

Momentum Gauge Fields and Non-Commutative Space–Time

Eduardo Guendelman^{1,2,3}  and Douglas Singleton^{4,*} ¹ Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel² Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Ruth-Moufang-Strasse 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany³ Bahamas Advanced Studies Institute and Conferences, 4A Ocean Heights, Hill View Circle, Stella Maris, Long Island 43873, Bahamas⁴ Physics Department, California State University Fresno, Fresno, CA 93740, USA

* Correspondence: dougs@mail.fresnostate.edu

Abstract: In this work, we present a gauge principle that starts with the momentum space representation of the position operator ($\hat{x}_i = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$), rather than starting with the position space representation of the momentum operator ($\hat{p}_i = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$). This extension of the gauge principle can be seen as a dynamical version of Born's reciprocity theory, which exchanges position and momentum. We discuss some simple examples with this new type of gauge theory: (i) analog solutions from ordinary gauge theory in this momentum gauge theory, (ii) Landau levels using momentum gauge fields, and (iii) the emergence of non-commutative space–times from the momentum gauge fields. We find that the non-commutative space–time parameter can be momentum dependent, and one can construct a model where space–time is commutative at low momentum, but becomes non-commutative at high momentum.

Keywords: gauge theory; born reciprocity; momentum gauge fields

1. Gauge Theory in Momentum Space

Gauge theories have been one of the central ideas of theoretical physics in the past hundred years [1,2]. The Standard Model of particle physics, which describes all known non-gravitational interactions, is a gauge theory [3–6], and General Relativity can be viewed as a gauge theory [7]. It is very important to emphasize the central role of Professor Steven Weinberg in the development and applications of the gauge principle in the construction of what we now call the Standard Model. Professor Weinberg was also very active in the issue of extending the Standard Model, exploring the ideas of axions [8], supersymmetry [9], string theory, and cosmological issues [10]. Much of Professor Weinberg's work dealt with symmetries in physics and their applications. In this work, we present a new extension of the gauge symmetry principle, by extending the usual gauge symmetry to momentum space.

In the standard formulation of a gauge theory, one starts with a space–time-dependent matter field $\Psi(x)$, which satisfies some matter field equation (e.g., Schrödinger equation, Klein–Gordon equation, Dirac equation) and requires that this matter field satisfies a local phase symmetry of the form $\Psi(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\lambda(x)}\Psi(x)$. The gauge function, $\lambda(x)$, can depend on space and time. Along with this local phase symmetry of the matter field, one needs to introduce the kinetic momentum/gauge covariant derivative $p_i \rightarrow p_i - eA_i(x)$ or $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - ieA_i(x)$, where the vector potential obeys $A_i(x) \rightarrow A_i(x) - \frac{1}{e} \frac{\partial \lambda(x)}{\partial x_i}$. This standard construction is performed in position space: the matter field, Ψ , is a function of position; the momentum operator is given as a derivative of position ($p_i = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, and we take $\hbar = 1$); the vector potential and gauge function are functions of space and time coordinates.

However, quantum mechanics can be carried out in momentum space as well with the matter field being a function of momentum, $\Psi(p)$, and the position operator being given



Citation: Guendelman, E.; Singleton, D. Momentum Gauge Fields and Non-Commutative Space–Time. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 126. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15010126>

Academic Editor: Gui Jun Ding

Received: 16 November 2022

Revised: 17 December 2022

Accepted: 23 December 2022

Published: 2 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

by $x_i = i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$. In this construction, the momentum operator is just multiplication by p_i just as the position operator in position space is multiplication by x_i . The momentum space gauge transformation of the matter field should be

$$\Psi(p) \rightarrow e^{-i\eta(p)} \Psi(p). \quad (1)$$

The equivalent of the generalized position/gauge covariant derivative is

$$x_i \rightarrow x_i - gC_i(p) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + igC_i(p). \quad (2)$$

We used $x_i = i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$; g is some momentum–space coupling, $C_i(p)$ is a momentum–space gauge function, which must satisfy

$$C_i(p) \rightarrow C_i(p) + \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial \eta(p)}{\partial p_i}. \quad (3)$$

Finally, one can construct a momentum–space field strength tensor, which is invariant under just (3), namely

$$G_{ij} = \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial p_i}. \quad (4)$$

This is the $p_i p_j$ component of the momentum gauge field, field strength tensor. It is the analog of the $x_i x_j$ component of the standard gauge field, field strength tensor $F_{ij} = \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j}$. The four-vector version of the standard gauge potential and field strength tensor are $A_i \rightarrow A_\mu$ and $F_{ij} \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}$. One needs to make a similar 4-vector/4-tensor extension for the momentum gauge field and associated field strength tensor via $C_i(p) \rightarrow C_\mu(p)$ and $G_{ij} \rightarrow G_{\mu\nu}$. The momentum generalized gauge field and field strength tensor are reminiscent of the Berry connection and Berry curvature [11], where the Berry connection/Berry “gauge” field is the function of some parameter, which is not necessarily the position. Here, $C_i(p)$ and $G_{ij}(p)$ are Berry connections and Berry curvatures, which are specifically functions of momentum.

