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Abstract: The water–energy–food (WEF) nexus is drawing much attention in scholarly literature as a novel alternative to address complex resources and achieve resource security. The aim of this study is to analyze and review existing nexus studies to investigate the current status of nexus research worldwide. This study used a narrative review approach to provide a comprehensive overview on the WEF nexus using a variety of databases. It is indicated that the majority of studies in Asia and Africa focused on the water–energy–food (WEF) nexus. China and Brazil had the largest nexus research. Based on the existing literature, most of attention has been paid to food production. However, food consumption patterns and dietary change are rarely evaluated, and there is a lack of study on impacts of dietary change on the WEF nexus. Moreover, there is a lack of frameworks for the evaluation of the WEF nexus under dietary change scenarios. The major challenge of the nexus approach is data availability in crop production, which can be solved by using remote sensing data. There is a lack of standard and conceptual frameworks for nexus assessment and, then, an essential need to provide a new holistic and standard approach that be applicable worldwide to increase connections between researchers and decision makers, as well as the applicability of nexus approaches. Future research must couple the development of a holistic standard approach with experimental tests in different areas, involving interdisciplinary research groups able to carry out all the experimental activities, the numerical simulations, and the statistical analyses of climatic time series (in a climate change perspective) indispensable to demonstrate the real benefits of using a WEF-derived nexus approach.
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1. Introduction

The WEF nexus provides an integrated method to analyze and trade off between different resources in order to increase resource-use efficiency and adapting optimal and ideal policies [1]. The root of the word ‘nexus’ is from nectere in Latin, which means to bind and indicates a relationship between two or more items [2]. The water–energy–food nexus is the assessment of connection or interaction between these three elements [3]; for instance, the foundation of food production requires water for growing crops, and this water needs energy for pumping water and water treatment, and in return, energy production relies on water [2,4]. The WEF nexus approach contributes to moving sustainability forward by managing stress with measurements based on the idea that it is not possible to achieve WEF security in an isolated way in a practical and powerful manner without regarding the interaction between them [5,6]. The nexus method is created based on the management of these resources separately that leads to unplanned water stress, which does not support sustainable development goals [7]. Moreover, in practical terms, it provides the conceptual method to better grasp and systematically analyze the interaction between human activities and natural environments and move forward a more coordinated management of resources among sectors. This can contribute to managing and bringing trade-offs
and create synergies, allowing for more cost-effective alternatives, implementation, and monitoring [8]. The nexus can be conducted at different scales, such as household [9,10], urban [11], agricultural [12], country [13,14], and transboundary scales [15,16]. Applying a WEF nexus in transboundary water resources can help to solve water crises and to enhance mutual collaboration among different countries [15]. Moreover, it provides cooperation opportunities in shared water resources [17] and provides flexible solutions for water crises [15].

According to the FAO (2014), a nexus is a novel method for supporting food security and sustainable development in the agricultural sector by concentrating on reaching zero hunger (SDG2), water sanitation and clean water (SDG6), and accessible, clean, and cheap energy (SDG7) [18]. Other scholars claimed that a nexus is a new approach for sustainable watershed management since a watershed is a complex and dynamic ecosystem and applying a holistic nexus as a soil–water–energy–food nexus can help to increase resource security and reduce resource vulnerability [19]. According to Smajgl et al. (2016) [20], a nexus is a novel method for supporting sustainability in the agricultural sector and increasing food security [21]. The WEF nexus is a fairly novel approach and is under development for policymaking [22]. However, other scholars argue that the nexus concept is not novel [23–25], expressing that a conference in 1977 (UN, 1977) disclosed that the concept of a nexus was used earlier as interlinkage between water, energy, and food. Moreover, Benson et al. (2015a) claimed that many elements in the nexus were presented earlier in other methods in the 1990s [23]. Simon (1987) [26] claimed that there is a connection between food, energy, environment, and demography, and they cannot be treated separately. This information confirms that a nexus is a novel approach for food security and sustainable development, while the concept is not novel for the assessment of interlinkage and interaction between the resources since it was used earlier.

