from these sources necessitates a means to somehow store energy acquired during periods of high availability and then to recover that energy during those times when the availability is reduced.

 Proposed technologies for concentrating solar power (CSP) energy storage fall into three categories utilizing either sensible energy, latent heat or thermochemical energy [1–4]. Sensible energy storage systems include molten salts and transported solid particles. Proposed latent heat storage systems include polymers, salt hydrates and other inorganic materials. Thermochemical energy storage systems include ammonia and metal hydrides, the latter of which are the subject of this paper.

In any energy storage system, the medium used to store the thermal energy must have low toxicity and be non-corrosive to vessels and piping. The medium, containment and associated process equipment must be cost effective and durable, (i.e., have high cyclability). Maintaining a high stored energy density is important for keeping the required mass and volume of material reasonable. Another important consideration is the efficiency of the power generating system, which is increased as the temperature of the stored energy is increased. High-efficiency operation results in better utilization of stored energy and requires a smaller storage system. It is also desirable for the storage system...
to operate passively. Therefore, the energy storage system must operate at a mandated target temperature.

Extensive research has been conducted on CSP energy storage using molten salts with the technology currently in use in numerous solar power plants, e.g., Solar One [5]. The advantage of molten salt storage systems lies in their simplicity.

Metal hydride energy storage technology utilizes the chemical potential of metal hydrides to store and release thermal energy [6,7]. The storage system consists of two separate beds of metal hydrides; one of which undergoes a hydrogen uptake reaction at a high temperature, while the other uptakes hydrogen at a lower temperature.

As illustrated in Figure 1, during periods of high solar irradiation the high-temperature bed (Bed 1 in Figure 1) releases hydrogen that flows to the low-temperature bed (Bed 3 in Figure 1). As the pressure increases, the low-temperature bed reacts to uptake hydrogen. During periods of low or no solar irradiation, the high-temperature bed cools and the pressure decreases. The reduction in pressure causes hydrogen to be released from the metal hydride in the low-temperature bed and the high-temperature bed begins to uptake hydrogen. The heat of reaction associated with hydrogen uptake in the high-temperature bed results in heat transfer to the working fluid. The heat is transferred at a temperature that is dictated by the chemical kinetics of the high-temperature metal hydride.

![Figure 1. Schematic of tandem bed for solar energy storage.](image)

A comprehensive review and techno-economic analysis of the metal hydride materials, currently available to be employed in thermal energy storage units, can be found in References [7–11]. Three main classes of high-temperature hydrides, currently available, have been identified for possible use in thermal energy storage. The first class of materials is comprised of Mg-based compounds and operates at temperatures of approximately 300–450 °C, with reaction enthalpies on the order of 70–80 kJ/(mol H$_2$) [7,12–14]. Mg-based hydrides were also demonstrated experimentally for small scale power plants, operating at temperatures on the order of 450 °C and demonstrating cycling stability [15,16]. The second class is comprised of Na-based hydrides, operating at temperatures on the order of 450–650 °C and at reaction enthalpies on the order of 75–100 kJ/(mol H$_2$) [17–19]. The third class of materials is comprised primarily of Ca, Ti an Li based compounds, including destabilized hydrides. These materials can operate at temperatures higher than 700 °C, with reaction enthalpies generally higher than 90 kJ/(mol H$_2$) [7,20–25].

This paper focuses on metal hydride based energy storage for concentrating solar power (CSP) collectors, which function by converting solar energy to heat. The heat is then transferred to a working fluid that drives a turbine to generate electrical power. The influence of the ‘thermal ratcheting’ on the overall system performance is described and quantified for a coupled metal hydride thermal energy storage plant, adopting a lumped
parameter transient transport phenomena model. A comparison between a ‘non-balanced’ and a ‘thermally balanced’ coupled metal hydride system is also provided and discussed.

2. Methods and Analysis

The application of metal hydrides for energy storage requires a sufficient mass of hydride to store and supply the heat required for the power block. Further, the beds must be both synchronistic and sized appropriately so that hydrogen discharged by one bed can be stored by the other. It would defeat the purpose of the system if the discharged hydrogen exceeded the capacity of the receiving bed so that the released hydrogen must be stored by pressurization. Ensuring adequate bed sizing and compatibility of chemical kinetics requires the use of numerical models. For this purpose, the models are global for each bed and include mass and energy conservation. It has been observed from detailed models and experiments conducted for the DOE Hydrogen Storage Engineering Center of Excellence that pressure gradients in the hydride beds can be neglected, even during rapid charging processes [26]. Hence, in the global models for the energy storage system, it is assumed that the pressure is uniform throughout the system.