One can ask about the units of the momentum coupling, g , and momentum gauge field, C_μ , relative to the standard coupling, e , and standard gauge field, A_μ . From $p_i \rightarrow p_i - eA_i(x)$ and $x_i \rightarrow x_i - gC_i(p)$, one sees that $eA_i(x)$ has units of momentum, while $gC_i(p)$ has units of position. This leaves two options for the units of g and C_μ . First, one can choose for g to have the same units as e , and then, the units of C_μ would be the units of A_μ multiplied by $\frac{[\text{position}]}{[\text{momentum}]} = \frac{[\text{time}]}{[\text{mass}]}$. Second, one can choose for C_μ and A_μ to have the same units, and in this case, the units of g would be the units of e , again multiplied by the same factor $\frac{[\text{position}]}{[\text{momentum}]} = \frac{[\text{time}]}{[\text{mass}]}$.

One can ask if there is some deeper connection or condition between the standard coupling e and momentum coupling g , perhaps something like the Dirac quantization condition [12] between electric and magnetic charge. One idea might be to take the option above, where e and g have the same units and, then, via Born reciprocity, require the couplings to be exchangeable, i.e., $e \leftrightarrow g$. We leave this question for future work.

The above discussion shows that one can easily construct a momentum–space analog of the canonical position–space gauge procedure. There are two questions this arise: (i) What physical use/significance would this momentum gauge field construction have? (ii) Why is this momentum gauge field construction not as common as the standard gauge field construction? The first question will be addressed in the following sections, but here, we will address the second question. The answer may lie in the asymmetric way in which

the momentum and position operators appear the simplest, free particle Hamiltonian. For a non-relativistic object of mass m , this Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} \right) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2. \tag{5}$$

The Hamiltonian in (5) is suited for the covariant derivative $p_i \rightarrow p_i - eA_i(x)$ or $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - ieA_i(x)$, but there is no room, nor use for the momentum space version in (2). However, a more symmetric starting point would be to consider the non-relativistic simple harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2) + \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2). \tag{6}$$

In the last step, we chose the mass and frequency of the oscillator as $m = 1$ and $\omega = 1$. Looking at the last form in (6), one sees a symmetry between the momentum and position of $p_i \leftrightarrow x_i$. This symmetry provides an argument to have the gauge principle apply not only to the momentum via $p_i \rightarrow p_i - eA_i$, but also to the position via $x_i \rightarrow x_i - gC_i$. One can argue for the naturalness of $\frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}x_i^2$ over just $\frac{p_i^2}{2m}$ by pointing to the quantum field theory (QFT) vacuum, which can be viewed as a collection of harmonic oscillators [13], so that having both the momentum and position terms in the Hamiltonian is more natural than having only the momentum or only the position.

In the above, we exchanged the roles of the position and momentum operators in the usual construction of a gauge theory. The momentum gauge fields provide a dynamical model of Born reciprocity [14], which exchanges the position and momentum operators as $\hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{p}$ and $\hat{p} \rightarrow -\hat{x}$, which would imply that, for every ordinary gauge field, there should be a corresponding momentum gauge field. For example, the Hamiltonian in (6) is invariant under this swap of position and momentum in units where $m = 1$ and $\omega = 1$. The minus sign in the momentum to the position transformation keeps the standard form of the position–momentum commutator under this change, i.e., $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ is invariant under $\hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{p}$ and $\hat{p} \rightarrow -\hat{x}$. The Hamiltonian in (6) is also invariant under the transformation $\hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{p}$ and $\hat{p} \rightarrow \hat{x}$, but this would then change the sign of the position–momentum commutator $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = -i\hbar$. Nevertheless, this would still lead to the same uncertainty principle since $\Delta x \Delta p = \frac{1}{2} | \langle [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \rangle |$. The main difference between the model we lay out here and that in [14] is that we included a momentum gauge field (3) and momentum field strength tensor (4), thus making a dynamical model of Born reciprocity possible.

2. Connection to Non-Commutative Space–Time

2.1. Constant Non-Commutativity Parameter

In this subsection, we point out the connection of the above momentum gauge theory with non-commutative geometry, by which we mean coordinates obeying

$$[x_i, x_j] = i\Theta_{ij}, \tag{7}$$

where Θ_{ij} is an anti-symmetric, constant rank-two tensor. A review of non-commutative field theory can be found in [15], and interesting applications of non-commutative geometry to modifications of the hydrogen atom spectrum can be found in [16]. There is also a work that looks at how non-commutative geometry may cure the singularities found in black holes and other solutions in General Relativity [17]. The construction from the previous section leads exactly to this kind of non-commutativity between the coordinates. We begin with Equation (2) and define a generalized, gauge-invariant coordinate $X_i = x_i - gC_i(p) = i\partial_{p_i} - gC_i(p)$. In its first form, this looks like coordinate translation by $gC_i(p)$. Calculating the commutator of X_i and X_j gives

$$[X_i, X_j] = igG_{ij}, \tag{8}$$

with the momentum–space field strength G_{ij} defined in (4). Equation (8) is of the form (7) with $\Theta_{ij} = gG_{ij}$.