The WEF nexus was initially introduced in November 2011 as a solution to achieve sustainable development goals and a green economy [27]. After the Bonn conference, a nexus has been defined in different ways according to the study scope—for instance, by including climate change as one part of the nexus approach (UNECE, 2017). Various approaches, theories, and concepts have been developed to evaluate the connections between water, energy, and food, such as [8,28–33].

In spite of a dramatic increase in publications on the WEF nexus, a comprehensive literature review on the WEF nexus is not sufficient. More studies are needed to address the complexity of the WEF nexus. Understanding strongly published articles helps to find the research gaps and modify the framework. This study provides a comprehensive overview of the existing nexus study at the global scale, which helps researchers to understand the current status of nexus studies, identifies the research gaps, and provides valuable information for future research.

The objective of this study is to answer the following research questions:

- How many frameworks exist worldwide and why?
- Is the spectrum of frameworks related to the need to answer different questions in different socio-economic and environmental settings?
- In which countries have nexus studies been mainly developed and why?
- Is climate change taken into account in nexus studies and how?
- On the whole, what types of nexus approaches are available in the scientific literature?
- Finally, which are the main research gaps and limitations in actual nexus methods?

2. Materials and Methods

This study used a narrative review by using scientific research papers from before 2023. The following stages have been done [34]:

1. Conducting a search by using different databases that can cover all of the related papers. It was necessary to use a variety of databases to make sure that all of the relevant databases have been included. Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Science Direct were used as databases because they are secure databases.
Only research in English was considered. Unpublished abstracts, articles, reviews, and dissertations were excluded.

2. Finding suitable keywords that can help to solve research questions.

3. Review papers: the process of selecting relevant papers involved assessing exclusion and inclusion criteria and quality evaluation. In the initial stage, the abstract and title were used to find relevant studies. Non-relevant papers, as according to the abstract and title, were omitted. Then, the relevant papers that can address the research questions were reviewed.

4. Summarizing the results: all of the results were written and summarized [34].

This study used more than 300 published papers. The search was conducted using the following keyword: WEF nexus, WEFL nexus, WEFC nexus, WEF security, WEF nexus in seafood, WEF nexus in livestock, WEF nexus in crop production, WEF nexus and ecological footprint, water quality and nexus, and soil and WEF nexus.

3. Results

3.1. Overview on Different WEF Nexus Frameworks

The nexus method is not a mature approach [25] and not practical in application [35]. It does not have common frameworks and standard approaches [23,36,37]. Some scholars [25,38] advised that applying the nexus approach with many stakeholders for policy making leads to slowness and delays. The application of a complex nexus is not successful because of a lack of data availability [39]. Researchers undertook more effort for producing frameworks with a focus on investigation and connection between water, energy, food, and policy. The first guideline for the WEF nexus was provided by Hoff in 2011, who explained how water, energy, and food security can be achieved by increasing resources efficiency. In the Bonn approach, the global trend of urbanization, climate change, and population is considered to enhance sustainable growth and a productive environment and to accelerate access to safe water [3]. The framework from the Bonn conference was identified as a solution for a green economy. The aim of this framework is to sustain the ecosystem by reducing ecosystem stress. The problems associated with the Hoff framework included the following: 1. lack of a database on the availability of water resources; 2. lack of information on the impact of hydropower on aquatic ecosystems; 3. data for energy consumption is not sufficient.

A food–water–energy (FEW) nexus was produced for the Himalayan ecosystem [28]. The ecosystem was investigated as an important factor in this framework that leads to an increase in resource security. Sustaining Himalayan ecosystem services is essential for upstream and downstream WEF security. This framework provides policies and alternatives for increasing food, water, and energy security in South Asia. The key principles for this framework are the following: 1. restoration of water resources capacity by conservation of soil, wetlands, ice, lakes, and aquifers in the Himalayan ecosystem; 2. developing climate-smart agriculture and providing environmental and social infrastructure for agricultural water management; 3. reducing flood risk in downstream areas; 4. sufficient investments for managing Himalayan water resources and biodiversity; 5. providing a mechanism to encourage mountain communities in managing the Himalayan ecosystem.