2.1. General Approach

A tandem bed scoping model is used to evaluate metal hydride combinations and bed configurations utilized for solar energy storage. This calculation employs the global mass and energy balances for the storage system. Chemical kinetics, which govern hydrogen uptake and discharge, are defined by a general equation containing parameters determined by fitting data for particular metal hydrides. Real gas properties are obtained from the NIST REFPROP [27] database.

2.2. Model Formulation

2.2.1. Geometry

A schematic of the tandem bed system is shown in Figure 1. In the figure, Beds 1 and 3 contain metal hydrides and represent the high and low-temperature beds, respectively. The gas transfer tubes between the high and low-temperature beds are designated as Bed 2 and are solely for transporting gas; these tubes do not contain metal hydride. \( \dot{Q}_1 \) [W] denotes the net heat transfer to Bed 1 and includes solar heat entering the bed as well as heat transfer out to the working fluid. Similarly, \( \dot{Q}_3 \) denotes the net heat transfer to Bed 3, which can include process waste heat used to enhance hydrogen discharge from Bed 3. Beds 1 through 3 are a closed system and operate without addition of hydrogen, pumps or other externally applied work.

2.2.2. Model Assumptions

The model employs the following assumptions:

1. The process is uniform for each bed. That is, there is no spatial dependence for any properties or dependent variables.
2. The pressure is approximately uniform throughout the entire control volume. Although a pressure gradient is required to drive gas flow between the beds, it is very small because the process is relatively slow. Therefore, for the purpose of the scoping model, the pressure is approximately uniform.
3. The real-gas hydrogen equation of state and enthalpy are given by NIST-REFPROP [27]. However, for the range pressures and temperatures for the system, hydrogen behaves as an ideal gas.
4. Heat transfer to Beds 1 and 3 occurs only via the heat exchangers and convection by hydrogen flow.
5. Heat transfer to Bed 2 occurs only via convection due to hydrogen flow.
2.2.3. Hydrogen Mass Conservation

For \( i = \{1, 2, 3\} \), let \( c_{H_2,i}(t) \) [mol/m\(^3\)] be the concentration of hydrogen in the gas phase of Bed \( i \) at time \( t \) [s], for \( t \geq 0 \), which is assumed to be a smooth real valued function. Let the starting time of the reaction be at \( t_0 = 0 \). The global hydrogen mass conservation equation for the control volume consisting of all 3 beds, see Figure 1, is

\[
\varepsilon_1 V_1 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,1}(t) + \varepsilon_2 V_2 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,2}(t) + \varepsilon_3 V_3 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,3}(t) = \dot{n}_{\text{in}}(t) - \dot{n}_{\text{out}}(t) + s_1 + s_3, \tag{1}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_i & = \text{Porosity of Bed } i \text{ [unitless]} \\
V_i & = \text{Volume of Bed } i \text{ [m}^3\text{]} \\
\dot{n}_{\text{in}}(t) & = \text{Molar flowrate of incoming gas [mol/s]} \\
\dot{n}_{\text{out}}(t) & = \text{Molar flowrate of outgoing gas [mol/s]} \\
s_i & = \text{Source of hydrogen for Bed } i \text{ [mol/s]}. \text{ This quantity is negative for hydrogen uptake by the metal hydride.}
\end{align*}
\]

There are several things to note. First, \( \dot{n}_{\text{in}}(t) - \dot{n}_{\text{out}}(t) = 0 \) since control volume is closed. Furthermore, the porosity of Bed 2, the transfer tubes, is simply \( \varepsilon_2 = 1 \). Lastly, from here forward only consider \( i = \{1, 3\} \) for any variables which are not relevant to Bed 2, such as the source of hydrogen, \( s_i \).

Therefore,

\[
\varepsilon_1 V_1 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,1}(t) + V_2 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,2}(t) + \varepsilon_3 V_3 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,3}(t) = s_1 + s_3. \tag{2}
\]

The source terms are given by the metal hydride kinetics equations and the balanced chemical reaction equation for hydrogen and the metal hydride.