The result in (8) is reminiscent of the non-commutativity of the covariant derivative for regular, minimally coupled fields, $\pi_i = p_i - eA_i(x) = -i\partial_{x_i} - eA_i(x)$. Calculating the commutator of π_i with π_j gives

$$[\pi_i, \pi_j] = ieF_{ij} = ie\epsilon_{ijk}B^k, \tag{9}$$

where $B^k = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}(\partial_{x_i}A_j - \partial_{x_j}A_i) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}F_{ij}$ is the regular magnetic field. Comparing (8) with (9), one can define a momentum gauge field “magnetic field” as $\mathcal{B}^k = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}(\partial_{p_i}C_j - \partial_{p_j}C_i) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}G_{ij}$. This, in turn, defines the non-commutation parameter of the spatial coordinates on the right-hand side of (8) to be constant only if the momentum “magnetic” field is constant.

One can easily arrange for such a constant “magnetic” field solution via

$$C^0 = 0, \quad C^i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\mathcal{B}^j p^k \tag{10}$$

with \mathcal{B}^j being a constant. Taking the curl of (10), using momentum derivatives, and performing index gymnastics yields $\epsilon^{lmi}\partial^{p^m}C^i = \mathcal{B}^l$; one obtains a constant “magnetic” field. This gives a constant non-commutative tensor $\Theta_{ij} = gG_{ij} = g\epsilon_{ijk}\mathcal{B}^k$, i.e., in this way, one recovers a constant non-commutative parameter, which is the most-common assumption in the literature [15,16].

A fully four-vector version of the spatial coordinate non-commutativity in (7) is accomplished by promoting the three Latin indices to four Greek indices, giving

$$[x_\mu, x_\nu] = i\Theta_{\mu\nu}, \tag{11}$$

where $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ is an anti-symmetric four-tensor. In conjunction with (11), the four-tensor version of (8) becomes

$$[X_\mu, X_\nu] = igG_{\mu\nu}, \tag{12}$$

In order to obtain a constant $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ for a component with one space index (e.g., $\mu = i$) and one time index (i.e., $\nu = 0$), we need to have a constant momentum gauge field, “electric” field. This is accomplished by selecting the momentum gauge field as

$$C^0 = -\mathcal{E}^j p^j; \quad C^j = 0 \tag{13}$$

The momentum gauge “electric” field is given by $G_{0i} = \partial_{p^0}C_i - \partial_{p^i}C_0 = \mathcal{E}^i$, which is the sought after constant momentum gauge field “electric” field. Using Equations (11) and (12), this gives the connection between the non-commutativity parameter and momentum gauge field electric field of $\Theta_{0i} = gG_{0i} = g\mathcal{E}_i$.

2.2. Variable Non-Commutativity Parameter

In the previous subsection, we looked at the momentum gauge field configuration with constant “magnetic” and constant “electric fields” in Equations (10) and (13), respectively. In this subsection, we examine momentum gauge field configurations that are variable. These variable momentum gauge fields then imply a varying of the non-commutativity parameter via the connection $\Theta_{\mu\nu} \propto G_{\mu\nu}$.

We first write down two common, ordinary gauge field solutions, which have gauge fields that vary with space and time, and then construct the varying momentum gauge field analogs. The two ordinary gauge field solutions we consider are a plane wave and a static point charge. The Lagrange density for standard gauge fields is $\mathcal{L}_F = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ with $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{x^\mu}A^\nu - \partial^{x^\nu}A^\mu$. The equations of motion from \mathcal{L}_F are

$$\partial_{x_\mu}(\partial^{x_\mu}A^\nu - \partial^{x_\nu}A^\mu) = 4\pi J^\nu(x) \rightarrow \partial_{x_\mu}\partial^{x_\mu}A^\nu = 4\pi J^\nu(x) \rightarrow \square_x A^\nu = 4\pi J^\nu(x), \tag{14}$$

with $J^\nu(x)$ being a conserved four-current coming from some matter source and \square_x is the d'Alembertian with respect to the time–position coordinates. In the last line, we have taken the Lorenz gauge $\partial_{x_\mu} A^{\mu} = 0$. Let us look at two common solutions to (14): the plane wave vacuum solution and the point charge solution:

- In the vacuum ($J^\nu = 0$), (14) has the solution $A^\nu \propto e^{i(px-Et)} \epsilon^\nu \delta(p^2 - E^2/c^2)$, where the δ -function enforces the mass shell condition $\frac{E^2}{p^2} = c^2$ and ϵ^ν is the polarization vector.
- For a point charge at rest, one has the current $J^\nu = (q\delta^3(r), 0, 0, 0)$, which has the solution $A^0 = \frac{q}{r}$ and $\vec{A} = 0$, since $\nabla_x^2\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) = 4\pi\delta(r)$.

