The Integrating Impacts of Extreme Weather Events and Shrimp Farming Practices on Coastal Water Resource Quality in Ninh Thuan Province, Vietnam
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Abstract: Ninh Thuan is a coastal province in the central region of Vietnam and is characterized by a climate that is the hottest and driest in the country. Vietnam is also one of the top five countries most vulnerable to the effects of climate change worldwide. The objective of this study was a thorough evaluation of the quality of water supply sources and the impacts of water effluents from shrimp farms in Ninh Thuan province. The comprehensive evaluation was based on an understanding of the water–wastewater cycle employed in coastal shrimp cultivation. We combined qualitative and quantitative analyses in undertaking this study. Secondary data of groundwater and coastal water from the local periodic water quality monitoring program and national technical regulations were collected in the qualitative approach. We also integrated participatory rural appraisal techniques and field observations to understanding shrimp cultivation and the environmental and social impacts of shrimp farm effluents. The quantitative assessment consisted of measuring groundwater and wastewater contamination from shrimp ponds. As a result, four main reasons for water pollution issues were determined including extreme weather events, shrimp cultivation practices, degraded infrastructure, and mismanagement by local governance. Shrimp cultivation practices (feeding, using chemicals) have resulted in elevated levels of suspended solid (TSS, total Coliform), organic and carbon matter (BOD$_5$, COD), and excessive nutrients (total Nitrogen, NO$_2$-N, NO$_3$-N, PO$_4$-P).

According to a local monitoring program, the coastal water and groundwater have experienced nutrient pollution. Groundwater sampling near the shrimp farms identified salinization elevated levels of Coliform from local domestic sewage sources. This study resulted in an integrated approach that evaluated the combined effects of extreme weather events and shrimp farming practices on the quality of coastal water. Also, the finding can be useful in recommending remedial water treatment technologies as a follow-on phase.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Effects of Climate Change and Extreme Weather on Coastal Shrimp Farming

Extreme weather events happen when weather is significantly different from usual weather patterns or variability, and extreme events in weather increase both in intensity and frequency [1–5]. Aquaculture is often at risk from one or a combination of the following climatic parameters, including cyclones, droughts, floods, global warming, ocean acidification, abnormal rainfall variation, salinity levels, and sea level rise [3,6–10]. For instance, early or late rainfall with sudden intensity leads to a rapid increase in water volume. This subsequent dilution can dramatically lower the pH, alkalinity, and salinity of the pond water [11–14]. Additionally, the noise of raindrops causes shrimps to retreat.
to the pond bottom, which adversely exposes them to accumulated waste and pathogens. A decrease in temperature may cause shrimp to stop eating significantly and it has been reported that neither maturation nor spawning occurs when water temperatures are lower than 17 °C [15]. Temperature and salinity are the top two parameters that shrimp farmers consider as the most impactful as a result of climate change [16, 17].

1.2. Optimal Water Parameters for Shrimp Farming

Water temperature is a critical environmental factor for shrimp farming due to its influence on the metabolism of the crustacean, their growth and survival, oxygen consumption and molting cycle, and immune response [18–24]. The optimum temperature for growth of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* is 27 °C [22]. The optimal range of pH for maximizing growth of marine shrimp species is in the range of 6.0 to 9.0 [25]. Additionally, Ostrensky, et al. [26] reported that NO$_2$-N concentration in shrimp cultivating water must be lower than 0.09 mg·L$^{-1}$ to ensure healthy shrimp populations. Boyd and Green [27] suggested that PO$_4$-P concentrations need to be within 0.001 and 0.1 mg·L$^{-1}$ to avoid plankton blooms. According to Ferreira’s study, the allowable upper limit of total suspended solids for marine shrimp is 100 mg/L [28].

1.3. Pollution Caused by Shrimp Farming Activities

Shrimp farming activities in turn are also a source of water quality pollution in ponds and surrounding habitats. Indeed, in developing countries, shrimp farms are typically established spontaneously and are unplanned. Most farmers have only one to two ponds per household. Their farming area is small with no separate ponds for the settling of suspended solids or water treatment. Therefore, in the event of an outbreak of disease in the shrimp stock, farmers are unable to remove contaminated water for treatment separate to the supply and may circulate water sources that carry pathogens to the cultivating pond. Additionally, waste from shrimp ponds can come from their surplus feed and feces. Excessive feed results in the accumulation of organic and nutrient matters. A high concentration of those wastes is likely to cause eutrophication in the coastal aquatic environment [29].

Overall, the coastal water in shrimp farming areas is exposed to shrimp pond effluents. The situation becomes severe when farming areas do not have separate supply and drainage canals or have a concentrated treatment area. This may lead to water pollution issues in water supply sources for shrimp cultivation. Climate change stressors such as precipitation and temperature, among others, also bring about an increase in nutrients (nitrate, ammonia nitrogen, and phosphate) and persistent organic pollutants and pesticides, respectively, as seen in a review study [30]. Nevertheless, there are limited previous studies addressing the integrated effects of both shrimp cultivation and extreme events of precipitation and temperature on the quality of coastal water resources. These were keen on either shrimp pond’s pollution and risks, e.g., [31–33], water quality of the coastal environment, e.g., [29, 34, 35], or climate change adaptation, e.g., [17, 36, 37].

1.4. Typical Weather Characteristics of the Ninh Thuan Province

Vietnam has been identified as one of the most vulnerable countries to climate change [38–40], and its coastal central provinces including Ninh Thuan are not exempt [41, 42]. Ninh Thuan province is a good case study with respect to shrimp farming and climate change interaction. The province has experienced the hottest average temperature and is the most drought prone region in the country. It receives the lowest average rainfall, while storms and floods regularly occur in October and November during the rainy season. The terrain intensifies the damaging impact of storms, characterized by heavy rains and floods, with destructive impacts on aquaculture ecosystems and production [43–45].

