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Abstract: We study the strong decays of the $\phi(2170)$, along with its possible partner $X(2436)$, as two fully strange tetraquark states of $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$. These two states are assumed to contain two strange quarks and two anti-strange quarks, with the flavor symmetry $6_s \otimes 6_s$. We consider seven decay channels: $\phi\eta, \phi\eta', \phi f_0(980), \phi f_1(1420), h_1(1415)\eta, h_1(1415)\eta'$, and $h_1(1415)f_1(1420)$. Some of these channels are kinematically possible, and we calculate their relative branching ratios through the Fierz rearrangement. Future experimental measurements on these ratios could be useful in determining the nature of the $\phi(2170)$ and $X(2436)$. The $\phi(2170)$ has been observed in the $\phi f_0(980), \phi\eta$, and $\phi\eta'$ channels, and we propose to further examine it in the $h_1(1415)\eta$ channel. Evidences of the $X(2436)$ have been observed in the $\phi f_0(980)$ channel, and we propose to verify whether this structure exists or not in the $\phi\eta, \phi\eta', h_1(1415)\eta$, and $h_1(1415)\eta'$ channels.
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1. Introduction

In the traditional quark model, we can categorize hadrons into $qq$ mesons and $qqq$ baryons [1]. In recent years, many exotic hadrons were observed in particle experiments, which cannot be explained in the traditional quark model [2–21], such as the charmonium-like baryons [1]. In recent years, many exotic hadrons were observed in particle experiments, which cannot be easily explained in the traditional quark model [2–21], such as the charmonium-like baryons [1].

Since its discovery, the $\phi(2170)$ has stimulated many theoretical methods and models to explain its nature. Possible interpretations of this interesting structure are abundant and diverse, including the traditional $s\bar{s}$ meson as an excited state [43–48], a strangeonium hybrid state [49,50], a fully strange tetraquark state [51–58], a hidden-strangeness baryon–antibaryon state strongly coupling to the $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ channel [59], a bound state of $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ [60–64], etc.
and a dynamically generated state in the $\phi KK$ and $\phi \pi\pi$ systems [65–67] or in the $\phi f_0(980)$ system [68,69]. Within the lattice QCD formalism, the authors of Ref. [70] studied the $\phi(2170)$ under the hybrid hypothesis, but their results do not favor this interpretation. Furthermore, some productions of the $\phi(2170)$ were studied in Refs. [71,72] by using the Nambu–Jona–Lasinio model and the Drell–Yan mechanism, and its decay properties were studied in Refs. [48,73–77] by using the initial single-pion emission mechanism, the dispersion theory, the three-hadron interactions, and the $3P_0$ model. Especially, the authors of Ref. [72] calculated the Drell–Yan production of the $\phi(2170)$ at the Tevatron and LHC, and their results suggest that this process has a measurable rate.

In addition, the $\phi(2170)$ may have a partner state at around 2.4 GeV, denoted as $X(2436)$. Its evidences have been observed in the $\phi f_0(980)$ and $\phi\pi^+\pi^−$ channels by the BaBar, Belle, BESII, and BESIII experiments [28,31–33]. The authors of Ref. [78] performed a combined fit to the data of BaBar and Belle, where the mass and width of this structure were measured to be

$$X(2436) : M = 2436 \pm 34 \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma = 99 \pm 105 \text{ MeV},$$

when fitting the $\phi f_0(980)$ cross-section, but its statistical significance is less than $3\sigma$. Recently, the BESIII Collaboration further studied this structure through the $e^+e^− \to \phi\pi^+\pi^−$ process [41], but its statistical significance is no more than $2\sigma$. Therefore, more experimental studies are necessary to clarify whether the $X(2436)$ exists or not.

Although there are considerable efforts from both the experimental and theoretical sides, the nature of the $\phi(2170)$ and $X(2436)$ is still not clear. In order to clarify their nature, it is useful to examine their decay modes and relative branching ratios. Especially, it is useful to study the $\phi(2170)$ decays into the $\phi\eta$ and $\phi\eta'$ channels, in order to investigate the ratio

$$R_{\eta/\eta'}^{\exp} \equiv \frac{B_{\phi\eta}^{\gamma^Y} \Gamma_{e^+e'^{-}}}{B_{\phi\eta'}^{\gamma^Y} \Gamma_{e^+e'^{-}}},$$

where

$$B_{\phi\eta}^{\gamma^Y} \equiv \text{Br}(\phi(2170) \to \phi\eta),$$

$$B_{\phi\eta'}^{\gamma^Y} \equiv \text{Br}(\phi(2170) \to \phi\eta'),$$

$$\Gamma_{e^+e'^{-}} \equiv \text{Br}(e^+e'^{-} \to \phi(2170)).$$

In Refs. [35,36], the BESIII Collaboration separately studied the $e^+e^− \to \phi\eta / \phi\eta'$ processes and extracted

$$B_{\phi\eta}^{\gamma^Y} \Gamma_{e^+e'^{-}} = \begin{cases} 0.24^{+0.12}_{-0.07} \text{ eV (sol I),} \\ 10.11^{+3.37}_{-3.31} \text{ eV (sol II)}, \end{cases}$$

