Abusive Supervision Impact on Employees’ Creativity: A Mediated-Moderated Perspective
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Abstract: The drive for the current study was to describe the findings carried out to understand abusive supervision and employees’ creativity in business organizations. This research investigated the effect of abusive supervision on employees’ creativity. The influence of employment insecurity as a mediating variable and the moderating role of locus of control has also been examined. The study was based on Hobfoll’s conservation of resources theory. The data were collected using a self-administered questionnaire from 500 employees working in different business organizations in Pakistan. To analyze the data, the study employed path analytic approaches using multilevel modeling in Mplus 7.1. The empirical analysis has confirmed that abusive supervision and employment insecurity have a significant negative impact on employees’ creativity. Employment infectivity partially mediates the effect of abusive supervision on employees’ creativity. Moderation analysis was performed and established that the locus of control moderates the effect of abusive supervision on employment insecurity as well as the effect of employment insecurity on employees’ creativity. The current research has contributed towards developing a better understanding of the studied variables, i.e., abusive supervision, employment insecurity, locus of control, and employees’ creativity. To the best of authors’ knowledge these variables have been studied together here for the first time. The study also forwarded practical recommendations for managers and entrepreneurs.
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1. Introduction

Abusive supervision has an adverse influence on the subordinates’ physical and mental actions which have a negative impact on the organization [1]. Despite the fact that some organizational managers are well aware of the negative impact of abusive supervision towards its subordinates and management [2–4], the question of why such management style actually occurs in the organizations remains unanswered. The reason behind that is organizational leadership stresses discipline and power [5], with supervisors having the bulk of important resources under their control. Personnel in many companies must undoubtedly follow or even obey their superiors’ directives and requests. Workers can acquire a stronger acceptance towards abusive supervision over time, which leads to a preponderance towards such style of leadership. The present study was conducted in
the setting of insurance companies. It is self-evident that creative activities are critical to insurance companies and business organizations.

Although previous studies have found a link between abusive supervision and job-related behaviors, for instance, turnover intentions [6], organizational citizenship behavior [7], employee’s deviance behavior [8,9], and counter-productive work behaviors [10], it is still unknown whether other factors are also associated with abusive supervision. One of the most significant positive behaviors at work is employee creativity which is essential for an organization’s survival and success. Our concentration is on mediating the function of insecure employment based on past studies. We can observe from the research that abusive supervision is having a detrimental influence on insecure employment. Insecure employment, as per the conservation of resources (COR) theory, drives workers to waste energy in order to deal with the uncertainty, leaving them with insufficient resources to innovate.

In recent years, insecure employment has gained a lot of attention in the workplace; when work stress levels rise, insecurity in the office rises, resulting in increased rates of organizational deviance, burnout, and employee’s turnover intensions [11,12]. People have a simple drive to maintain, secure, and create resources which they consider valuable, as per the COR theory [13]. Employees regard leaders as a valuable resource in the company, and they pay close attention towards them [14].

The emotional link between an employee and their organization is built on the foundation of their relationship with their boss [15]. The interruption of abusive supervision to this relationship can have adverse impacts on it. Therefore, studies claim that abusive supervision is adversely associated with workers’ creativity, with insecure employment [16]. Moreover, the investigation on workers’ locus of control reveals that individual attribution approaches might help to mitigate a variety of negative beliefs and actions associated with resource risks [17,18]. As a result, we believe that the locus of control acts as a buffer, reducing the link between abusive supervision and increasing insecure employment, as well as the detrimental impact of insecure employment on workers’ creativity.

Our research intends to add to the current body of knowledge about abusive supervision. First, while the link between abusive supervision and workers’ job-related conduct is widely documented, our study focuses on the link between abusive supervision and positive job-related behaviors, for instance creativity, that has received less consideration from the previous researchers. Second, by combining the COR theory with the locus of control (LoC) theory, we want to uncover and simulate the specific mechanism through which abusive supervision affects employees’ creativity at the workplace. We anticipate that these findings will be of practical relevance to firms in addition to increasing the theoretic knowledge of “in what way abusive supervision adversely impacts the workers of the organization”. The goal of this research is to create a model that expresses the link between abusive supervision and workers’ creativity behavior, thus elucidating the mechanism at work. Our concentration is towards the mediating impact of insecure employment and moderating function of locus control in reducing employees’ creativity activities under abusive supervision. This work opens the basis for subsequent interventions to address the behavior of employees.