1.5. Research Objectives and Contributions

The present study aimed to evaluate the quality of water supply sources and water effluents from shrimp farms that are impacted by the combination of extreme weather.
events and shrimp farming practices. The evaluation relied on an understanding of the coastal water–wastewater cycle through farming practices to identify the main causes of the pollution. Qualitative and quantitative methods were combined to carry out this study. Secondary data on groundwater and coastal water from the local periodic water quality monitoring program and national technical regulations were collected together with field trips and dialogue workshops with stakeholders to understand their shrimp cultivation operation in the qualitative approach. Quantitative assessments of wastewater from shrimp ponds were also conducted by measurement of pH, temperature, ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, inorganic phosphorus, chemical oxygen demand, and biochemical oxygen demand.

The novelty of this study was to assess the water quality of main activities in a water cycle in coastal shrimp farming areas. The water quality was analyzed by integrating the impacts of extreme manifests of temperature and precipitation and shrimp cultivation. Addressing the intersection contributes to a better understanding of shrimp farming activities leading to water pollution and forms recommendations toward an integrated approach for sustainable shrimp aquaculture in the region. These could be applied in other areas which have similar conditions. Furthermore, the findings of this study would contribute useful insights for water treatment technology recommendations in the next phases.

This study sought to address the following questions: (1) What are the manifestations of extreme weather events which impact shrimp cultivation in the study area?; (2) What are the main causes of water pollution from shrimp cultivation in the coastal areas in the study area?; and (3) What parameters cause the current water pollution from shrimp farming in the study area?

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Ninh Thuan province is located in the far south of central Vietnam, with coordinates of 11°18′41″ to 12°09′15″ north latitude and from 108°09′08″ to 109°14′25″ east longitude. It borders Khanh Hoa province to the north, Binh Thuan province to the south, Lam Dong province to the west, and the East Sea to the east. The province has a total area of 3358 km², with 105 km of coastline. The geography is characterized by plains, mountains (with ranges surrounding the province), and coastal areas. The diversified terrain slopes eastward toward the coast.

Ninh Thuan province is one of the hottest and most drought-prone areas in the country. The Truong Son mountain range is situated such that it obstructs wind throughout the year. The province has the lowest average rainfall in the country from 1670 mm to 1827 mm per year, an air humidity from 71 to 75%, and great radiation energy of 9500–10,000 °C/year. Rainfall can reach 2200 mm/year in the upstream areas and is heaviest from September to December. The average temperature is about 27 °C and the highest temperature recorded is 40.5 °C. There are two seasons in the province: the dry season, from January to August, and the rainy season, from September to December.

The study area in this paper is within the An Hai and Phuoc Dinh communes from May to August 2017. An Hai is one of the coastal communes belonging to a coastal upwelling region rich in resources and diverse in seafood. Its salinity is high and stable, between 32 and 35 g·L⁻¹. Shrimp cultivation density in the commune is very high, i.e., 201.7 ± 70.6 cell·m⁻². The average area of each pond is 0.2029 ± 0.0963 hectares with 3 crops·year⁻¹ on average. The cultivation period is approximately 90 days, and the average yield is 14.77 ± 4.43 tons·ha⁻¹ [46].

According to local recommendations, shrimp farming in this area should take place from February to August. This is because the rainy season starts in September and flooding is likely to occur in October, having impacts on aquaculture, while the weather in January gets cold in spring. Therefore, we conducted this study from May to August, which is the main crop season for shrimp farming.

Most of the farming areas in the An Hai commune are cultivated by households, thereby the process of shrimp cultivation is mainly based on their personal experience. The sources of water used for shrimp cultivation are dependent on the location of the farm
relative to the coast. We classified farms into three regions based on their location to the water supply sources, as described in Figure 1: (a) In the first classification, shrimp ponds are located close to the sea edge, less than around 10 km from the coast, and beside the coastal road. (b) The second area includes farms located more inland, farther from the coast (approximately 15 km away from the coast). (c) Finally, shrimp farms in the third area are located within residential areas. The Phuoc Dinh commune, meanwhile, is dominated by ancient coral reefs covered by green moss in the vicinity of the third area.

Figure 1. Location of sampling points in the study area.

2.2. Methods

We adopted two approaches, i.e., qualitative and quantitative analyses, for identifying the comprehensive impacts of extreme events of rainfall and wide temperature variability as well as coastal shrimp cultivation upon water quality. The qualitative approach aimed to understand the water–wastewater cycle of shrimp farms, the causes and changes in water quality in the ponds, and environmental and social impacts of shrimp farm effluents. Meanwhile, the quantitative approach included a sample analysis of sourced well water and shrimp pond effluents to measure contaminant concentrations [47].
2.2.1. Qualitative Analysis

An understanding of the water cycle for shrimp cultivation was critical for qualitative analysis. The water cycle includes flows of water that enter and exit from shrimp ponds. Sources of water are either from groundwater via a direct pumping system or a mixture of coastal seawater and extracted groundwater which is fed into the system via pumping or irrigation (see Figure 2). The direct cycle includes supply water from groundwater, coastal surface water, and irrigation water entering the shrimp pond, and output water is discharged directly into waterways. The indirect cycle relates to the overflow and infiltration processes. This study will concentrate on direct flows.

![Figure 2](image_url)  
*Figure 2. The water cycle for shrimp cultivation: exchange water in three water sources, including shrimp cultivating water, sea coastal water, and groundwater. Water in shrimp ponds is taken directly either from the coastal area or from groundwater (displayed as a solid line). Indirectly, these three sources are exchanged more slowly via an indirect link (displayed as a dashed line).*

In addition, we also gathered relevant local information and statistical data. These were relevant to weather conditions and climate change manifestations through the annual average temperature and precipitation from 1993 to 2019; the quality of groundwater and coastal water from its local monitoring programs; and national technical regulations which regulate limits of certain contaminant parameters. A literature review of the manifestation of extreme weather events and optimal values and ranges of shrimp pond’s water quality parameters were also conducted to support this study.