Another framework was established to fill gaps in existing frameworks (including the need for a stronger emphasis on creating an integrating method, policy, and investment) by [7]. This framework provided policies and an integrated method. It emphasized implementation and provided practical implementation guidance for decision makers for future policies. It included four steps: 1. evaluating the water, energy, and food security system; 2. proposing future landscape scenarios; 3. investigating future water, energy, and food security; 4. converting the system. Ecosystem management was at the core of the framework. Ecosystem services provide water, energy, and food and their availability and supply. Availability and accessibility were identified as two elements of security. Land was identified as a direct source of human well-being and its interaction with water, energy, and food.
Howells et al. (2013) [30] created a framework as climate, land-use, energy, and water strategies (CLEWs), integrating climate change, water, energy, and land. The policy component was added to this framework using long-range energy alternatives planning (LEAP) (for energy), water evaluation and planning (WEAP) (for water modeling), and agro-ecological zooming (AEZ) (for land use). The interactions between these resources are important since ignoring the interactions between water, energy, food, and land leads to incoherent policy, and policy and strategy impact on other resources. This integrated approach considered the security and vulnerability of water, energy, food, and land under change in precipitation with providing better policy and strategy. This framework not only considered interactions between water, energy, food, and land but also provided valuable information for climate change adaptation.

Another framework was produced by taking into consideration political and physical factors and considering the transboundaries of rivers (UNECE, 2015) [31]. The water, energy, food, and ecosystem nexus framework was produced with the aim of promoting transboundary cooperation by recognizing interpectoral synergies. This methodology is applicable in many various basins with different conditions. It is sufficiently flexible, which is applicable at a global scale or in specific conditions. This method is able to produce policy options to solve conflicts among multi-use resources. It helps to increase resource-use efficiency and ensure policy coherence and co-management. For modifying the nexus evaluation, the connection between water, energy, food, biodiversity, and climate change in respect to economic, social, and recognizing policy was added to the nexus approach [31].

Forest was added to the WEF nexus, and the water–energy–food–forest nexus was produced. Only two studies added forest to the WEF nexus and created the water, energy, food, and forest nexus (WEFF nexus) [40]. Forest is one of the most crucial ecosystems on the Earth and the main source of food, water, energy, and food [32]. Agricultural growth endangers forest ecosystems; deforestation as a result of expansion of crops leads to biodiversity degradation [32]. Considering forest in the nexus approach is important because of some threats faced by forests, like deforestation, land shortages, and land degradation. Moreover, forest and landscape restorations are promising strategies for increasing water, energy, and food security [40]. The key principle in the WEFF nexus framework by [40] is the engagement of the local community. The aims of this framework are the restoration of degraded forest and increasing WEF security from landscapes to the regional scale. This framework helps society accelerate the sustainable development goals, since forest security is identified as the foundation for the sustainability of livelihoods.

The variety of dimensions was added to the WEF nexus by researchers. For example, the environment was added to the WEF nexus, and the water, energy, food, and environment (WEFE) nexus was created to manage the agricultural sector appropriately and achieve sustainable agricultural production [41,42]. For example, Mirzaei et al. (2021) [42] produced a WEFE nexus model to increase the WEF nexus index, reduce the use of chemical fertilizers (including nitrogen and phosphate), and reduce the use of chemical pesticides by taking into consideration balance constraints in groundwater. Another novel WEFE nexus framework was created by Yue et al. (2021) [41] with the aim of increasing renewable energy production, increasing economic benefit, and reducing water and energy footprints. This method was produced to provide policymakers with sustainable agricultural management. The new model as a WEFE modeling toolkit was produced to better understand the impact of agricultural extension on other sectors [43]. It helps decision-makers by providing coordinated agricultural policies and sustainable agriculture transformation. It was a combination of three approaches including environmental modeling (LCA), irrigation modeling (WaSim), and economic modeling (partial equilibrium). It provided policymakers and adding socio-economic analysis for achieving sustainable agricultural production [43].