For Beds 1 and 3, which contain metal hydride, the mass conservation equation for hydrogen is

\[
\varepsilon_i V_i \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,i}(t) = -\dot{n}_{H_2,i}(t) + s_i, \tag{3}
\]

where

\[
\dot{n}_{H_2,i}(t) = \text{Molar flowrate of hydrogen out of Bed } i \text{ [mol/s]}. \]

Consider \( \dot{n}_{H_2,i}(t) \), the number of moles of hydrogen in Bed \( i \), as a continuous, real valued function of time. However, smoothness is not necessarily assumed, hence \( \dot{n}_{H_2,i}(t) \) may not be continuous. Note that \( \dot{n}_{H_2,i}(t) \) is not necessarily 0 since the control volume for Bed \( i \) is open.

For Bed 2, which represents the tubes connecting Beds 1 and 3, the hydrogen mass conservation equation is

\[
\frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,2}(t) V_2 = \dot{n}_{H_2,1}(t) + \dot{n}_{H_2,3}(t). \tag{4}
\]

Substitute for \( \dot{n}_{H_2,1}(t) \) and \( \dot{n}_{H_2,3}(t) \) from Equation (3) to get

\[
\frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,2}(t) V_2 = \left( s_1 - \varepsilon_1 V_1 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,1}(t) \right) + \left( s_3 - \varepsilon_3 V_3 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,3}(t) \right). \tag{5}
\]

For a real gas,

\[
c(T, P) = \frac{P}{z(T, P) RT}, \tag{6}
\]

where

\[
z(T, P) = \text{Compressibility factor at temperature, } T, \text{ and pressure, } P, \text{ [unitless]} \\
R = \text{Gas constant} = 8.314 \text{ [J/(mol·K)]}.
\]
Let \( T_i \) be the temperature [K] of Bed \( i \), and let \( P \) be the pressure [Pa] of the beds. Because the pressure is uniform, \( P \) has the same value for all 3 beds. Use Equation (6) and the chain rule to write Equation (2) with \( T_1, T_2, T_3 \) and \( P \) as independent variables.

\[
e_1 V_1 \frac{d\rho_{H_2}}{dT_1} \frac{dT_1}{dt} + V_2 \frac{d\rho_{H_2}}{dT_2} \frac{dT_2}{dt} + e_3 V_3 \frac{d\rho_{H_2}}{dT_3} \frac{dT_3}{dt} + \left( e_1 V_1 \frac{d\rho_{H_2}}{dP} + V_2 \frac{d\rho_{H_2}}{dP} + e_3 V_3 \frac{d\rho_{H_2}}{dP} \right) \frac{dP}{dt} = s_1 + s_3.
\]  

(7)

2.2.4. Metal Hydride Mass Conservation

Postulate a chemical reaction equation for the metal hydride, having the form

\[
v_{H_2}H_2 + v_M M \leftrightarrow v_{MH} MH,
\]

(8)

where

\[ v_j = \text{Stoichiometric coefficient for species } j, \quad j = \{H_2, M, MH\} \] [unitless].

For the chemical reaction given by Equation (8), the change in moles of each component are related by

\[
-\frac{dn_{H_2}}{v_{H_2}} = -\frac{dn_M}{v_M} = \frac{dn_{MH}}{v_{MH}}.
\]

(9)

where

\[ n_j = \text{Change in moles of species } j \text{ due to the chemical reaction [mol]}. \]

By Equation (9)

\[
-\frac{dc_{H_2,rxn}}{v_{H_2}} = -\frac{dc_M}{v_M} = \frac{dc_{MH}}{v_{MH}},
\]

(10)

and for \( i = \{1,3\} \)

\[
\frac{d}{dt} c_{MH,i}(t) = -\frac{v_{MH}}{v_{H_2}} \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,i}(t) = -\frac{v_{MH}}{v_{H_2}} s_i
\]

(11)

where

\[ dc_{H_2,rxn}(t)\text{= Change in concentration of hydrogen due to reaction [mol/m}^3\text{]} \]

\[ c_{j,i}(t) = \text{Concentration of species } j \text{ in Bed } i \text{ [mol/m}^3\text{]}. \]

2.2.5. Energy Conservation

The global energy conservation equation for Beds 1 and 3 is given by, for \( i = \{1,3\} \),

\[
\left( \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{MH,i}(t) u_{MH,i}(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{M,i}(t) u_{M,i}(t) + e_1 \frac{d}{dt} c_{H_2,i}(t) h_{H_2,i}(t) \right) V_i = -\dot{n}_{H_2,i}(t) \tilde{h}_{1+2} + e_1 V_i \frac{dP}{dt} + \dot{Q}_i,
\]