2. Hypotheses Development and Theoretical Framework
2.1. Abusive Supervision, Insecure Employment and Creativity

According to Tepper, et al. [6], abusive supervision is defined as “subordinates’ perceptions of supervisors’ persistent display of hostile verbal and nonverbal actions, avoiding physical contact”. In public humiliation, ridiculing, yelling at employees, impoliteness, violating the agreements, and participating in unacceptable activities are all examples of abusive supervision. As per Zia, et al. [9], abusive supervision can be defined as being long-term and persistent instead of transitory and fleeting. It is contingent on the employee’s individual appraisal, though two workers’ assessments of the same supervisor’s behavior may differ. Unfavorable outcomes occur from abusive supervision. It puts people under
stress, perhaps leading towards negative emotional exhaustion at the workplace [19,20]. One such subjective stressor is insecure employment, which is characterized as employees’ fear and anxiety about losing their current jobs [21]. Insecure employment, as opposed to real employment loss, refers to the belief that the nature and continuing existence of one’s job are under danger [21,22]. As per the conservation of resources concept, more abusive supervision at work leads to depletion of employees’ psychological resources. Employees lose their capacity to cope with hazards and problems in the job as a result of their inadequate personal resources. As a result, employees’ feelings of insecure employment grow. Employees will perceive a higher number of unfavorable aspects in such circumstances, and their performance will be negatively evaluated as a result. They may sense the possibility of a loss of resources when under psychological duress, resulting in undesired actions and bad sentiments such as insecure employment. Therefore, we can hypothesize:

Hypothesis 1 (H1). Abusive supervision and insecure employment are positively connected.

Employees’ creativity is characterized as a sequence of procedures through which they identify issues, generate ideas or solutions, and eventually produce goods [23,24]. Solving issues autonomously, making autonomous decisions, actively using new technologies and approaches, and producing new outcomes are examples of such conduct [23]. The creative conduct of employees is a critical component of total organizational efficiency and growth. Recognizing the possible backgrounds, for instance insecure employment, may provide insight into how to improve the levels of such behavior inside a company. Individuals aim to acquire resources such as self-esteem and prestige which may be used to endure or overcome dangers, as per the conservation of resources theory.

Stressful or traumatic situations deplete these resources, making one more vulnerable to future unfavorable occurrences. Workers who are threatened with loss of organizational resources will make efforts to decrease the risk factor, hence decreasing resource loss, in order to safeguard their resources [25,26]. Insecure employment is defined as a feeling of instability in one’s job at the workplace [21], posing the risk of resource loss. Workers facing this kind of threat will deplete their mental resources, resulting in emotional tiredness, low self-confidence, decreased individual achievement, reduced interest in job, desperation, and feelings of having been deceived, all of which contribute to a decrease in working capabilities of a worker [21,22,27].

When a subordinate no longer feels that they are capable of sustaining their task, they are less likely to give it their all. Worse, they will lack excitement and effort to finish their assignment, resulting in a lack of creativity. While prior research for instance [28–30] has found the link between job uncertainty and organizational deviance behavior, burnout, or desire to resign, it is not clear so far how insecure employment influences the creativity of employees. We propose that insecure employment may produce negative psychology and behaviors, hence diminishing individual positive actions, based on current research and the theory of COR [27]. Thus, we hypothesize the following based on these arguments:

Hypothesis 2 (H2). Insecure employment and creativity are negatively connected.

Psychological mechanisms behind the association between abusive supervision and workers’ creative conduct are unknown to the best of our knowledge. Employees of the organization have a basic drive to maintain, safeguard, and create resources that have worth for them, as per the conservation of resources theory [26]. Once an organization’s individual resources are threatened with loss or are really lost, it causes psychological distress and anxiety. There will be a gap if resources are not replenished soon, resulting in a succession of undesirable outcomes [27]. Employees’ resources will be continually exhausted when supervisors adopts the abusive supervisory style of administration, in which relatively weak workers are seen as objects of fury to repress and intimidate [6]. Subordinates who are subjected to such aggressive behavior will feel intimidated and experience bad feelings over time [20,31]. Emotional exhaustion takes place among the
employees when they feel negative emotions amongst themselves [6,25], which leads to feelings of negativism, a lack of interest at workplace and working activities, and a loss of faith in the organization, all of which can eventually cause job-insecurity [6,21,32]. Employees may adopt a more conservative condition if job instability is not successfully relieved and becomes chronic, unable to continue their initial active behavior and, as a result, diminishing their levels of creativity. As a result, we suggest that insecure employment may act as a buffer between abusive supervision and employees’ creativity. As such, we propose the following hypothesis:

**Hypothesis 3 (H3).** The relationship between abusive supervision and creativity is mediated by insecure employment.

### 2.2. The Locus of Control’s Moderating Effect

The issue emerges about whether abusive supervision is having frequent influence on workers’ creative conduct or if it varies from employee to employee. Certain distinctive characteristics may influence how an individual is influenced. The amount to which individuals feel they have the ability to manage and exert control over different situations in their life, excluding the factors relating to the external environment over which they have limited control, has an influence on individual cognition [33,34]. It shows an individual’s attribution propensity personality traits [35,36], such as whether they ascribe achievement and disappointment to these external and internal factors [37,38]. Those who subscribe to external factors blame the outside world for their successes and failures, whereas those who focus on internal factors tend to blame themselves for their triumphs and failings [39,40]. When confronted with a workplace danger, persons with varying loci of control use different methods and techniques, as per the philosophy of locus of control [41,42]. The relationship between the fear of resource loss (for instance, abusive supervision and insecure employment) and individual behaviors and conducts (for instance, insecure employment and subordinates’ creative behaviors) may be influenced by the locus of control, which is a type of personal trait of an individual [36].

Persons with external control, in particular, may be much optimistic in response to organizational hazards, opting for a more vigorous managing technique to alleviate and manage their depressed emotion and harmful acts. Employees with high morale and positivity in their actions are more capable of handling the danger of losing resources (for instance, abusive supervision and insecure employment), which have negative effects for work engagement, organizational attitudes, and fitness [21,43]. Subordinates who lack self-control and are unable to handle the pressure seem more exposed to threats and hence have less capability to retain positive attitudes and actions [44,45]. Workers who lack internal control and a healthy coping technique, on the other hand, will likely be less able to deal with these risks. As a result, their positive attitudes and actions have more chance of being negatively impacted [46,47]. As a result, we propose the following hypotheses. Figure 1 shows the research model and depicts all the hypotheses used in this study:
Hypothesis 4 (H4). The relationship between abusive supervision and insecure employment is moderated by the locus of control.

Hypothesis 5 (H5). The relationship between abusive supervision and workers’ creativity through insecure employment is moderated by the locus of control.

3. Methodology

This research was carried out in five leading insurance companies working in Pakistan. Through the support of HR staff at the targeted companies, we have gathered the data through questionnaires during the office time. Participants were first told of the study’s goals and assured of their privacy. Next, we offered explicit guidelines for completing the surveys and urged respondents to reply to questions that were relevant to their current position. Respondents were also requested to fill out questionnaires about abusive supervision, insecure employment, locus of control, and creativity. Furthermore, the researchers and their helpers received all completed surveys right away.

The study requested 600 employees from these companies to take part in the current research. The final population included 500 participants, 390 males and 110 females, for a response rate of 83.3 percent. Married participants were 70 percent with avg. age = 32 (SD = 0.75) with an avg. of more than 14 (SD = 0.78) years spent in education. The abusive supervision measures were taken from [6]. Tepper, et al. [6] devised a 10-item scale that includes two measurements and used 5-point Likert scale extending from 1 = (Strongly Disagree) and 5 = (Strongly Agree). In our research, Cronbach’s alpha was 0.93 for the particular scale. The insecure employment measures were taken from [48]. We have used Borg and Elizur’s [48] 7-item scale to measure insecure employment, all items were assessed on a 7-point scale (from 1 to 7), with 1 = (strong disagreement) and 7 = (strong agreement). In our sample, the Cronbach’s alpha = 0.78 for this scale. The measures of locus of control were taken from Spector [37]. We have used 16-item scale proposed by [37], which included internal control and external control, and was scored on 6-point scale (1 to 6). In our investigation, the Cronbach’s alpha = 0.75 for this scale.

We have used a 12-item scale based on Kleysen and Street [49] to assess creative behavior. The scale has two dimensions: 1) idea creation, and 2) concept execution. A 5-point scale ranging from 1 = (Strongly Disagree) to 5 = (Strongly agree) was used. In our research, the Cronbach’s alpha = 0.84 for this particular scale. We have considered the
respondent’s age, education, gender, and marital status, all of which have been linked to insecure employment and creative behavior in prior research as controlled variables [50]. To analyze the data, the study employed path analytic approaches [51–53] using multilevel modeling in Mplus 7.1.