Hatfield et al. (2017) [33] claimed that soil is the forgotten part of the WEF nexus. In addition. Considering soil in the WEF nexus is essential if we consider soil as responsible for 99% of food production [33]. Soil scholars investigated that soil is one of the crucial components of WEF security. However, the enhancement in soil management is not
Sufficient. Soil degradation and soil loss impact food security and lead to unsustainable food production [44]. Besides that, soil erosion impacts water, energy, and food security, and there is interaction between water, energy, food, and soil [33].

Karnib (2017) [45] integrated the WEF nexus method with a stimulatory model (Q nexus model), which is based on input and output theory, that is able to assess the inter-sectoral direct and indirect WEF quantity interaction and optimization method for the appropriate allocation of water and energy use to minimize the total cost, with the aim of optimizing the performance of the WEF nexus. The framework was used for guiding the policymaking to find the best policy options. This model is able to analyze the impacts of model variables on WEF planning decisions. In addition, the model can help determine the best water and energy allocation for reducing the total cost.

Bellezoni et al. (2018) [46] used economic–ecologic input–output (IO) for the analysis of the nexus approach in ethanol production. Water, energy, and land used and GHG emissions were evaluated under different scenarios to investigate the future trends. Karamian et al. (2021) [47] investigated a novel water–energy–food indicator (WEFI) by integrating six indices for management purposes at farm scale. Chai et al. (2020) [48] added new dimensions to the water–energy–food nexus, which were economic, social, and environmental aspects. The impacts of population growth and GDP were evaluated. Li et al. (2020) [12] assessed the relationship between water, energy, and land in livestock to trade off environmental and economic impacts. An optimization (input–output) model was used. In addition, Zhang et al. (2020) [49] applied a coupled optimization model for a synergetic WEF nexus. Nhamo et al. (2020) [50] used the WEF nexus to assess resource availability and management to improve livelihoods at the local level.

Xu et al. (2020) [51] evaluated the impact of irrigated crops on the food, energy, water, and CO₂ nexus based on LCA and a metacoupling framework. The aim of this framework was to provide valuable information for management, sustainable food production, and environmental conservation by considering socioeconomic and environmental factors. In this framework, LCA was used for the evaluation of the environmental impacts of crop production including water use, energy use, and carbon dioxide emission throughout three different scenarios. Three footprints (including water footprint, energy footprint, and carbon footprint) were applied.

Higgins et al. (2020) [52] modified the WEF nexus by adding novel terms such as red water and red energy. Red water is defined as the water used for energy production, and red food is described as the agricultural production that is used for energy production. Net primary production (NPP) (change in carbon stock) was also added to the nexus framework. Influencing factors on the WEF nexus such as climate change, demography, and lifestyle were considered.

3.2. Nexus Studies Worldwide

Figure 1 shows the percentages of nexus studies in different countries. The largest studies on the nexus were conducted in Brazil and China. Canada and Russia have few nexus studies, with 0.5% and 0.57%, respectively. The least research on the nexus is in Greenland, Congo, and Ireland. The amount of nexus research in water-scarce countries such as Iran, South Africa, Saudi Arabia, and Lebanon was slightly high, accounting for 2.1%, 1.6%, 1.5%, and 1.5%, respectively, since the WEF nexus is identified as an alternative to managing water demand and water supply [53]. A study by [54] indicated that more nexus studies and research was conducted in water-scarce countries, similar to this result. One nexus study by [55] before the Bonne conference in 2008 was applied in water-scarce countries in Central Asia with the aim of increasing food security. This information confirms that the nexus was initially used in water-stressed countries.
scarce countries in Central Asia with the aim of increasing food security. This information confirms that the nexus was initially used in water-stressed countries.