(12)

where

\[ h_{H_2,i}(t) = \text{Molar enthalpy of hydrogen in Bed } i \text{ [J/mol]} \]

\[ \tilde{h}_{1+2}(t) = \text{Molar enthalpy of hydrogen entering or leaving Bed } i \text{ [J/mol]} \]

\[ = \begin{cases} h_{H_2,i}(t) & \text{bulk molar hydrogen enthalpy of Bed } i \text{ if exiting (} \dot{n}_{H_2,i} \geq 0) \\ h_{H_2,2}(t) & \text{molar hydrogen enthalpy of Bed 2 if entering (} \dot{n}_{H_2,i} < 0) \end{cases} \]

\[ u_{j,i}(t) = \text{Specific internal energy of species } j \text{ in Bed } i \text{ [J/kg]} \]

\[ \rho_{j,i}(t) = \text{Density of species } j \text{ in Bed } i \text{ [kg/m}^3\text{]} \]

\[ \dot{Q}_i = \text{The rate of heat transfer to Bed } i \text{ [W]}. \]

Expand the derivatives
\[
\left( \rho_{MH,j}(t) \frac{d}{dt} u_{MH,i}(t) + \rho_{M,i}(t) \frac{d}{dt} u_{M,i}(t) \right) V_i + \left( u_{MH,i}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{MH,i}(t) + u_{M,i}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{M,i}(t) \right) V_i \\
+ \epsilon_i c_{H,j}(t) V_i \frac{d}{dt} h_{H,j}(t) + \epsilon_i h_{H,j}(t) V_i \frac{d}{dt} c_{H,j}(t) \\
= -\dot{n}_{H,j}(t) \dot{h}_{i+2}(t) + \epsilon_i V_i \frac{dP}{dt} + Q_i. \quad (13)
\]

From Equation (3),

\[
\epsilon_i h_{H,j}(t) V_i \frac{d}{dt} c_{H,j}(t) = -\dot{n}_{H,j}(t) h_{H,j}(t) + s_i h_{H,j}(t), \quad (14)
\]

so that

\[
\left( \rho_{MH,j}(t) \frac{d}{dt} u_{MH,j}(t) + \rho_{M,j}(t) \frac{d}{dt} u_{M,j}(t) \right) V_i + \left( u_{MH,j}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{MH,j}(t) + u_{M,j}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{M,j}(t) \right) V_i \\
+ \epsilon_i c_{H,j}(t) V_i \frac{d}{dt} h_{H,j}(t) + s_i h_{H,j}(t) \\
= -\dot{n}_{H,j}(t) (\dot{h}_{i+2}(t) - h_{H,j}(t)) + \epsilon_i V_i \frac{dP}{dt} + Q_i. \quad (15)
\]

For a solid (namely the hydrided and un-hydrided metal) \( u \approx h \) and is approximately independent of pressure so that,

\[
\frac{du}{dt} \approx \frac{du}{dT} \frac{dT}{dt} \approx \frac{\partial h}{\partial T} \frac{dT}{dt} = C_p \frac{dT}{dt}, \quad (16)
\]

where

- \( h \) = Specific enthalpy of metal [J/kg]
- \( C_p \) = Specific heat capacity of metal [J/(kg·K)].

For now, drop the notation of \( t \) dependence of these functions. Group the hydrided and un-hydrided metal together and write

\[
\left( \rho_{MH,j} \frac{d}{dt} u_{MH,j} + \rho_{M,j} \frac{d}{dt} u_{M,j} \right) V_i \\
= (\rho_{MH,j} C_{PMH,j} + \rho_{M,j} C_{PM,j}) \frac{dT_i}{dt} V_i \\
= \rho_i C_p \frac{dT_i}{dt} V_i, \quad (17)
\]

where

- \( \rho_i \) = Overall density of Bed \( i \) [J/kg]
- \( C_{P,i} \) = Overall specific heat capacity of Bed \( i \) [J/(kg·K)].