Ninh Thuan is a vulnerable region to climate change [48,49]. Manifestations of extreme weather through temperature and precipitation patterns are presented in Figure 3. In general, the average temperature between 1993 and 2019 tended to increase at a rate of 0.012 °C/year. The highest temperature value was 27.7 °C in 2019, while the lowest one was 26.7 °C in 1997. As for precipitation, it fluctuated during the period from 1993 to 2019. There was an upward trend in precipitation until 2010, then a sharp decline trend during about the last decade (2011–2019). The highest precipitation reached 1781.0 mm in 2010, while the lowest value of precipitation was 509.0 mm.

Furthermore, various participatory rural appraisal techniques with rural farmers were conducted to obtain insights into the operations of shrimp farms that utilize direct water cycles, the causes and changes in water quality in their ponds, and the environmental and social impacts of shrimp farm effluent. Those techniques included semi-structured questionnaire surveys, in-person meetings, focus-group discussions, and field observation trips. Interviews and focus-group discussions were conducted with the participation of commune leaders; authorities of provincial, district, and commune officials, officials from the Departments of Natural Resource and Environment, the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, the Department of Water Resources the Department of Science and Technology, and the Department of Irrigation. The Level-1 Breeding Center, Branches of Fisheries and the Department of Meteorology and Hydrology were also consulted. Five
workshops in total with the support of trained volunteers were successfully conducted to acquire field data. Individual interviews were conducted with officials of various departments and at different levels: provincial (representatives of the Provincial People’s Committee, the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, the Department of Natural Resources and Environment, the Department of Science and Technology, and the Centre of Meteorology and Hydrology); district (representatives of the District People’s Committees and the Department of Economics and Agriculture); commune (representatives of the Commune People’s Committee, the Youth Union and Women’s Union, the Irrigation station Office, the 7G shrimp associated group, the Fisheries Office, and the Veterinary Branch); and finally, village level (representatives including Managing Board members of the village and households).

Figure 3. Climate change manifestations through changes in (a) temperature and (b) precipitation from 1993 to 2019 in Ninh Thuan. Source: Ninh Thuan’s hydrometeorology station.

2.2.2. Quantitative Analysis

Samples of groundwater from wells near shrimp farms that supplied, either in part or entirely, water for shrimp ponds were first collected to assess its background concentrations. We collected the groundwater samples at five wells at a frequency of once every two weeks over a total span of 12 weeks. Water effluent samples discharging from these shrimp ponds were then obtained within a tight schedule: sampling was carried out from May to August 2017 within a fixed time between 09:00 and 10:00 after spinning paddle wheels were operated and the shrimp were fed. The samples were taken twice a week at a draining ditch into which the pond discharged effluent using two-liter plastic bottles and these were sent to an accredited laboratory within one hour after the sampling for analysis.

For groundwater quality, pH, DO, turbidity, salinity, and total dissolved solids (TDSs) were measured using an EXO2 Multiparameter Probe equipment (YSI, Yellow Springs, OH, USA). The total iron was determined by the colorimetric method (APHA, 2012) [50] on a UV-VIS instrument (Spectrophotometer, model 6305, Jenway, Essex, UK). The alkalinity parameter was measured using the titration method following APHA (1992) [51]. Meanwhile, the parameters of pH, salinity, and TDSs of effluent were measured by multiple meters HandyLab 680 (SI Analytics, Mainz, Germany). The alkalinity was tested by the titration method with a sulfuric acid solution (according to ISO 9963/1:1994 [52]). The total suspended solids (TSSs) were determined by the weight method using GF/C glass fiber filtration (TCVN 6625:2000 [53]).

Some parameters of both groundwater and wastewater have similar measurement methods, including total nitrogen (TN), nitrite nitrogen (NO₂-N), nitrate nitrogen (NO₃-N), dissolved orthophosphate (PO₄-P), chemical oxygen demand (COD), and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₃). The total nitrogen was measured by the Kjeldahl method using
Devarda’s alloy for destruction (ISO 5664:1984 [54]). NO₂⁻N’s results were obtained by employing spectrophotometric determination with an Aminobenzenesulfonamide reagent (ISO 6777:1984 [55]), while NO₃-N was determined by the spectrophotometric method with a sulfosalicylic acid reagent (ISO 7890/3:1988 [56]). PO₄³⁻P was measured using spectrophotometric determination with an ascorbic acid reagent (ISO 6878:2004 [57]). COD tests were determined using potassium dichromate (ISO 6060:1989 [58]). BOD₅ measurement was determined based on dissolved oxygen concentration differences before and after incubation at 20 °C for five days (ISO 5815/1:2003 [59]). Finally, the hardness of water was measured according to ISO 9308-1:1990 [61].

2.2.3. Statistical Data Analysis

All sample analysis procedures were replicated three times, and then the values of the mean and standard deviation were calculated. ANOVA was used to determine difference levels among variables. The level of significance was 0.05. The data were analyzed and visualized using the R programming language version 4.3.1 and RStudio program 2023.12.1 Build 402.

An overview of all processes in the present study is displayed in Figure 4.

3. Results

According to the qualitative and quantitative analysis above, some results are found and discussed as follows.
3.1. Local Weather Conditions

Precipitation and temperature data for twelve (12) consecutive weeks was collected from Ninh Thuan’s hydrometeorology station between 15 May and 7 August 2017, as described in Figure 5. The overall general trend was that the average diurnal air temperature variations were greater during periods of a lower frequency of rainy days. Specifically, in the first 3 weeks, it rained 2–4 times a day with the rainfall amount ranging from 1.6 to 76 mm. The average temperature was about 33.0 degrees Celsius, and the daytime temperature difference during the day was about 7.5 degrees Celsius. Over the next two weeks, the weather changed dramatically with intense sunshine and no rain. The temperature increased to 34.5 degrees Celsius and the difference in diurnal temperature also rose to 12 degrees Celsius. The rapid and drastic change in ambient temperature could be a critical reason for an increase in shrimp infection rate and mortality rate. The relationship between the occurrence of rainy days and the diurnal temperature difference was similar in the remaining 7 weeks.