**Figure 1.** The percentages of the number of nexus studies conducted in different countries.

Figure 2 illustrates the distribution of different types of nexus approaches (WEF nexus, WEFC nexus, and WEFC nexus) in different areas including Asia, Australia, North America, South America, Africa, and Europe. It can be seen that in Asia more focus was paid to the food sector, and the WEF nexus has the largest number of shareholders (81%), while the WE nexus received less attention (9%). In Europe, the largest number of studies (45%) were allocated to the interaction between water and energy sectors because energy production in European countries requires large amounts of water, approximately 21 billion m$^3$ [56]. Moreover, there is an energy crisis in Europe [57], and scholars used the WE nexus to increase energy security and manage water demand and supply appropriately. In Africa, more focus has been paid to the WEF nexus and the climate nexus, accounting for 75% and 62% respectively, while the WE nexus has the lowest proportion (5%). African countries are more vulnerable to climate change due to the low residence, frequent drought, and weak infrastructure. So, considering the impact of climate change on Africa is important because it can provide adaptation strategies and increase nutrition security for future climate change [58].
3.3. Climate Change within WEF Nexus Studies

Table 1 shows some of the studies on climate change impacts on the WEF nexus. The nexus approach was identified as an alternative for trading off climate change impacts (climate change adaptation) by increasing resource-use efficiency and policy coherence [59]. Climate change impacts on food security by increasing water scarcity and reducing food productivity in arid areas. Climate change models are necessary for assessing the impacts of climate change [60]. The key climate factors that impact on the sustainability of the agricultural sector are temperature and rainfall [61]. Climate change has impacts on the WEF nexus because it leads to some disasters like floods and drought, resulting in reduction in water availability [46,62,63]. Climate change also contributes to increasing temperatures, which lead to an increase in energy consumption [64]. Climate change influences the WEF nexus and fish and wildlife habitats [65].

There are very few studies on the impact of climate change on the WEF nexus. For instance, the WEF nexus as a result of climate change in Arizona was estimated for some crops by the evaluation of a change in crop yield as a result of the change in temperature. It is predicted that an increase in temperature higher than baseline can reduce crop yields by 12.3% and leads to an increase in irrigation of 2.6% per 1 °C increase in temperature [64]. Climate change influences crop yield and food production all over the world. The fifth report by the IPCC shows that crop yield will be reduced in many parts of the world [66].
One study by Liu (2016) produced a new conceptual framework for the impacts of climate change on the WEF nexus and ecosystem in California [65]. Climate change impacts as a result of the change in snowpack, temperature, and precipitation are taken into account [65]. Another study by Yang et al. (2016) evaluated the impact of climate change on the WEF nexus in Pakistan using the general circulation model (GCM) [67]. The result revealed that hotter and wetter climates can increase pressure on surface water use (because of expansion of crop areas in the model) for crop production. However, a drier and warmer climate decreases the surface water used for crops [68]. Allocation of water resources and using policy for surface water management using a WEF nexus can mitigate surface water scarcity [68]. A climate change method integrated with a nexus is more complicated and sophisticated than traditional models such as SDM [69–71], soil water assessment tools (SWATs) [72], and the SIM4NEXUS model [73,74].

Considering climate change in the nexus approach can help to optimize resource allocations for climate change, to achieve sustainability in water–energy–food, and to increase resource security [75].

Most of the studies used WEAP and LEAP in their evaluation to estimate the current status of the nexus and to evaluate different policies and scenarios. Only limited studies used the system dynamic model for modeling interactions between different resources. A system dynamic approach is an appropriate approach for modeling a nexus since it is able to determine the dynamics between different systems using nonlinear equations [76].

Table 1. Studies on climate change impact of WEF nexus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modeling Approach</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Nexus Method</th>
<th>Climate Change Scenarios</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDM</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>WEFLC nexus</td>
<td>RCP2.5 to RCP8</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAP</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>WEFC nexus</td>
<td>RCP4.5 &amp; RCP8.5</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAP+LEAP</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>WEFC nexus</td>
<td>GCM (general circulation model)</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAP+LEAP</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>WEC nexus</td>
<td>RC8.5</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis+Driver-Pressure-State-Impact-Response (DPS)</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>WEFLC nexus</td>
<td>[81]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEFC nexus</td>
<td>GCM (general circulation model)</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEFLC nexus</td>
<td>RCP</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAP-LEAP</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>WEFC ecosystem</td>
<td></td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAP-LEAP</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>WEFC nexus</td>
<td>different scenarios</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAP-LEAP (Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis)</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>WEFC environment nexus</td>
<td>RCP8.5 RCP4.5</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Different Kinds of Nexus Approaches