We now examine how the above plays out for the momentum gauge fields. The momentum gauge field Lagrange density is $\mathcal{L}_G = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}$ with $G^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}C^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}C^{\mu}$. The equations of motions that follow from this Lagrange density are

$$\partial_{p_\mu}(\partial^{p_\mu}C^\nu - \partial^{p_\nu}C^\mu) = 4\pi\mathcal{J}^\nu(p) \rightarrow \partial_{p_\mu}\partial^{p_\mu}C^\nu = 4\pi\mathcal{J}^\nu(p) \rightarrow \square_p C^\nu = 4\pi\mathcal{J}^\nu(p), \quad (15)$$

with $\mathcal{J}^\nu(p)$ being a four-current matter source that is a function of p and \square_p is the d'Alembertian with respect to the energy–momentum. In the last expression, we use the momentum space equivalent of the Lorenz gauge $\partial_{p_\mu}C^{p_\mu} = 0$. The current conservation in momentum space reads $\partial_{p_\mu}\mathcal{J}^{p_\mu} = 0$,

We now repeat the two types of solutions listed above for the standard gauge theory, but for the momentum gauge theory:

- In the vacuum ($\mathcal{J}^\nu = 0$), (15) has solution $C^\nu \propto e^{i(px-Et)} \epsilon^\nu \delta(x^2 - c^2t^2)$, where the δ -function enforces the light cone condition $\frac{x^2}{t^2} = c^2$ and ϵ^ν is the polarization vector.
- The momentum gauge equivalent of the charge at rest is given by $\mathcal{J}^\nu = (g\delta^3(p), 0, 0, 0)$, with $C^0 = \frac{g}{p}$ and $\vec{C} = 0$ since $\nabla_p^2\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) = 4\pi\delta(p)$.

Notice that the point source in momentum space, that is $\mathcal{J}^\nu = (g\delta^3(p), 0, 0, 0)$, is a totally homogeneous solution in coordinate space, since it is concentrated at zero momentum, which means, indeed, the assumption of a totally homogeneous state. More generally, it is interesting to observe that any current of the form $\mathcal{J}^\nu = (f(p), 0, 0, 0)$, with $\mathcal{J}^0 = f(\vec{p})$ being p^0 independent, will satisfy the current conservation law of $\partial_{p_\mu}\mathcal{J}^\mu = 0$. Performing a Fourier transformation on this to coordinate space yields $x_\mu\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^\mu = 0$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^\mu$ is the Fourier transformation of \mathcal{J}^μ . The equivalent statements for a regular four-source would be $J^\nu = (f(\vec{x}), 0, 0, 0)$, which satisfies the conservation law $\partial_{x_\mu}J^\mu = 0$ or Fourier transforming to momentum space $p_\mu\tilde{J}^\mu = 0$.

One can construct other conserved current sources for momentum gauge fields that satisfy $x_\mu\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^\mu = 0$. Starting with any four-vector V^μ , we construct $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^\mu = V^\mu - x^\mu V^\nu x_\nu/x^2$, which is easily seen to satisfy $x_\mu\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^\mu = 0$.

As a final comment, the equation of motion for, A_μ , given in (14), leads to a propagator in momentum space that is proportionate to $\propto \frac{1}{p^2+i\epsilon}$. The imaginary infinitesimal term $i\epsilon$ is a convergence factor to deal with the divergence as $p \rightarrow 0$. In turn, the momentum gauge field equation of motion, C_μ , given in (15), leads to a position space propagator proportional to $\propto \frac{1}{x^2}$. Here, we have not inserted a factor of $i\epsilon$. This is because the limits $p \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow 0$ are physically different. The $p \rightarrow 0$ limit is the infrared/low-energy limit, which is dealt with by inserting a convergence factor of $i\epsilon$, which is taken to zero at the end. The $x \rightarrow 0$ limit is the ultraviolet/high-energy limit, which is dealt with using the renormalization procedure if the theory turns out to be renormalizable or by introducing a cut off if the theory turns out to be non-renormalizable.

3. Generalized Landau Levels

In this section, we work on the case of generalized Landau levels with a particle of mass m in a constant ordinary magnetic field and constant momentum “magnetic” field. We take both the ordinary and momentum magnetic field to point in the 3/z-direction. We want

to take these magnetic fields and minimally couple them to the free particle in Equation (6). Applying minimal coupling for both coordinate gauge fields and momentum gauge fields leads to $p_i \rightarrow p_i - eA_i$ and $x_i \rightarrow x_i - gC_i$. Having a constant, ordinary magnetic field and a constant, momentum magnetic field in the 3/z-direction can be obtained in the symmetric gauge with A_1 and A_2 given by

$$A_0 = 0, \quad A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}By, \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{2}Bx, \tag{16}$$

and with C_1 and C_2 also in the symmetric gauge given by

$$C_0 = 0, \quad C_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}p_y, \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}p_x, \tag{17}$$

The constant values of the ordinary magnetic field and momentum magnetic field from (16) and (17) are B and \mathcal{B} , respectively.