![Figure 5. Changes in rainfall and temperature for 12 consecutive weeks from 15 May to 7 August 2017 in Ninh Thuan. Source: Ninh Thuan’s hydrometeorology station.](image)

3.2. Shrimp Farm Cultivation

As mentioned in Section 2.2.1, this study was keen on the direct flows from coastal seawater and groundwater via a pumping system and/or irrigation system. We found that some farmers’ practices during farm cultivation have the potential to seriously affect water quality inside and outside the ponds. For example, the farmers frequently did not comply with the local effluent discharge regulations which require farmers to treat wastewater from the shrimp ponds using a solid sedimentation process before discharging it to the local centralized treatment zone.

On the other hand, the supply water and its quality were unstable for shrimp cultivation. This is because the farmers equipped their own pumping system and also sought alternative sources of direct water supply that may yield cleaner water. The size and capacity of the pumping station were dependent on household economics and experience while pumping points and timing were dependent on farm location and the extent of tides. Some farmers with better economic status directly drilled wells within the farm area. These wells had a useful lifespan between 1–4 years. However, it is an ongoing challenge to locate clean sources of groundwater supply. Even though groundwater from wells below...
the coastal sand is of acceptable quality and quantity, whether or not this source can be accessed is dependent on the financial resources of the farmers.

Another key issue is the quality of supply water that comprises a mixture of groundwater, coastal water, and/or an irrigation system source. The flow rate and mixed salinity concentration depend entirely on farmers’ experience. Furthermore, the potential to spread disease between farms is high and the existing supply of water is suitable only for certain aquatic species (including fish, crabs, and oysters) with lower requirements in terms of water quality. For shrimp ponds, the farmers ideally should have a separate water treatment before supplying water for these ponds. In addition, as mentioned previously in Section 2.1, there are three regions classified according to their sources of water supply. Thereby, farmers’ shrimp cultivation practices in these areas vary. In the first area, farmers combine mostly seawater with fresh water in the early stage of rearing water preparation for shrimp ponds, and then exclusively use seawater for water exchange during the remainder of the cultivation period. In the meanwhile, using water obtained directly from the coastal seawater has been limited in the second area. Freshwater is extracted directly from wells or purchased from tap water offside. There are also difficulties in connecting irrigation water sources offered by the government. The vast majority of farms in the second area use groundwater from wells for shrimp cultivation. Lastly, in the third area, farmers use water directly from the irrigation system and also use groundwater, but in limited quantities since in the water from underground wells is mainly for domestic use.

3.3. Monitoring Supply Water Quality

3.3.1. Monitoring Groundwater Quality

Table 1 presents some key groundwater quality parameters for the coastal area of the An Hai commune recommended by Ninh Thuan’s Department of Fisheries and its monitoring results during the study period. We compared the monitoring results with ‘Control limit 1’, ‘Control limit 2’, and ‘Warning limit’. The control limits refer to the National Technical Regulations of Vietnam; for instance, herein, Control limit 1 corresponds to QCVN 09:2023/BTNMT [62] on groundwater quality. Control limit 2 was suggested as a reference in the present study due to a limitation of water quality sampling taken from shrimp ponds (both settlement ponds and shrimp cultivation ponds). Warning limits are recommendations or findings of relevant prior studies obtained during our literature review of the qualitative analysis processes aforementioned.

Table 1. Key groundwater quality parameters for the coastal area in An Hai area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Monitoring Value (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Control Limit 1 (a)</th>
<th>Control Limit 2 (b)</th>
<th>Warning Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.5–8.5</td>
<td>7.0–9.0</td>
<td>6.0–9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity total as CAO₃ (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>162 ± 29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60–180</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (g L⁻¹)</td>
<td>28.2 ± 6.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5–35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄-N (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.30</td>
<td>&lt;3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂-N (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≤0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄-P (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Source: Ninh Thuan’s Department of Fisheries. Monitoring samplings were taken three times per year during two studied years; (a) National technical regulation QCVN 09:2023/BTNMT on Groundwater quality; (b) National Technical Regulation QCVN 02-19:2014/BNNPTNT [63] on Brackish water Shrimp Culture Farm—Conditions for veterinary hygiene, environmental protection and food safety; (c) Boyd and Green [27]; (d) Krenkel [64]; (e) Ostrensky, Marchiori and Poersch [26]; and “-” NA.

As a result, the levels of pH, alkalinity, salinity, and concentrations of NH₄-N, NO₂-N, and PO₄-P were within an acceptable range as stipulated within the technical regulations of QCVN 09:2023/BTNMT (hereinafter referred to as QCVN 09) and QCVN 02-19: 2014/BNNPTNT (hereinafter referred to as QCVN 02-19). However, Ostrensky, Marchiori and Poersch [26] reported that the NO₂-N concentration in shrimp cultivating water should be
lower than 0.09 mg·L\(^{-1}\) to ensure it is healthy. Hence, this suggests that the nitrite concentration in groundwater wells requires treatment before use in a shrimp pond. Moreover, Boyd and Green [27] suggested that PO\(_4\)-P concentration should be range between 0.001 to 0.100 mg·L\(^{-1}\) to avoid plankton blooms. This suggests that the average PO\(_4\)-P concentration (0.44 ± 0.30 mg·L\(^{-1}\)) of groundwater is four (4) times as high as the warning limit. Figure 6 details a description of the two concentrations.

**Figure 6.** Monitoring concentrations of NO\(_2\)–N and PO\(_4\)-P of groundwater from five wells in An Hai. Water samples were taken in July 2017 by the Department of Fisheries. ▼ Upper warning limit.