Researchers use their own methods and frameworks by integrating a new dimension or adding a new influencing factor to the WEF nexus. The nexus are applied in different combinations—for instance as a water–energy–food nexus [86,87], food–energy (FE) nexus [88], land–water–energy–food (LWEF) nexus [89,90], land–water–energy (LWE) nexus [91], and water–energy–land–food–climate (WLEFC) nexus [73,92]. Different geographical areas face different problems related to the three components of the WEF nexus. For example, energy and food security are identified as important issues in the Middle East, and energy is not an essential issue [93]. On the other hand, WEF security is an important issue in North Africa and West Asia [94,95].

The impact of COVID-19 on the WEF nexus was evaluated by many researchers such as [96–104]. Yin et al., 2022, investigated that COVID-19 affected the WEF nexus and led to
challenges on WEF supply and demand [99]. The key alternatives for balancing between WEF supply and demand during the pandemic were the following: 1. promoting facilities and infrastructure for WEF; 2. increasing nature’s contributions for the WEF nexus; and 3. improving digital technologies. Moreover, Zhao and You (2021) [98] provided food–energy–water–waste nexus optimization under COVID-19 for alleviation of health and environmental issues, since an increase in food waste became one of the biggest challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic worldwide.

Figure 3 shows the percentages of nexus studies in different food sectors including crops, seafood, and livestock. It can be seen that the most of the nexus studies focused on crop production, accounting for approximately 82%, because agriculture is responsible for more than 85% of human water consumption globally [105]. However, there is lack of studies on seafood production. Only 1% of nexus research evaluated the nexus of seafood production. Assessment of the nexus in the seafood supply chain is important to evaluate the efficient usage of resources throughout their life cycles and to provide policymaking for management and sustainability in seafood production [106]. One study by Gephart et al. (2017) [107] focused only on the interaction between water and seafood as a water–food nexus. However, it is essential to consider energy in seafood production for sustainability evaluation of seafood production since seafood requires energy for production and consumption [106]. Eighteen percent of the research examined the nexus of livestock production. It is necessary to consider land in terms of ecological footprint in crop production with the aim of resource-use efficiency.

Figure 3. The nexus studies in different food sectors.

3.5. Applicability of the WEF Nexus Approach

Most of the research used a nexus with the aim of increasing resource security, sustainability, and policymaking by comparing different scenarios and alternatives. For example, Jaroenkietkajorn et al. (2020) [108] utilized the WEF nexus to find the land suitable for palm oil production based on resource availability, resource productivity, and resource consumption, using the so-called E and F method. In addition, Fabiani et al. (2020) [109] used a nexus approach to find a more sustainable fertilization method (manual and chemical) in terms of nitrate pollution and WEFL. Moreover, Viccaro et al. (2022) [110] utilized a nexus to find suitable land in terms of efficient use of water, energy, and food for biofuel crop production. In addition, Vahabzadeh et al. (2023) [111] used a nexus to figure out the suitable cropping pattern with regard to increasing WEF security. The nexus approach was also used during COVID-19 to increase food security and handle COVID-19 recovery. Applying the CWEF nexus with desalination for water can help to know the future change of desalination of water for crop production while considering social, economic, and environmental aspects. The CWEF nexus is a comprehensive approach for sustainability evaluation of
desalination for crop irrigation [112]. Yang et al. (2023) [113] utilized a nexus approach and GIS to find sustainable grain production in different regions of China. Resource security can be increased by using this indicator Gill-Wiehl et al. (2023) [114] used the WEF and health nexus in Rwanda and investigated that using solar energy for chilling milk has a low environmental impact and cost. Siyal et al. (2022) [115] utilized the WEF nexus to find hotspots of irrigation for crop production by considering energy and carbon emissions in Pakistan in different districts.