4. Results and Discussion

Table 1 displays descriptive statistics for the research study along with correlations analysis and Cronbach’s alpha. Cronbach’s alpha values of more than 0.70 are acceptable for all variables. Abusive supervision was linked to insecure employment ($r = 0.23, p < 0.01$) and was adversely linked to employees’ creativity ($r = -0.10, p < 0.05$), employees’ creative behavior were adversely connected with insecure employment ($r = -0.23, p = 0.01$). These data give early evidence for the correlations this study hypothesized. Before running the analysis, all of the predictor variables were standardized.

Table 1. Correlation Analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.19 **</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualifications</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>&gt;0.69</td>
<td>0.29 **</td>
<td>0.31 **</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.30 **</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.S</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.13 **</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10 *</td>
<td>0.10 *</td>
<td>(0.88)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.E</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.12 **</td>
<td>-0.14 **</td>
<td>0.13 **</td>
<td>0.23 **</td>
<td>(0.82)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoC</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.11 **</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.29 **</td>
<td>-0.35 **</td>
<td>(0.76)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.14 **</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16 **</td>
<td>-0.10 *</td>
<td>-0.23 **</td>
<td>0.29 **</td>
<td>(0.83)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N = 500; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, two-tailed: A.S = Abusive supervision; I.E = Insecure Employment; LoC = Locus of Control; For Gender 1 = Men & 2 = women; For Education 1 = junior school, 2 = high school, 3 = Associate Degree, 4 = UG, 5 = Masters and above education.

4.1. Model Validation Test

Confirmatory factor analyses were used to see if the assessed constructs can be distinguished from one another. The four-factor model (abusive supervision, insecure employment, creativity, and LoC) matched the data well, with $\chi^2 (916) = 2231.59, p < 0.001$, CFI = 0.94, TLI = 0.94, RMSEA = 0.07, and SRMR = 0.09. The parameters for all of the items were determined to be considerably loaded. The predicted measurement model fit the data better than the 16 constrained models that incorporated any two of the four components, producing a considerably better fit to the data ($1242.16 \leq \Delta \chi^2 [\Delta df = 6] \leq 5249.29$, ps < 0.01).

Such findings support the uniqueness of our measurement choices. Harman’s single factor test was conducted using exploratory factor analysis. The findings reveal that ten eigenvalues are greater than one, and the variance of the first component is 16.51%, which is much less than the crucial threshold [54–56].

4.2. Hypotheses Testing

Effects of mediation are tested. We used path analytic approaches to test our hypotheses [51,52]. To determine the significance of any indirect effects, a bootstrapping study was used [57]. After controlling for control factors, we discovered that abusive supervision is linked to insecure employment ($\beta = 0.23, SE = 0.07, p < 0.01$) and insecure employment is linked to employee creativity negatively ($\beta = -0.18, SE = 0.07, p < 0.01$), confirming Hypotheses 1 and 2. We observed that the indirect effect of abusive supervision on creativity through insecure employment was 0.06, with a 95 percent confidence interval of “[−0.05, 0.01]” when we tested our mediation hypothesis. Abusive supervision had a cumulative effect of −0.08 on creativity. These findings corroborate Hypothesis 3 by revealing that insecure employment moderated the association between abusive supervision and creativity.

Moderation effects are tested. Using Mplus 7.1, we looked at the impact of different degrees of LoC on moderation. The findings backed with our hypothesis of a moderating effect. The relations between abusive supervision and LoC, in particular, are shown to be
noteworthy “(β = −0.10, SE = 0.07, p < 0.05)”, while investigating insecure employment. Table 2 displays the results.

Table 2. Moderated regression Test predicting insecure employment with locus of control as moderator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Insecure Employment</th>
<th>Creativity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.58 * (0.26)</td>
<td>−0.63 * (0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>−0.18 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.08)</td>
<td>−0.03 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>−0.15 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.15 ** (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>0.18 (0.11)</td>
<td>−0.08 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abusive Supervision</td>
<td>0.19 ** (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insecure Employment</td>
<td>−0.09 (0.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus of control</td>
<td>−0.27 ** (0.07)</td>
<td>0.27 ** (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abusive supervision * Locus of control</td>
<td>−0.10 * (0.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R² = 0.17

N = 500; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01.