Further, for the hydrating reaction,

\[
\left( u_{MH,i} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{MH,i} + u_{M,i} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{M,i} \right) V_i + s_i h_{H,i} \\
\approx \left( \dot{h}_{MH} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{MH,i} + \dot{h}_M \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{M,i} \right) V_i + s_i h_{H,i} \\
= \left( \dot{h}_{MH} u_{MH,i} \frac{d}{dt} c_{MH,i} + \dot{h}_M u_{M,i} \frac{d}{dt} c_{M,i} \right) V_i + s_i h_{H,i} \\
= \left( h_{MH,i} \frac{d}{dt} c_{MH,i} + h_{M,i} \frac{d}{dt} c_{M,i} \right) V_i + s_i h_{H,i} \\
= \left( \frac{v_M}{v_H} h_{M,i} + h_{H,i} \right) \frac{d}{dt} c_{MH,i} \frac{d}{dt} c_{M,i} V_i + s_i h_{H,i} \quad (18)
\]

where
\[ h_{ij}(t) = \text{Specific enthalpy of species } j \text{ in Bed } i \text{ [J/kg]}. \]

Note that the enthalpy of reaction, \( \Delta H_{H_2,i} \text{ [J/mol H}_2\text{]} \), is given as

\[
- \Delta H_{H_2,i} s_i = \left( \frac{v_M}{v_{H_2}} h_{M,j} + h_{H_2,i} - \frac{v_{MH}}{v_{H_2}} h_{MH,j} \right) V_i.
\]

(19)

Note that \( \Delta H_{H_2,i} < 0 \) on uptake of \( H_2 \) by the metal hydride if the reaction is exothermic (which it is). Substituting the above relations into Equation (15) gives

\[
\left( \rho_i C_{Pi} \frac{dT_i}{dt} + \varepsilon_i C_{H_2,i} \frac{dh_{H_2,i}}{dt} \right) V_i = -\dot{n}_{H_2,i} (\tilde{h}_{i+2} - h_{H_2,i}) + \varepsilon_i V_i \frac{dP}{dt} + \Delta H_{H_2,i} s_i + Q_i.
\]

(20)

2.2.6. Kinetics Expression

The source terms, \( s_1 \) and \( s_3 \), derive from the reaction kinetics for the metal hydrides employed in Beds 1 and 3. Models for the kinetics are dependent on temperature and pressure and differ for the particular metal hydride. If the kinetics are sufficiently rapid, hydrogen uptake and discharge by the metal hydride can be approximated using an isotherm. The United Technologies Research Center has postulated an equation for metal hydride kinetics, applicable to single step reactions [28]. For \( i = \{1, 3\} \),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} c_{MH,i} = -\left( \frac{c_{MH,i}}{c_{eq,i}} - x_{eq,i} \right) c_{eq,i} A_i \exp \left( - \frac{E_{ai}}{RT_i} \right) \ln \left( \frac{P}{P_{eq}(T_i)} \right) \left( c_{MH,i} - x_{eq,i} \right)^{\chi},
\]

(21)

where

- \( A_i = \text{Arrhenius pre-multiplier for Bed } i \) (a parameter fit from data) [s\(^{-1}\)]
- \( \chi = \text{Parameter from fit to data, same for both Beds 1 and 3 [unitless]} \)
- \( E_{ai} = \text{Activation energy in Bed } i \text{ [J/mol]} \)
- \( c_{eq,i} = \text{Concentration of metal hydride in Bed } i \text{ when fully converted from metal form [mol/m}^3\text{]} \)
- \( P_{eq}(T) = \text{Equilibrium pressure [Pa] of the metal hydride-hydrogen system at temperature } T \)
- \( x_{eq,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P > P_{eq}(T_i) \\ 0 & \text{if } P \leq P_{eq}(T_i) \end{cases} \) An equilibrium concentration factor [unitless].

The equilibrium pressure is given by the van’t Hoff equation

\[
P_{eq}(T_i) = 10^5 \exp \left( \frac{\Delta H_i}{RT_i} - \frac{\Delta S_i}{R} \right),
\]

(22)

where

- \( \Delta H_i = \text{Enthalpy of reaction in Bed } i \text{ [J/mol]} \)
- \( \Delta S_i = \text{Entropy of reaction in Bed } i \text{ [J/(mol·K)}]. \)

3. Results and Discussion

Hypothetical Pair of Metal Hydrides

As an illustrative example of the operation of the metal hydride solar energy storage system, consider a pair of metal hydrides that each have different reaction kinetics and chemical properties, but both have the mass action equation

\[
M + \frac{3}{2} H_2 \leftrightarrow MH.
\]

(23)