3.6. Main Research Gaps and Limitations in Nexus Methods

In the research field, new approaches and ideas should be welcome due to the fact that different scholars have used different ways in their evaluation. There is no standard, universally accepted method for the nexus approach.

In most LWEF nexus studies, the land has been evaluated in terms of land-use change, land degradation, and land suitability [90]. Additionally, Lazaro et al. (2021) [116] used the LWEF nexus for optimal resource management and policy. Indirect land-use impact by using an ecological footprint needs to be considered in the WEF nexus. An ecological footprint can account for direct and indirect land use (by taking into consideration carbon dioxide emission from fossil fuels by machines) for the agricultural sector [117]. However, there are limited studies that consider ecological footprints in the nexus evaluation. One study conducted by [118] evaluated ecological, water, carbon, and energy footprints in Pakistan in bioethanol production using the LCA and nexus approach with the aim of increasing resource security and environmental performances. The methodology considered nuclear energy and carbon dioxide emissions as indirect land occupation for the evaluation of ecological footprints. Another study [91] took into account the LEW nexus of irrigated and non-irrigated sugarcane production in Thailand by using three footprints including land, water, and energy footprints for appropriate land, energy, and water use. The LCA approach was used to account for both direct and indirect impacts of sugarcane production on land use, water, and energy in different production systems. An ecological footprint was used for evaluation of both direct and indirect land occupations in two different systems. In an irrigated system, the ecological footprint in terms of direct land use was reduced by an increase in crop yield; however, the use of energy and GHG emissions increased in irrigated systems [91].

Most of the nexus approaches focused on water consumption, but water quality is not considered in most of the nexus approaches. Only one study accounted for water quality [119] in a nexus evaluation. Considering water quality in a nexus framework can help to find a suitable solution to achieve sustainable development goals because the environmental issue related to poor water quality leads to other economic and social problems [120].

Food production was evaluated in many nexus studies. There is a lack of studies on the water–energy–food nexus in the seafood sector. More attention has been paid to the evaluation of the nexus of crop production. However, food consumption patterns and dietary changes have rarely been considered in nexus evaluation. In addition, there is a lack of a standard methodology for the WEF nexus under different dietary changes. Considering dietary change with the aim of reducing pressure on the food sector and prompting a sustainable diet is important. Moreover, dietary change can mitigate carbon, water, and ecological footprints [121].

There is an essential need to consider soil in WEF nexus evaluation. Hatfield et al. (2017) claimed that soil is one of the forgotten resources in the WEF nexus. Soil is a finite resource for food production, which can threaten food safety by soil erosion in many geographical areas around the world because of a lack of care and mismanagement of soil. Sustainable soil management is needed to enhance food security, which cannot be achieved without understanding the connection between food security and soil [33]. Moreover, research on land degradation has changed to soil degradation [122]. The soil condition is influenced by land management activities and land use. Indicators for the evaluation of soil
conditions are nutrient content, biodiversity, PH, and carbon content [123]. Moreover, the importance of soil will be clear if we consider the impact of soil erosion on water, energy, and food security.

There is an essential need to provide a database for the nexus in the agricultural sector for all countries with free availability for the researchers by using remote sensing. Remote sensing can provide compatible and regional observations that can help and guide the policymakers and decisions with in situ observations. Different remote sensing products are available to conduct research on water, energy, and food at a regional scale [124]. Only limited studies integrated remote sensing with three component of the WEF nexus [125–127].

Natural resources including water, energy, food, and land are scarce and limited. Unsustainable use of these limited resources leads to increased food insecurity worldwide [3]. The interaction between these resources has been more obvious, and the studies for cross-sectoral efficiency are essential to appropriately use and reduce wastage of these limited resources. However, historically, these resources have been managed separately and independently. There is an essential need to provide a framework to manage these limited resources all together. Most of the existing studies evaluated land as land-use change, land suitability, land degradation, and land condition. So, it is essential to address agricultural land use in terms of ecological footprint to assess land sustainability and land productivity in a WEF nexus study for appropriate resource management and robustness of approach since ecological footprints are able to evaluate indirect and direct land use. Research that integrates agricultural land use with the water–energy–food nexus using nexus and LCA approach is needed to help manage land as a resource [89]. Moreover, with the goal of achieving sustainability, it is essential to consider resources in appropriate quantities.