We also used the simple slopes test presented by Preacher, et al. [58] which assess the impact of abusive supervision upon insecure employment at different degrees of LoC. The high range of LoC is defined as one standard deviation greater than the value of the mean, whereas a LoC with less range is defined as 1 SD below the mean value. When workers LoC was internal control, the results showed that abusive supervision was associated with insecure employment positively (simple slope = 0.26, t = 4.08, p < 0.01). When people’s LoC was external control, however, abusive supervision had no effect on insecure employment (simple slope = 0.08, t = 1.43, p = 0.17). The interaction pattern is depicted in Figure 2. In conclusion, the findings corroborate Hypothesis 4 by indicating that LoC moderates the association between abusive supervision and insecure employment.

Figure 2. Interaction effect of A.S and LoC on Insecure Employment. High and Low level A.S and LoC shows one S.D above and below the mean.

The study also used Bauer, et al.’s [59] technique to look at the restricted indirect impact of abusive supervision on workers’ creativity behavior through insecure employment at different degrees of LoC. External control had a conditional indirect impact of 0.01 with a 95 percent confidence interval of [0.029, 0.003], whereas internal control had a conditional
indirect effect of 0.05 with a 95 percent confidence interval of [0.073, 0.016]. The difference in indirect effects in both the two situations was 0.04 with a 95 percent confidence interval of [0.031, 0.001]. These findings reveal that LoC has a considerable moderating influence over indirect effect. As a result, Hypothesis 5 was found to be true.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

5.1. Conclusions

This research study has taken the COR theory in a new direction by looking at the link between abusive supervision and workers’ creativity. Insecure employment is a mediating factor in this association, according to the study. It has also been shown that LoC moderates the affiliation between AS and IE, with external LoC functioning as a safeguard for workers. To summarize, our theoretical model and empirical evidence lay the basis for further research and theoretical development aimed at better understanding the harmful impact of AS on workers in the organization.

5.2. Contributions to Theory

Our research builds on earlier investigations on abusive supervision and COR theory. Present research investigates the influence of abusive supervision upon creativity of the workers, as well as the mechanisms underlying the link, including the mediating effect of insecure employment along with LoC used as the moderation effect. To begin with, current research discovered that abusive supervision is having a strong detrimental effect on employee creativity. This finding is in line with previous research [50,60,61]. Pakistan’s economy has been growing in last few years. Enterprise managers must activate workers’ innovative behaviors in order to maximize profits; nevertheless, leaders have ignored leadership, resulting to abusive supervision that ignores employees’ emotional needs, stifling their inventive wants and actions. Employees may engage in undesirable conduct if they have unfavorable psychological sentiments toward their leaders, according to the leadership member exchange concept [62]. Employees that are subjected to abusive supervision will be hesitant to challenge their boss directly due to the power imbalance between themselves and their superiors. Instead, they will cut back on their creative efforts.

Employees’ experiences of abusive supervision differed significantly by gender, according to our research. Males (M = 3.80) were subjected to additional abusive supervision compared to females (M = 2.90), a finding that contradicts earlier research [63]. According to [63], there is insignificant gender dissimilarity in incidences of employees being subjected to abusive supervision. One reason for the discrepancies we saw might be because in Pakistan, males are expected to control affairs outside the house while women are expected to take care of domestic issues [64]. Men may be more sensitive to neglect and criticism from their bosses than women if they are expected to retain a strong position in the workplace.

Second, the present research shows that insecure employment mediates the association between abusive supervision and employees’ creativity confirming the conservation of resources hypothesis once again. It indicates, in particular, that people who remain subjected to abusive supervision at work are more probable to suffer insecure employment and, as a result, are less likely to engage in creative conduct at work. As Otto, et al. [65], findings, abusive supervision increases insecure employment among the workers, diminishing their psychological resources, which is consistent with the current study. Employees must use psychological efforts to cope with instability, according to the conservation of resources, which means that most of their time is wasted in non-productive activities, meaning they have less time for creative efforts for the organization.

Ultimately, the revelation of LoC’s moderating effect is likely to be significant because it helps the workers of the organization deal with the challenge of abusive supervision more effectively to maintain their positive efforts for the organization. The influence of abusive supervision towards insecure employment is lessened by external control. Individuals with external control personalities, as per attribution theory [66], ascribe task failure to the outside atmosphere and other factors, resulting in positive mental sensations. Workers with
internal self-control, on the other hand, link the failure of assignments to their individual internal elements, resulting in undesirable mental reactions [39]. As a result, external control participants blamed their leader or other causes for the harsh supervision they received as compared to the internal control participants. These personnel were less subject to insecure employment as a result of their perspective, and their resources did not suffer as a result.