Therefore, the equation of motion for the double-gauged harmonic oscillator reads

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_x + \frac{eBy}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_y - \frac{eBx}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left(x + \frac{g\mathcal{B}p_y}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left(y - \frac{g\mathcal{B}p_x}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{p_z^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} z^2 \tag{18}$$

or (we drop the part of the Hamiltonian associated with the kinetic energy and harmonic oscillator in the z-direction)

$$H = \left(1 + \frac{(gm\omega\mathcal{B})^2}{4} \right) \left(\frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{p_y^2}{2m} \right) + \left(1 + \frac{(eB)^2}{4m^2\omega^2} \right) \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (x^2 + y^2) + L_z(-g_1B + g_2\mathcal{B}). \tag{19}$$

Here, $L_z = xp_y - yp_x$; this the angular momentum in the z-direction. $g_1 = \frac{e}{2m}$ and $g_2 = \frac{gm\omega^2}{2}$ are the coupling strengths of the angular momentum to the coordinate magnetic field B and the momentum magnetic field \mathcal{B} , respectively.

The above results can be compared with the formulation of non-commutative quantum mechanics [18] for the case of a harmonic oscillator potential, and the results agree with those in [18], if the identification of the non-commutative parameter is made according to Expression (8).

The coupling between B and L_z is exactly what one has from the standard analysis of Landau levels. The coupling between L_z and \mathcal{B} is a new feature arising from the momentum gauge fields, but the two coupling terms to L_z have a dual symmetry between the regular magnetic field, B , and momentum gauge “magnetic” field, \mathcal{B} .

The first term in (19) shows that the system has now developed a new, effective mass given by

$$m_{eff} = \frac{m}{1 + \frac{(gm\omega\mathcal{B})^2}{4}}. \tag{20}$$

The effective mass depends on the momentum “magnetic” field and is always less than m , i.e., $m_{eff} < m$. In addition, the second term in (19) implies a new effective frequency.

Taking into account the effective mass in (20) to write this second term in the form $\frac{m_{eff}\omega_{eff}^2}{2}$ gives a new effective frequency of

$$\omega_{eff} = \omega \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{(gm\omega\mathcal{B})^2}{4} \right) \left(1 + \frac{e^2B^2}{4m^2\omega^2} \right)}. \tag{21}$$

Note that, in the effective frequency and the effective mass above, both momentum (i.e., \mathcal{B}) and coordinate magnetic (i.e., B) fields contribute.

One can define an effective magnetic field as

$$B_{eff} = \frac{-g_1 B + g_2 \mathcal{B}}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}}, \tag{22}$$

so that the coupling of the z-component of angular momentum to the two magnetic fields, \mathcal{B} and B , in (19) can be written as $\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2} B_{eff} L_z$. One can also define a generalized magnetic field orthogonal to B_{eff} via

$$B_{nc} = \frac{g_1 \mathcal{B} + g_2 B}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}}. \tag{23}$$

The subscripts *nc* stand for “non-coupling” since B_{nc} , unlike B_{eff} , does not couple to L_z .

The definition of the two generalized magnetic fields in (22) and (23) is mathematically identical to the definition of the Z^0 boson and photon in the Standard Model [2,3,6]. Furthermore, from (23) and (22), we can define an analog of the “Weinberg angle” via the definition:

$$\cos(\theta_{mixing}) = \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}}. \tag{24}$$

Putting all of the above together, the total Hamiltonian is then

$$H = \frac{1}{2m_{eff}}(p_x^2 + p_y^2) + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{eff}^2 m_{eff}(x^2 + y^2) + \sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2} B_{eff} L_z \tag{25}$$

Note that B_{eff} couples to the angular momentum, while B_{nc} does not. This is similar to the Standard Model, where Z^0 has a mass term, while the photon remains massless.

Following [19], one can define creation/annihilation operators in terms of p_x, p_y and x, y as

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_{eff} m_{eff}}}(a_1 + a_1^\dagger) \quad ; \quad y = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_{eff} m_{eff}}}(a_2 + a_2^\dagger) \\ \text{and} & \\ p_x &= i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_{eff} m_{eff}}{2}}(a_1^\dagger - a_1) \quad ; \quad p_y = i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_{eff} m_{eff}}{2}}(a_2^\dagger - a_2). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The creation and annihilation operators obey the usual relationship $[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}$. With these definitions, we find $L_z = xp_y - yp_x = i\hbar(a_1 a_2^\dagger - a_2 a_1^\dagger)$, and the Hamiltonian in (25) becomes $H = \hbar\omega_{eff}(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2 + 1) + i\hbar\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2} B_{eff}(a_1 a_2^\dagger - a_2 a_1^\dagger)$. The first two terms can be seen to be the normal 2D harmonic oscillator. The third term looks like a coupling between the generalized magnetic field and the angular momentum in the z-direction.