The stoichiometric coefficients are thus

\[
v_M = 1, \ v_{H_2} = \frac{3}{2}, \ v_{MH} = 1.
\]

(24)
The parameters and properties for the metal hydrides in Beds 1 and 3 are listed in Table 1 \( i = \{1, 3\} \). The parameters are for a hypothetical pair of materials, with the stoichiometry of NaAlH\(_4\) and thermodynamic properties suitable for a high-temperature metal hydride (Bed 1) coupled a metal hydride with thermodynamic properties suitable for a low-temperature metal hydride (Bed 3). The properties of the metal hydrides in Beds 1 and 3 are listed in Table 1. The volumes of Beds 1, 2, and 3 are assumed equal to 20 m\(^3\), 0.025 m\(^3\), and 60 m\(^3\), respectively.

**Table 1. Parameters for Beds 1 and 3.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bed 1</th>
<th>Bed 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_i )</td>
<td>( 10^6 \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 9 \times 10^6 \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{ai} )</td>
<td>9000 J/mol</td>
<td>4500 J/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta H_i )</td>
<td>(-75,000 \text{J/mol})</td>
<td>(-45,000 \text{J/mol})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta S_i )</td>
<td>(-145 \text{J/(mol K)})</td>
<td>(-145 \text{J/(mol K)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_i )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_i )</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{P_{i}} )</td>
<td>1800 J/(mol K)</td>
<td>1800 J/(mol K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_i )</td>
<td>300 kg/m(^3)</td>
<td>300 kg/m(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_{MH,i}(0) )</td>
<td>13,333 mol/kg</td>
<td>13,333 mol/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a performance test, the model was applied to a very small CSP system for which the high-temperature bed receives 300 W of net thermal power during the day, which is the total thermal power less heat transfer to the working fluid for the electric power system and other losses. Net heat transfer to the high-temperature bed results in dissociation of hydrogen, which flows to the low-temperature bed.

In the scoping calculations two cases were considered. The first case, referred to as ‘a non-thermally balanced system’, sees 300 W of solar heat transferred to the metal hydride in the high-temperature bed (Bed 1) during the day and 300 W extracted from the high-temperature bed during the night, i.e., \( Q_1 = \pm 300 \text{ W} \). The day and night portions of the complete 24 h cycle are each assumed to be 12 h long and the power is assumed to be constant over each part of the cycle. For this case there is no heat transfer, other than that by convection of hydrogen, to or from the low-temperature bed (Bed 3), i.e., \( Q_3 = 0 \text{ W} \). The piping that connects the high and low-temperature beds is assumed to be adiabatic. The performance of the system for this case is shown in Figures 2–6.

**Figure 2.** System pressure for the non-thermally balanced system. Operation of the non-balanced system results in an upward trend in the system pressure.
Figure 3. System temperatures for the high-temperature metal hydride, Bed 1, the connecting pipeline, Bed 2, and the low-temperature metal hydride, Bed 3, for the non-thermally balanced system. This case results in a slight cycle to cycle increase in the high and low-temperature beds.

Figure 4. System hydrogen flowrate for the non-thermally balanced system.

Figure 5. Metal hydride concentration in the high-temperature metal hydride, Bed 1, for the non-thermally balanced system. The metal hydride concentration is a measure of the hydrogen uptake by the bed.
Figure 6. Metal hydride concentration in the low-temperature metal hydride, Bed 3, for the non-thermally balanced system. The metal hydride concentration is a measure of the hydrogen uptake by the bed.

The second case, referred to as ‘a thermally balanced system’ sees the same cycle day/night cycle times. However, in this case, the net power supplied to the high-temperature bed is constant at 300 W during the day and a constant power of 270 W is extracted from the bed during the night. The low-temperature bed is cooled during the day, with a constant 30 W of heat removed, i.e., \( \dot{Q}_3 = -30 \) W. During the night there is no net heat transfer to the low-temperature bed, i.e., \( \dot{Q}_3 = 0 \) W. The performance of this case is shown in Figures 7–11.