### 3.3.2. Coastal Water Quality

Table 2 describes critical coastal water quality parameters as recommended by the local Department of Natural Resources and Environment. We adopted two control limits: “Control limit 1” from the regulation on marine water quality in coastal waters (QCVN 10:2023/BTNMT [65]) and “Control limit 2” from the regulation on brackish water shrimp culture farming (QCVN 02-19). The meaning of ‘Warning limit” is the same as the case of monitoring groundwater quality sections.

**Table 2.** Key coastal water quality parameters in the An Hai area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Monitoring Value (<em>a</em>) (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Control Limit 1 (a)</th>
<th>Control Limit 2 (b)</th>
<th>Warning Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.5–8.5</td>
<td>7.0–9.0</td>
<td>6–9 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg·L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5–6 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS (mg·L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>21.6 ± 13.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;100 (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD(_5) (mg·L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>55 ± 18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>&lt;6 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_2)-N (mg·L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>&lt;1 (e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_3)-N (mg·L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.09 (e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_3)-N (mg·L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.58 ± 1.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;10 (f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO(_4)-P (mg·L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (e)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*a*) Source: Ninh Thuan’s Department of Natural Resources and Environment. Monitoring samplings were taken three times a year during two studied years; (*b*) the National Technical Regulation QCVN 10:2023/BTNMT on Marine water quality regarding marine water quality in coastal waters; (*c*) National Technical Regulations QCVN 02-19:2014/BNNPTNT; (*d*) Boyd and Green (2002); (*e*) Boyd [66]; (*f*) Ostrensky, Marchiori and Poersch [26]; (*g*) Boyd [67]; and *–* NA.

The results of most key parameters, including pH, TSS, NO\(_2\)-N, NO\(_3\)-N, and PO\(_4\)-P, did not exceed both control limits as stipulated by QCVN 10:2023/BTNMT (hereinafter referred to as QCVN 10) and QCVN 02-19, and the results did not exceed the warning limits of the same parameters. However, the coastal water quality has issues with organic matter and nutrients (i.e., BOD\(_5\) and NH\(_4\)-N parameters) when using this water to supply directly to shrimp ponds. Specifically, BOD\(_5\) and NH\(_4\)-N had average concentrations of 35 mg·L\(^{-1}\) and 0.9 mg·L\(^{-1}\) which exceeded the upper control limit of 2.8 times and 3 times, respectively (see Table 2 and Figure 7).
Next, we analyzed the current state of water pollution within the study areas through measurements of groundwater quality and water effluent from shrimp cultivation ponds. As mentioned previously, the sampling of water in treatment ponds and shrimp ponds was not allowed due to concerns about the potential spread of disease by farmers. Furthermore, water in shrimp ponds is either taken directly from seawater or a mixture of seawater and extracted groundwater (see Figure 2), therefore, we utilized three control limit values from the regulations, consisting of QCVN 09:2023, QCVN 02-19, and QCVN 10, to assess the quality of the groundwater samples. As for the water effluent from shrimp ponds, we employed linear regression analysis to evaluate the impact of local ambient temperature on some selected parameters. Also, comparisons of the wastewater quality parameters were conducted between shrimp farm regions and between the farms that either utilized or did not use treatment ponds.

3.4. Groundwater Quality Sampled

Analytical results of sampled groundwater against permissible concentrations of selected parameters are presented in Table 3. We first compared Control limit 1’s measured values against groundwater quality parameters. A serious pollution phenomenon of total Coliform was found in the groundwater samples. The total Coliform mean concentrations were 3333.3 times as large as the Control limit 1. In addition, although salinity was not regulated in Control limit 1 for groundwater quality, the average concentration of salinity results was slightly lower compared to the regulation for brackish water for shrimp cultivation under Control limit 2 (27.74 ± 7.23 g·L$^{-1}$ vs. 5 ÷ 35 g·L$^{-1}$). The DO concentration obtained was slightly lower than that in Control limits 2 and 3 but still meets the requirement of the Warning limit, i.e., it was greater than 2.0 mg O$_2$·L$^{-1}$.

Table 3. Inlet groundwater quality measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Measured Value (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Control Limit 1 (a)</th>
<th>Control Limit 2 (b)</th>
<th>Control Limit 3 (c)</th>
<th>Warning Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.35 ± 0.27</td>
<td>5.5–8.5</td>
<td>7.0–9.0</td>
<td>6.5–8.5</td>
<td>6.0–9.0 (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity total as CaCO$_3$ (mg·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>120 ± 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60–180</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;100 (e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (g·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>27.74 ± 7.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5–35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS (mg·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>38.61 ± 10.46</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity (NTU)</td>
<td>22.01 ± 16.76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.81 ± 1.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≥3.5</td>
<td>≥5.0</td>
<td>&lt;2.0 (e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD$_5$ (mg·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>11.40 ± 7.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD (mg·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>50.80 ± 30.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$-N (mg·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;3.0 (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$-N (mg·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≤0.09 (f)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Monitoring concentrations of BOD and NH$_4$-N of coastal water at five inlet points in Ninh Thuan. Source: the Department of Natural Resources and Environment. ▼ Upper control limit, ● upper warning limit.
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Table 3. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Measured Value (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Control Limit 1 (a)</th>
<th>Control Limit 2 (b)</th>
<th>Control Limit 3 (c)</th>
<th>Warning Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TN (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>8.76 ± 5.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄-P (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.67 ± 3.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Iron (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.53</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness total as CaCO₃ (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>350 ± 50</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliform (MPN/100 mL)</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The National technical regulation QCVN 09:2023/BTNMT on Groundwater quality; (b) the National Technical Regulation QCVN 02-19:2014/BNNPTNT on Brackish water Shrimp Culture Farm—Conditions for veterinary hygiene, environmental protection and food safety; (c) the National Technical Regulation QCVN 10:2023/BTNMT on Marine water quality regarding marine water quality in coastal waters; (d) Boyd and Green [27]; (e) Krenkel [64]; (f) Ostrensky, Marchiori and Poersch [26]; (g) Allan and Maguire [68]; and “-“ NA.