Moreover, the finding of a moderating factor contributes to COR by not only offering particular evidence as to which individual aspects can reduce the undesirable connection between threats of loss of organizational resources and personal supportive emotions, but also by expanding the theory to include previously overlooked factors such as insecure employment.

5.3. Contribution in Practice

The ramifications of these findings for management practice are numerous. First, it has been discovered that abusive supervision is having an adverse influence upon workers’ creativity, implying that it is worthwhile for firms to assess the dangers of this management style. Creating interventional methods and acknowledging worker views from time to time is the best possible way to identify and highlight the presence of abusive supervision in the organization. Human resources departments, for instance, should think twice about giving management roles to people who have a high-abusive supervisory tendency. Leaders should be encouraged to listen to their staff and to rectify ineffective leader behavior in the organization [67].

Secondly, because insecure employment mediates the link between abusive supervision and creative conduct, firms should strive to establish sensible and realistic procedures and rules along with cultivating an energetic, friendly, and welcoming work environment. Employees report reduced levels of insecure employment from their corporation when such measures are in place [6,68]. In circumstances when employees are subjected to abusive supervision, proper avenues of support, such as an employee assistance program (EAP), coaching and counseling helplines, or different supportive sessions for the welfare of workers should be in place so that workers may seek help. These networks can aid in the resolution of any psychological or behavioral issues that workers may be experiencing. Organizations can also arrange “spiritual well-being for workers”, which can decrease the stress level of a worker and decrease the effects of insecure employment, boost employee morale, enhance the workplace environment, promote organizational culture, and cut management expenses, all of which improve performance of the organization.

Third, firms must offer an EAP to assist workers to detect and manage the impacts of abusive supervision in order to enhance their creativity. Organizations can, for instance, conduct training for workers aimed at improving workers’ psychological resources by promoting optimism, confidence, perseverance, and efficiency. Employees’ capacity to withstand external influences is strengthened by adopting a constructive attitude instead of negative feelings which can be helpful for the worker to be a more creative person in the workplace.

To finish, employers must be aware that workers with an internal LoC are much more exposed to the impacts of abusive supervision. In this case, an EAP that focuses on attribution coaching can be beneficial. Employees who are taught to notice and honestly evaluate themselves will be more shielded from the harmful effects of bad leadership, including insecure employment and creative attitude.

5.4. Future Research and Limitations

This research has a number of limitations. First, because our data was collected throughout a single time frame, it may be prone to typical method variation. Furthermore, while self-reporting is appropriate for gathering facts on subjective views, such as abusive supervision and LoC, an alternate outside assessment is preferable, as it would provide better objectivity. Statistical controls and program controls (with distinct data collection
time points) might be used in conjunction in future research to decrease any common bias method.

Secondly, we gathered the data from a collection of firms that possessed the same criteria, specifically, they were all state-owned organizations. As a result, the outer validity of our results is hampered, and the findings should be treated carefully. The generalizability of our predicted model might be explored if research was done across a more diverse sample of businesses.

Thirdly, although it is obvious that abusive supervision has a negative influence on the productivity of the workers and also disturbs the physical and emotional stability of the employees, it is unknown what motivates supervisors to operate in such manner at the workplace. Further research can be helpful to know what motivates the managers or which factors are involved in encouraging the managers to act abusively towards the workers. Furthermore, there is a critical need for study on how abusive supervision might be managed, mitigated, or perhaps eliminated—the most straightforward path to promoting the healthy and stable growth of businesses and their employees is to eliminate abusive supervision entirely.

Fourth, while abusive supervision leadership style is unquestionably a global problem, it may be more widespread in Pakistani society. The impact of abusive supervision on workers’ creative behavior in a Pakistani workplace is the focus of this research. Future research should take into account multicultural aspects and examine the influence of abusive supervision in other nations.

Fifth, future researchers might look into male and female respondents individually to see whether there are any disparities in their reactions to abusive supervision. Lastly, the method through which abusive supervision promotes creative conduct is an intriguing matter that requires further investigation. Based on the conversation of resources theory, the current study merely investigates the mediating influence of insecure employment and the moderating role of locus control. Future studies should look at other ways that abusive supervision might influence the creative behavior of a worker. Future research might look at the function of LMX in the link between abusive supervision and workers’ creative conduct, for instance, using the framework of the LMX. Finally, while this study combined the COR theory and the LoC theory, future studies might look at the link between abusive supervision and workers’ creativity from different angles.
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