For Case 1, in which the system is not thermally balanced, cyclic operation results in accumulation of hydrogen in the high-temperature bed and depletion of hydrogen in the low-temperature bed. In this paper, the accumulation/depletion process is referred to as ‘thermal ratcheting’ and is shown as the cycle to cycle increase in the metal hydride concentration in the high-temperature bed accompanied by a decrease in the low-temperature bed, see Figures 5 and 6. Eventually, when the high-temperature bed can no longer uptake hydrogen, discharge from the low-temperature bed continues until the system reaches temperature dependent equilibrium pressure of the low-temperature bed. This situation defeats the purpose of generating heat via reaction enthalpy in the high-temperature bed as the bed will no longer uptake hydrogen. After saturation, the only heat generation in the high-temperature bed will be due to pure pressurization, which is insufficient to supply the necessary power during the night.

Figure 7. System pressure for the thermally balanced system. Although difficult to see in this figure (the pressure appears to be periodic everywhere), the pressure becomes periodic after the first few cycles.
Figure 8. System temperatures for the high-temperature metal hydride, Bed 1, the connecting pipeline, Bed 2, and the low-temperature metal hydride, Bed 3, for the thermally balanced system. For this case, temperatures in both Bed 1 and Bed 3 are periodic after the first few cycles.

Figure 9. Hydrogen flowrates entering and exiting Beds 1 and 3 for the thermally balanced system. In this case the entering and exiting flowrates display reflective symmetry after the first few cycles.

Figure 10. Metal hydride concentration in the high-temperature metal hydride, Bed 1, for the thermally balanced system. The metal hydride concentration is a measure of the hydrogen uptake by the bed and becomes periodic after the first few cycles.
Figure 11. Metal hydride concentration in the low-temperature metal hydride, Bed 3, for the thermally balanced system. The metal hydride concentration is a measure of the hydrogen uptake by the bed and, as for the high-temperature bed, shown in Figure 10, becomes periodic after the first few cycles.

The reason for the increase in hydrogen loading in the high-temperature bed (Bed 1) is due to the transfer of lower temperature gas from the low-temperature bed (Bed 3) to Bed 1 which, by virtue of the equilibrium pressure equation and the kinetics equation for the metal hydride, increases uptake in Bed 1. The converse occurs for Bed 3. In Bed 1, the reduction in internal energy due to cooler gas is offset by the cyclic increase in internal energy due to the molar enthalpy of reaction associated with the net cyclic increase in hydrogen taken up by Bed 1. The net increase in stored thermal energy results in small cycle to cycle increase in temperature for Bed 1, see Figure 3. In Bed 3, a net increase in internal energy occurs due to the transfer of higher temperature gas from Bed 1 in spite of the increase in cycle to cycle hydrogen discharge. This occurs because of the lower molar enthalpy of reaction for the metal hydride in Bed 3. As a result, the cycle to cycle temperature of the Bed 3 undergoes a slight increase, see Figure 3.

Understanding the mechanism for the thermal ratcheting effect leads to an immediate means for its mitigation, which is the basis for the thermally-balanced model of Case 2. Here, the 30 W of heat removal from the low-temperature bed, Bed 3, during the day reduces the bed temperature and thus increases the hydrogen uptake. The reduction in the heat removed from the high-temperature bed, Bed 1, during the night to 270 W as opposed to 300 W for the Case 1 model, serves to prevent the bed temperature from decreasing over the uptake part of the cycle as much as in Case 1. As a consequence, the increased Bed 1 temperature reduces the hydrogen uptake. Taken together, operation under these conditions results in cycle-wise stabilization of the hydrogen content of the high and low-temperature beds after a few cycles. This is shown in Figures 10 and 11 where the hydrogen content of the beds is represented by the metal hydride concentration. From Figure 8, it can be seen that operating in this way maintains a periodic temperature cycle in both beds. Operation under the conditions of Case 2 result in a viable thermochemical system for solar energy storage.

4. Conclusions

A model was developed and applied to operation of solar energy storage systems that employ tandem metal hydride beds. The model demonstrated that the system is viable for a given pairing of metal hydrides, provided that the beds are properly sized and appropriate operating conditions are imposed. The model indicated that without operational control, the system underwent a thermal ratcheting process.

Specifically, thermal ratcheting can occur for a system that is not thermally balanced and will, after a number of day-night cycles, result in failure to recover thermal energy during the night. However, thermal ratcheting can be mitigated by thermally balancing the system, which consists of adding low-quality heat to the low-temperature bed during daytime operation and reducing the rate of heat transfer from the high-temperature bed during the night. By appropriately sizing the system, night-time power requirements can
be met. The analysis indicated that thermally balanced systems stabilize after the first few
day-night cycles and remain so for long-term operation.
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