4. Momentum Dependent Non-Commutativity Parameter

In this section, we examine two simple examples where the non-commutativity parameter, $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$, is not a constant, but depends on the momentum. Recently, other authors [20] have considered momentum-dependent non-commutative parameters. However, in this work, the inspiration is quite different as it exploits some geometry in momentum space. Furthermore, the non-commutativity parameter in [20] depends on both momentum and position, while in our construction below, the non-commutativity parameter depends only on momentum, which is closer to the energy–momentum dependence of masses and couplings in QFT that one finds from the renormalization group.

The examples we chose are the momentum gauge field version of a capacitor and solenoid, with the momentum gauge fields being piecewise constant in different momentum ranges, leading to different $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ s in these different ranges.

4.1. Capacitor-Type Momentum Electric Field Configuration

The standard, infinite parallel plate capacitor has a four-current source of

$$J^\nu = (f(z), 0, 0, 0) \text{ with } f(z) = \sigma[\delta(z + a) - \delta(z - a)] \tag{27}$$

This source represents two infinite planes of surface charge $\pm\sigma$ placed perpendicular to the z -axis at $z = \mp a$. This source gives an electric field of

$$E_z = 4\pi\sigma \text{ for } -a \leq z \leq a \text{ and } E_z = 0 \text{ for } |a| \leq |z|, \tag{28}$$

i.e., non-zero between the planes and zero outside the planes.

The momentum gauge field analog of this standard capacitor system has a constant momentum “electric” field similar to that in Equation (13), but it should be restricted in momentum rather than position as is the case in Equation (28). Actually, for the momentum gauge field system, we want the inverse of the above standard capacitor; we want the momentum “electric” field to be zero between the planes (i.e., at small momentum) and non-zero outside the planes (i.e., at large momentum). The capacitor-like configuration for the momentum gauge fields that we want has a four-current source of

$$\mathcal{J}^\nu = (f(p), 0, 0, 0) \text{ with } f(p) = \Sigma[\delta(p_z + p_a) + \delta(p_z - p_a)]. \tag{29}$$

The planes are symmetrically placed at $p_z = \pm p_a$, and in contrast to the sources for the standard capacitor in (27), the momentum planes now have the **same** “surface charge”, Σ . This same “surface charge” setup leads to a momentum “electric” field in the p_z direction given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_z &= 4\pi\Sigma \text{ for } p_z \geq p_a, \quad \mathcal{E}_z = -4\pi\Sigma \text{ for } p_z \leq -p_a, \\ \text{and } \mathcal{E}_z &= 0 \text{ for } -p_a \leq p_z \leq p_a. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The momentum “electric” field of (30) is zero between the plates and non-zero outside the plates, which is the inverse of the standard capacitor (28).

The reason for building our momentum gauge field capacitor system as the **inverse** of the normal capacitor is due to the connection between the non-commutativity parameter, $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$, and the momentum gauge field tensor, $G_{\mu\nu}$, as given Equations (11) and (12) i.e., $\Theta_{\mu\nu} = gG_{\mu\nu}$. We want to have a normal position–position commutator (i.e., $[X_\mu, X_\nu] = 0$) for momenta near zero (i.e., for $-p_a \leq p_z \leq p_a$), but we want non-commutative space–time effects for large momenta, i.e., we want $\Theta_{\mu\nu} \propto G_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ for large momenta, $|p_a| \leq |p_z|$. This is different from the usual non-commutative space–time approach, where the non-commutative parameter is “turned on” for all momenta. Here, the non-commutativity, at least for the Θ_{0i} components, is turned on only for the z -momentum magnitude satisfying $|p_a| < |p_z|$.

4.2. Current Sheet-Type Momentum Magnetic Field

In this subsection, we carry out a similar construction as in the preceding subsection, but for the space/space components of $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ and $G_{\mu\nu}$. In this case, the standard gauge field system we want to build a momentum gauge field analog of is two infinite plane sheet currents located at $z = \pm a$. These current sheets are symmetrically placed on the z -axis around $z = 0$. The explicit surface currents are

$$\vec{K} = \pm J\hat{y} \text{ at } z = \mp a \tag{31}$$

This leads to a regular magnetic field of

$$\vec{B} = 4\pi J \hat{x} \text{ for } -a \leq z \leq a \text{ and } \vec{B} = 0 \text{ for } |a| \leq |z| \tag{32}$$

i.e., the magnetic field is a non-zero constant between the sheets and zero outside the sheets.