3.5. Analytical Results of Water Effluent Samples from Shrimp Ponds

3.5.1. Effects of Ambient Temperature on Shrimp Farming

The number of shrimp farming ponds at the commencement of this survey was initially 30. However, over a 12-week period, the number of active shrimp ponds gradually decreased to three due to mortality in cultivated shrimp stocks, as seen in Figure 8. A total of 280 water effluent samples were collected during this study period.

![Figure 8](image)

Figure 8. The quantity of remaining shrimp ponds over 12 consecutive weeks from 15 May to 7 August 2017.

The measurements of effluent characteristics from the shrimp ponds are shown in Table 4. We herein adopted three references to create a comparison of the performance of these ponds. Akin to the above tables, the control limit was employed based on the Vietnamese regulation QCVN 02-19, while Ref. 1 and Ref. 2 were selected references due to similar farming conditions in terms of livestock, cultivation, and density. Indeed, TSS concentration is diverse in this study and the other references. All of the TSS concentrations are less than 100 mg L⁻¹ as regulated in the control limit; however, one in Ref. 1 is extremely low, in the range of 6.3 to 12.3 mg L⁻¹. TSS measured in this study was moderate (45.01 ± 28.67 mg L⁻¹). DO concentrations were quite low compared to the two references, while concentrations of nitrogen forms, phosphate, BOD₅, and COD were higher than them, particularly for BOD₅, and COD.
Table 4. Measurements of effluent from shrimp ponds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Measured Value (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Control Limit (a)</th>
<th>Ref. 1 (b) (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Ref. 2 (c) (Mean ± Std)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.89 ± 0.31</td>
<td>5.5–9.0</td>
<td>8.09 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.77 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (g·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>22.06 ± 7.83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.39 ± 0.62</td>
<td>12.66 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS (mg·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>45.01 ± 28.67</td>
<td>≤100</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.0</td>
<td>92.97 ± 7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.80 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅ (mg·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>44.95 ± 29.67</td>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD (mg·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>102.89 ± 76.63</td>
<td>≤150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃-N (mg·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂-N (mg·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0002 ± 0.0003</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN (mg·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.57 ± 2.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄-P (mg·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.035 ± 0.043</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The National Technical Regulation QCVN 02-19:2014/BNNPTNT on Brackish water Shrimp Culture Farm—Conditions for veterinary hygiene, environmental protection and food safety; (b) Mustafa, Paena, Athirah, Ratnawati, Asaf, Suwoyo, Sahabuddin, Hendrajat, Kamaruddin, and Septiningsih [34]; (c) Samocha, et al. [69]; and “-” NA.

On the other hand, to choose water parameters for assessing the effect of ambient temperature on wastewater quality, we based the findings on results from qualitative surveys. In the survey results, the farmers commented that unusual weather phenomena included an increase in temperature difference during the day, prolonged intense sunlight, and unusually abrupt rainfall periods that spanned many days. Their comments were similar to the monitoring results of the local temperature conditions mentioned in Section 3.1. Some activities they employed to offset adverse impacts such as reducing the temperature influences consisted of adding fertilizer to control water quality, reducing the daily amount of shrimp food provided during each feeding, increasing the intensity and time of the paddle wheel for aeration as well as the bottom oxygen aerated system, and finally increasing the volume of daily water exchange. We therefore selected COD, NO₃-N, and salinity parameter representatives to measure the effects of those practices.

Figure 9 displays the relationship between the selected parameters of COD and the local average ambient temperature. Overall, there is a proportional correlation between COD concentration and temperature. Although the linear regression model does not explain well the variation of data ($R^2$-value = 0.4068), it is statistically significant ($p$-value < 0.05). We also explored regression models between the other parameters (salinity, and NO₃-N) and the average ambient temperature. However, there are no statistically significant models from these parameters.

![Figure 9. Linear regressions between the local average ambient temperature and COD.](image-url)
3.5.2. Comparison of Effluent Characteristics from Shrimp Pond with and without Inlet Water Treatment Ponds

Table 5 compares the characteristics of effluent water between two shrimp cultivation practices, one that includes an inlet water treatment pond (type 1), and the second that does not (type 2). Overall, the concentrations of nitrogen forms (NO$_3$-N, TN), TSS, and salinity were reduced when the water is treated before flowing into the pond. However, there was a slight increase in pH, PO$_4$-P, BOD$_5$, and COD concentrations when using an inlet water treatment pond. A one-way ANOVA analysis was also adopted to assess the statistical difference between the two types. It was clear that NO$_2$-N concentration remained unchanged, leading to an insignificant difference. Among the remaining parameters, the differences in BOD$_5$ and PO$_4$-P between the two treatments were also not statistically significant as indicated by the one-way ANOVA test.

Table 5. Effluent characteristics from shrimp ponds. Type 1: with inlet water treatment pond; type 2: without inlet water treatment pond.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type 1 (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Type 2 (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>p-Value (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.947 ± 0.293</td>
<td>7.793 ± 0.308</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (g L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>23.111 ± 7.768</td>
<td>23.307 ± 6.399</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>38.083 ± 26.229</td>
<td>53.129 ± 28.706</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD$_5$ (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>50.37 ± 29.771</td>
<td>43.769 ± 32.963</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>103.74 ± 76.613</td>
<td>93.842 ± 74.438</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$-N (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.188 ± 0.71</td>
<td>1.403 ± 0.633</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$-N (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.091 ± 0.117</td>
<td>0.091 ± 0.162</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>4.821 ± 2.309</td>
<td>6.664 ± 3.296</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO$_4$-P (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.287 ± 0.51</td>
<td>0.216 ± 0.308</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) p-value < 0.05 indicates a statistically significant difference from the one-way ANOVA.