There is lack of studies on climate change impact on water energy and complex ecosystem process at the regional scale. It is essential to provide a robust framework for nexus policymaking at a regional scale by integrating all five factors, including water, energy, food, land, and climate, to enhance resource efficiency and sustainability, while considering trade-off activity and policy alternatives for the nexus approach.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

There is an essential need to provide a standard and common approach to interface between different policies and science that can solve the challenges of the nexus approaches in terms of applicability by decision-makers [128–130]. Increasing collaboration between researchers, decision-makers, and stakeholders was also mentioned by [130,131] to facilitate undressing the nexus method. A serious obstacle of a nexus is its operationalization and its applicability by government and decision-makers. Financing and funding the nexus timeframes are identified as a research gap. Increasing collaboration between scientific researchers and stakeholders can solve this issue in the first step of producing a framework and guideline [130]. The major challenge of the nexus approach is data availability in crop production, which can be solved by using remote sensing data.

At the same time, this new standard approach must not be influenced by actual and partial/specific needs in selected areas, regions, or countries or by trendy topics but must include all the aspects that can be theoretically useful to analyze and solve problems, now and in the future. For example, in Asian and African countries, more attention has been paid to crop production, crop productivity, and water availability in agricultural sectors. Differently, in European countries [54], more attention was paid to the energy sector by using renewable energy production. However, are we really sure that different continents/countries have and will have different needs? The climate is changing, and people are migrating and will migrate due to climate change, persecutions, oppression of human rights, wars, and so on. Therefore, a new holistic and standard approach must be designed to be applicable worldwide and in a changing world.

The interaction between water, energy, and food is represented adequately in most existing approaches. Differently, some of the major gaps in nexus studies are related to
the hydrogeological aspects of the studied systems, with an emphasis on groundwater dynamics [132]. Therefore, the new holistic and standard approach must also include the experimental characterization of the hydrogeological settings involved in nexus studies. An emblematic case study (actually in progress) is the Parma alluvial aquifer, whose groundwater is used for agricultural (with intensive models prevailing), industrial, and drinking purposes. In that case, water resources can be pumped through purpose-drilled wells at different depths. In fact, the alluvial aquifer is multilayered, and higher permeability horizons can be intercepted within a several-hundred-meter sedimentological sequence [133]. At the same time, some of the irrigation practices are linked to the use of surface water channels. Therefore, on the whole, the same type of human activities (e.g., agriculture) can be linked to really different energy consumption rates, depending on the type of water resources used and the depth at which the groundwater is captured and pumped. On the other hand, the depth of pumping wells can be influenced by qualitative groundwater features, more or less compatible with human purposes. For example, within the same Parma multilayered alluvial aquifer, several studies demonstrated a negative impact from human activities in terms of groundwater contamination (e.g., chlorinated solvents, microplastics, personal care products, and fecal matter) but, at the same time, a diversified impact on shallower or deeper aquifer layers [134–136]. Once characterizing in detail the hydrogeological setting, a statistical analysis of meteorological time series, together with the implementation of a numerical simulation model of surface and groundwater dynamics, can help in understanding if and how much climate change [60] could influence water availability at the medium to long term.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WEF nexus</td>
<td>Water–Energy–Food nexus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE nexus</td>
<td>Water–Energy nexus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEFCLC nexus</td>
<td>Water–Energy–Food–Land–Climate nexus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEFC nexus</td>
<td>Water–Energy–Food–Climate nexus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWE nexus</td>
<td>Land–Water–Energy nexus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td>Life Cycle Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCM</td>
<td>General Circulation Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWAT</td>
<td>Soil Water Assessment Tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAP</td>
<td>Water Evaluation and Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAP</td>
<td>Long-range Energy Alternatives Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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