The momentum gauge field analog of this is two momentum gauge field current sheets at the momentum planes, $p_z = \pm p_a$. These planes are symmetric around the origin through the p_z -axis. Explicitly, the “momentum” current sheets are

$$\vec{K} = \mathcal{J} \hat{y} \text{ at } p_z = \pm p_a \tag{33}$$

Note that, here, we have the currents in the same direction, rather than the opposite direction, as for the regular gauge field current sheets of (31). The reason for this is the same as for the momentum gauge field, capacitor-like system of the preceding subsection: we want the non-commutativity parameter to be zero for momentum in the range $-p_a \leq p_z \leq p_a$, and we want a non-zero non-commutativity parameter for momentum in the range $|p_a| \leq |p_z|$. Putting this all together, the momentum gauge field “magnetic” field is

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{B} &= 4\pi \mathcal{J} \hat{x} \text{ for } p_a \leq p_z \text{ and } \vec{B} = -4\pi \mathcal{J} \hat{x} \text{ for } p_z \leq -p_a \\ \text{and } \vec{B} &= 0 \text{ for } -p_a \leq p_z \leq p_a. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The momentum gauge “magnetic” field is a non-zero, constant outside the current sheets and zero between the current sheets. This implies that the space/space non-commutativity parameter, Θ_{ij} , is zero for momenta in the range $-p_a \leq p_z \leq p_a$, while for large-magnitude momenta (i.e., $|p_a| \leq |p_z|$), the space/space component $\Theta_{yz} = gG_{yz} = g\epsilon_{yzx} \mathcal{B}_x = \pm g\mathcal{B}$ is a non-zero constant. Both this simple example and the example from the preceding subsection show that one can construct non-commutative space–times where the non-commutativity only “turns” on at some large-enough momentum, rather than being on all the time.

5. Summary and Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the formulation of the gauge principle in momentum space, or energy–momentum space in the relativistic case. Instead of only starting with the momentum operator and introducing a covariant momentum as $p_i \rightarrow p_i - eA_i(x)$, we also considered the position operator and introduced a covariant position as $x_i \rightarrow x_i - gC_i(p)$. The preference for having only the covariant momentum and not the covariant position comes from the fact that, in general, one starts with a free Hamiltonian (5), which has only momentum dependence. However, a more symmetric treatment, motivated by the fact that the QFT vacuum can be seen as a collection of oscillators, leads to a Hamiltonian of the form given in (6), which then calls for both covariant momentum *and* covariant position.

We presented several simple examples of this momentum formulation of the gauge principle, showing that one could construct momentum gauge field analogs to plane wave solutions, point charge solutions, and Landau levels. All these examples are underpinned by a dual-symmetry, exchange symmetry, or reciprocity [14] between momentum and position, namely $\hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{p}$ and $\hat{p} \rightarrow -\hat{x}$ (or also, $\hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{p}$ and $\hat{p} \rightarrow \hat{x}$), which then relates the regular gauge fields to the momentum gauge fields. A criticism of this momentum formulation of the gauge principle is whether or not it has any concrete physical application or use. In this regard, we mention that the model presented here is similar to Born’s reciprocity theory [14], which Born had hoped would play a role in the theory of elementary particles. The new feature here is that our version of Born’s reciprocity is dynamical since we have introduced momentum gauge fields (3) and momentum field strength tensors (4). We will explore physical consequences of this idea in future work.

One potentially interesting application of this momentum gauge theory is that it naturally lead to non-commutative geometry, as given in Equations (8) and (12). This non-commutativity of space–time has been studied previously as a way to extend QFT [15], as a

way to test for extensions to QED [16], and as a way to deal with the singularities of general relativity [17]. The non-commutativity of these works rests on non-trivial space–time commutators of the form (11), where the non-commutativity parameter, $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$, is a constant. In our formulation, since the non-commutativity parameter is a momentum gauge field, field strength tensor, $gG_{\mu\nu}$, it can vary with momentum, since the momentum gauge field, C_μ , can vary with momentum. In Section 4, we constructed a very simple system, based on the infinite charge sheets and infinite current sheets of introductory E&M, where the non-commutativity parameter, $gG_{\mu\nu}$, would only turn on when the magnitude of the momentum becomes large enough, i.e., when the momentum satisfies $|p_a| \leq |p_z|$ for some large, fixed p_a . This could have interesting consequences since one could have commutative space–time below p_a , which turns into non-commutative space–time above p_a .

These are examples to show that the non-commutativity parameters can be screened by “charges” in the infrared or ultraviolet regions. This screening has been studied here by introducing external momentum currents, but they could also arise from quantum fluctuation, as in ordinary gauge theories, where coupling constants are screened in the infrared (i.e., in QED) or ultraviolet (i.e., in QCD) regions. Another subject that could be studied is the possibility of coordinate gauge fields and momentum gauge field mixing and/or oscillating. This is suggested, for example, by the result that minimally coupling both coordinate gauge fields and momentum gauge fields produces a very specific linear combination (22) that couples to the angular momentum of matter. Thus, after integrating out the matter, we should be left with coordinate gauge fields and momentum gauge field mixing and/or oscillating. There are also no obstacles to considering non-Abelian momentum gauge fields. Furthermore, a connection between momentum gauge fields and curved momentum space can be established, where the momentum gauge field appears from a higher-dimensional curved momentum space from a Kaluza–Klein mechanism [21]. This momentum space Kaluza–Klein approach could be further extended and could provide additional insights into higher-dimensional theories. Lastly, the present authors have worked on other ways to modify the gauge principle with non-vector gauge fields [22–24] or by gauging a dual-symmetry [25]. Furthermore, the “Curtright generalized gauge fields” presents yet another way to generalize the gauge principle [26]. However, the present way of modifying the gauge principle can have an additional symmetry principle underlying it, namely the exchange symmetry or Born reciprocity, where $\hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{p}$ and $\hat{p} \rightarrow -\hat{x}$ or $\hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{p}$ and $\hat{p} \rightarrow \hat{x}$, i.e., the role of momentum and position are exchanged.