3.5.3. Comparison of Effluent from Different Shrimp Farming Areas

Similarly, Table 6 also uses a one-way ANOVA analysis to compare effluent water characteristics among three shrimp pond areas. As mentioned in Section 2.1, the source and quality of the water supply that is utilized are highly dependent on the relative location of the shrimp farms. For example, farms located in Area 2 which is deep inland (about 15 km away from the coast) had the highest levels measured in several parameters, including TSS, COD, and all three forms of nitrogen. Most of such parameters showed significant differences in the one-way ANOVA test, with the exception of TN. The second area had the lowest salinity and PO$_4$-P concentrations among the three areas. In contrast, effluents from shrimp ponds in Area 3 were lowest in terms of COD, NO$_3$-N, and TN. The ANOVA analysis of the first two parameters was statistically significant among the three areas. Ponds in Area 1 had the highest concentrations of BOD$_5$ and PO$_4$-P; however, only the difference in PO$_4$-P was significant when comparing the three areas.

Table 6. Effluent characteristics from shrimp ponds in three areas. Area 1: less than 10 km away from the coast; Area 2: less than 15 km away from the coast; Area 3: located inside the residential areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Area 1 (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Area 2 (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Area 3 (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>p-Value (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.872 ± 0.266</td>
<td>7.972 ± 0.383</td>
<td>7.878 ± 0.305</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (g L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>21.102 ± 5.207</td>
<td>18.652 ± 8.14</td>
<td>23.959 ± 8.484</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>40.05 ± 30.13</td>
<td>56.56 ± 30.50</td>
<td>42.64 ± 25.89</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD$_5$ (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>49.37 ± 33.14</td>
<td>36.65 ± 28.34</td>
<td>47.30 ± 29.05</td>
<td>0.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>110.39 ± 81.90</td>
<td>129.93 ± 82.02</td>
<td>88.68 ± 68.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$-N (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.978 ± 0.651</td>
<td>1.451 ± 0.676</td>
<td>1.338 ± 0.669</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$-N (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.113 ± 0.148</td>
<td>0.172 ± 0.195</td>
<td>0.061 ± 0.086</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Area 1 (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Area 2 (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>Area 3 (Mean ± Std)</th>
<th>p-Value (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TN (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.812 ± 2.813</td>
<td>6.048 ± 2.537</td>
<td>5.123 ± 2.709</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄-P (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.352 ± 0.577</td>
<td>0.189 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.191 ± 0.288</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) p-value < 0.05 indicates a statistically significant difference from the one-way ANOVA.

4. Discussion

From the finding results above, the water quality in the study area in particular, and in Ninh Thuan in general, has been highly affected by both local climate conditions and shrimp cultivation practices through the current state of water and effluent parameters that were monitored and sampled.

4.1. Effects of Local Natural Conditions and Extreme Weather Events

Ninh Thuan is a coastal province that has the hottest and driest climate in Vietnam. The coastline is characterized as having narrow strips of sandy topography. The province experiences the lowest average rainfall compared to the national average. Manifestations of extreme weather events were analyzed through temperature and rainfall parameters. During the study period between May and August 2017, the weather changed dramatically, i.e., at times there was infrequent rain but at a high intensity for the first three weeks, then followed by a total lack of rain over two weeks with intense sunshine. The rapid and drastic change in temperature adversely impacted shrimp cultivation. In fact, the number of remaining shrimp ponds that remained in operation dramatically decreased from 30 to three over a 12-week survey period, with the decrease most pronounced during the first seven weeks. Shrimp farming by small-scale households was likely the most vulnerable due to their financial constraints and limited knowledge of climate change adaptation. They have low capacity to adapt to the impacts of extreme weather. As a common practice, the farmers lessen the impacts of temperature fluctuations by adding fertilizer to control water quality, reducing the amount of shrimp food during each feeding, increasing the intensity and duration of aeration using paddle wheels in combination with using oxygen-aerated systems that are mounted on the bottom of the ponds, and increasing the daily water exchange volume. These activities, however, lead to adverse effects on the receiving environments if their cultivation processes are not operated and managed optimally. For instance, Figure 9 has demonstrated the models between COD and local temperature are significant.

4.2. Main Causes of Water Pollution from Shrimp Farming

In addition to natural conditions and local weather reasons, we conclude with the three main causes of water pollution from current shrimp farming practices in Ninh Thuan province. The first reason is the cultivation practices of the farmers. As mentioned in Section 3.2, they do not comply with local discharge regulations. For instance, only 20% of the ponds have an inlet water treatment pond. The farmers are knowledgeable about the requirements and effectiveness of treatment ponds; however, most farmers rent land, and the cost of renting increases if more land is rented to employ a treatment system. This is a negative financial incentive, as renting more land for treatment rather than strictly raising shrimp will yield a potential loss in revenue. Hence, chemicals for water treatment (e.g., potassium permanganate, chlorine, BKC, and iodine) and for the control of pH fluctuation (e.g., lime, zeolite, and dolomite) are directly added to the cultivation pond as a common practice based on their experience. Additionally, other chemicals are added into the shrimp feed to increase the shrimp’s resistance and boost nutrient absorption (e.g., ascorbic acid 10%, Sorbitol, Lysine, Methionine, Vitamin B, and a mixture of minerals and vitamins) or the feed is supplemented with probiotics including bacteria and enzymes (Proteases, Amylases, Celluloses, and Lipases). Through the survey, we found that over 80% of farmers
use feed, fertilizers, and antibiotics on the advice of suppliers and give priority to a low price or pay after harvest. In addition to further exacerbating the problem, farmers prefer to buy fingerling stocks from the market rather than from reputable brand companies due to the price differences that can be up to 10 times more expensive [46]. Feed, fertilizers, and antibiotics are poor nutrients and may be of poor quality, and also the low disease resistance of certain shrimp species contributes to the failure of a given stock. This reveals a warning sign regarding the awareness of farmers. Furthermore, farms in the second and third areas which are deep inland have to exploit groundwater which is then used in combination with coastal water through an irrigation system to supply the shrimp ponds. The risk of spreading disease when using intake water from the irrigation system is relatively high. A similar risk happens when raising shrimp without settlement/treatment ponds.

Second, the existing local infrastructure also impacts the quality of cultivating water. The province has a centralized treatment pond with dimensions of 90 m by 65 m by 2.5 m in length, width, and depth, respectively. The designed storage capacity is 14,180 m³. Currently, some components of the wastewater treatment pond are degraded and damaged. Specifically, the two valves used to control the entry and/or release of inlet water and discharge water into the pond were damaged and unusable. The edge of the pond is eroded and there are no lining layers to prevent wastewater infiltration to adjacent areas outside of the treatment pond. Also, the end of the discharge pipe adjacent to the coastal side is often covered by sand, hindering the release of wastewater as needed. Of significant importance, the entire bottom of the pond is covered by a one-meter-thick layer of black-colored mud. Wastewater from intensive shrimp ponds is discharged directly into the centralized drainage system, which then flows into a treatment system and empties into the coast. Over the years, the constant deposition has resulted in the accumulation of mud in the centralized treatment pond. During the hot weather, the centralized treatment pond is a source of a foul odor, let alone being a main source of local water pollution. On the other hand, in terms of availability, public irrigation systems are the highest supply source. The irrigation canal systems have reached whole households located in the An Hai concentrated shrimp farming area. However, this source is contaminated by water movement in the canal and is also contaminated with household sanitary wastewater which is discreetly discharged into the canal.

Lastly, the mismanagement of the local authorities is also a contributor to water pollution in coastal shrimp farming. According to the surveys, the farmers reported that they are not getting much support from the governor to manage disease infection when it occurs. Additionally, the local government should be closely monitoring and managing water quality in the irrigation system to ensure an available water supply for shrimp farming. It is recommended that farmers have access to capital through financial lending so that they have the capacity to acquire the necessary equipment that would allow them, for example, to access quality groundwater and install an inlet water treatment pond, as well as purchase better quality shrimp stock and required water treatment chemicals.

4.3. Water Pollution from Coastal Shrimp Farming

Water pollution from coastal shrimp farming can be detected through the monitoring of coastal waters, groundwater, as well as effluent discharge from shrimp ponds. In general, three groups of water pollution were determined: suspended solid (consisting of TSS, the total Coliform parameters), organic and carbon matter (consisting of BOD₅, COD parameters), and nutrient loading (including N-, P-form parameters). Through the water–wastewater cycle identified above (described in Figure 2), the water pollution from these sources was confirmed. Indeed, in the first phase, the shrimp cultivation practices (feeding, using chemicals) lead to the contamination of water resources by pollutants of all three categories, as seen in Table 4. Following this, was determined that the water effluent flows into the drainage ditches and empties into the coast and/or infiltrates groundwater. According to the local monitoring program, elevated nutrient parameters have been detected in coastal and groundwater, as seen in Table 2. In other words, the
receiving water environments absorb organic and carbon matter while suspended solids are accumulating on the bottom while being transported in the water column. For groundwater sampled near the shrimp farms, high concentrations of salinity and Coliform were clearly identified (see Table 3), indicating the salinization phenomenon and effects of sewage from domestic activities in the study area.

4.4. Recommendations for Sustainable Shrimp Aquaculture in Ninh Thuan Province

In the context of the influence of geographical location, the resources of shrimp farming areas, and the shortage of a water treatment system to supply ponds, the most important thing is to effectively reallocate water resources. Priority needs to be determined in allocating water resources to users, including groundwater, surface water usage, and serving both domestic and production purposes. This is to prevent problems such as water shortages, water pollution, and saltwater intrusion during the dry season. At the same time, it is necessary to address the problem of pollution at its source, by encouraging people to set up wastewater treatment systems on their farms. For every two to three commercial shrimp ponds, it is recommended that there is a settling pond for water treatment to avoid directly supplying coastal water into the shrimp ponds, or discharging water effluent in the pond into the environment. This is an important step in shrimp cultivation, not only helping to protect the quality of the receiving water environment but also ensuring the quality of input water for the pond.

Based on the main causes of water pollution from shrimp farming discussed, to adapt to climate change, especially the phenomenon of abnormal temperature increases, toward a sustainable shrimp model, it is necessary to design and implement a comprehensive strategy. In particular, training farmer households to change traditional farming practices and cultivation habits (e.g., [17,70]) and helping to raise awareness about the impact of climate change on the environment and their livelihoods, such as discussed in [71], is the first step. Farmers need to change their way of thinking and there should be cooperation and unity in the farming process, especially for ponds located next to each other. Second, building an ecosystem of state management, business communities, and shrimp farmer communities helps people quickly grasp the abnormal situation, apply advanced farming technology (e.g., [72]), sustainable aquaculture models, and have a stable income. Next, the implementation of new shrimp farming techniques that reduce pollution and disease risks, allowing shrimps to adapt better to changing weather conditions, should be conducted. For instance, in a multi-phase shrimp farming model, shrimp will be cultured in different ponds depending on their stage of growth under roof cover, and moved to larger ponds with age. Lastly, the shrimp pond area should be reduced to make it easier to manage the water quality, rearing, treatment, and waste, as well as reduce investment costs.

5. Conclusions

The current study has shown the integrated impacts of extreme weather events, shrimp farming cultivation operations, degraded infrastructure, and the mismanagement of the local governor on the water quality in the coastal area of Ninh Thuan province. A comprehensive evaluation was performed based on an understanding of the water–wastewater cycle from coastal shrimp cultivation using qualitative and quantitative analyses.

However, a study on climatic impacts should be prolonged; hence, the present study can extend further solutions for waste prevention and minimization at the source, as well as for onsite treatment and the reuse of effluent in the future. Additional water sampling of the public irrigation system will contribute to a better understanding of the current state of water quality in the province. Nutrient pollution from coastal shrimp farming has been determined as a core issue of the province; thus, further studies are essential in delineating the breadth and depth of the issue as well as the socioeconomic challenges, thereby providing better information that would lead to an effective regional management plan.
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