Motivated by the Born reciprocity, we may suspect that the simultaneous existence of momentum and coordinate gauge fields could have important consequences; for example, in [27] it was found that the simultaneous momentum-like Coulomb solution for momentum gauge fields, given by $C^0 = \frac{\xi}{p}$ and $\vec{C} = 0$, together with the regular configuration space Coulomb solution, given by $A^0 = \frac{e}{r}$ and $\vec{A} = 0$, can be related to the generation of an emergent space–time.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.G. and D.S.; Methodology, E.G. and D.S.; Formal analysis, E.G. and D.S.; Investigation, E.G. and D.S.; Writing—original draft, E.G. and D.S.; Writing—review & editing, E.G. and D.S.; Project administration, E.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We would like to thank FQXi-Foundational Questions Institute and COST Action CA18108-Quantum gravity phenomenology in the multi-messenger approach (QG-MM) for financial support

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Fabian Wagner for very interesting discussions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. O’Raifeartaigh, L.; Straumann, N. Gauge theory: Historical origins and some modern developments. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2000**, *72*, 1. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Abers, E.S.; Lee, B.W. Gauge Theories. *Phys. Repts.* **1973**, *9*, 1. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Glashow, S.L. Partial Symmetries of Weak Interactions. *Nuc. Phys.* **1961**, *22*, 579. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Weinberg, S. A Model of Leptons. *Phys. Rev. Letts.* **1967**, *19*, 1264. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Salam, A.; Svartholm, N. (Eds.) “Elementary Particle Physics: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity” Eighth Nobel Symposium; Almquist and Wiksell: Stockholm, Sweden, 1968.
6. Guendelman, E.; Owen, D. *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics and Related Topics*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2022. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Lasenby, A.; Doran, C.; Phil, S.G. Gravity, gauge theories and geometric algebra. *Trans. R. Soc. A* **1998**, *356*, 487. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Weinberg, S. A New Light Boson? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1978**, *40*, 223. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Weinberg, S. Supersymmetry at Ordinary Energies. 1. Masses and Conservation Laws. *Phys. Rev. D* **1982**, *26*, 287. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Weinberg, S. The Cosmological Constant Problem. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1989**, *61*, 1. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Berry, M.V. Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **1984**, *392*, 45.
12. Dirac, P.A.M. Quantised singularities in the electromagnetic field. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **1931**, *133*, 60.
13. Zee, A. *Quantum Field theory in a Nutshell*, 2nd ed.; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 2010.
14. Born, M. Reciprocity Theory of Elementary Particles. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1949**, *21*, 463. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Douglas, M.R.; Nekrasov, N.A. Noncommutative field theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2001**, *73*, 977. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Chaichian, M.; Sheikh-Jabbari, M.M.; Tureanu, A. Hydrogen Atom Spectrum and the Lamb Shift in Noncommutative QED. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2001**, *86*, 2716. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Nicolini, P. Noncommutative Black Holes, The Final Appeal To Quantum Gravity: A Review. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **2009**, *24*, 1229. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Gamboa, J.; Loewe, M.; Rojas, J.C. Noncommutative quantum mechanics. *Phys. Rev. D* **2001**, *64*, 067901. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Abers, E.S. *Quantum Mechanics*; Pearson Education Inc.: Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2004.
20. Singh, R.; Kothawala, D. Covariant formulation of the generalized uncertainty principle. *Phys. Rev. D* **2022**, *105*, L101501. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Guendelman, E.I.; Wagner, F. Momentum Gauge Fields from Curved Momentum Space through Kaluza-Klein Reduction. *arXiv* **2022**, arXiv:2208.00409.
22. Singleton, D.; Kato, A.; Yoshida, A. Alternative Gauge Procedure with Fields of Various Ranks. *Phys. Lett. A* **2004**, *330*, 326. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Guendelman, E.I.; Singleton, D. Scalar Gauge Fields. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2014**, *5*, 96. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Guendelman, E.I. Gauge invariance and mass without spontaneous symmetry breaking. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1979**, *43*, 543. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Kato, A.; Singleton, D. Gauging dual symmetry. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **2002**, *41*, 1563. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Curtright, T. Generalized gauge fields. *Phys. Lett. B* **1985**, *165*, 304. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Copinger, P.; Morales, P. Emergent Spacetime from a Momentum Gauge and Electromagnetism. *arXiv* **2022**, arXiv:2211.14165.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.