$$B_{\phi\eta'}^{\gamma^Y} \Gamma_{e^+e'^{-}} = 7.1 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ eV (sol I/II)},$$

where “sol I/II” denote the two possible solutions. The $e^+e^− \to \phi\eta$ process has also been investigated by BaBar [29]:

$$B_{\phi\eta}^{\gamma^Y} \Gamma_{e^+e'^{-}} = 1.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.3 \text{ eV},$$

and Belle [79]:

$$B_{\phi\eta'}^{\gamma^Y} \Gamma_{e^+e'^{-}} = \begin{cases} 0.09 \pm 0.05 \text{ eV (sol I),} \\ 0.06 \pm 0.02 \text{ eV (sol II),} \\ 16.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ eV (sol III),} \\ 17.0 \pm 1.2 \text{ eV (sol IV)}. \end{cases}$$
Based on Equations (7) and (8), we can derive

\[ R_{\eta/\eta'}^{\text{exp}} = \begin{cases} 
0.034^{+0.029}_{-0.014} \quad (\text{sol I}), \\
1.42^{+1.03}_{-0.60} \quad (\text{sol II}). 
\end{cases} \]  

(11)

Theoretically, this ratio was calculated in Ref. [76] to be \( R_{\eta/\eta'} = 2.6 \sim 5.2 \), where the \( \phi(2170) \) was considered as a dynamically generated state from the \( \phi f_0(980) \) interaction. More theoretical calculations on this ratio are helpful to reveal the nature of the \( \phi(2170) \), and we refer to Ref. [76] for its detailed analysis through various theoretical models.

We have applied the method of QCD sum rules to study the \( \phi(2170) \) and \( X(2436) \) in Refs. [52,55]. In Ref. [52], we systematically constructed the fully strange tetraquark currents and found only two independent ones. We separately used them to perform QCD sum rule analyses by calculating only the diagonal two-point correlation functions. In Ref. [55], we further calculated the off-diagonal two-point correlation functions, and the obtained results can explain both the \( \phi(2170) \) and \( X(2436) \) as two fully strange tetraquark states. In this paper, we utilize the Fierz rearrangement method [80–82] to study their strong decays as the fully strange tetraquark states of \( J^{PC} = 1^{--} \).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct the fully strange tetraquark currents of \( J^{PC} = 1^{--} \) within the diquark–antidiquark picture. We use them to further construct two mixing currents that are non-correlated, which can be used to simultaneously interpret the \( \phi(2170) \) and \( X(2436) \) as two fully strange tetraquark states. We apply the Fierz rearrangement to transform these two mixing currents into the meson–meson currents, based on which we study the decay behaviors of the \( \phi(2170) \) and \( X(2436) \) in Section 3. The obtained results are discussed and summarized in Section 4.

2. Currents and Fierz Identities

The fully strange tetraquark currents with the quantum number \( J^{PC} = 1^{--} \) have been systematically constructed and studied in Refs. [52,55], where we consider two types of tetraquark currents (as illustrated in Figure 1):

\[ \eta(x,y) = [s_T^a(x)\Gamma_1 s_b(x)] \times [\bar{s}_c(y)\Gamma_2 \bar{s}_d(y)], \]  

(12)

\[ \xi(x,y) = [s_a(x)\Gamma_3 s_b(x)] \times [\bar{s}_c(y)\Gamma_4 \bar{s}_d(y)]. \]  

(13)

Here, \( \Gamma_i \) are Dirac matrices, the subscripts \( a \cdots d \) are color indices, \( \mathbb{C} = i\gamma_2\gamma_0 \) is the charge-conjugation operator, and the superscript \( T \) represents the transpose of Dirac indices. We call the former \( \eta(x,y) \) diquark–antidiquark currents and the latter \( \xi(x,y) \) meson–meson currents, which are separately investigated in the following subsections.
2.1. Diquark–Antidiquark Currents and Their Mixing

There are two fully strange diquark–antidiquark interpolating currents with the quantum number $J^{PC} = 1^{−−}$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_{1\mu} &= (s_a^T \gamma^\nu s_b)(\bar{s}_a \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \bar{c}_b), \\
\eta_{2\mu} &= (s_a^T \gamma^\nu s_b)(\bar{s}_a \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c_b).
\end{align*}
\]

(14) \hspace{1cm} (15)

These two currents are independent of each other.

In Ref. [52], we separately use $\eta_{1\mu}$ and $\eta_{2\mu}$ to perform QCD sum rule analyses, where we calculate only the diagonal correlation functions:

\[
\langle 0|\eta_{1\mu} \eta_{1\mu}^\dagger |0\rangle \text{ and } \langle 0|\eta_{2\mu} \eta_{2\mu}^\dagger |0\rangle. 
\]

(16)

However, in Ref. [55], we find that the off-diagonal correlation function

\[
\langle 0|\eta_{1\mu} \eta_{2\mu}^\dagger |0\rangle \neq 0,
\]

(17)

is also non-zero, indicating that $\eta_{1\mu}$ and $\eta_{2\mu}$ are correlated with each other, so they can couple to the same physical state. To deal with this, in Ref. [55], we further construct two mixing currents:

\[
\begin{align*}
J_{1\mu} &= \cos \theta \, \eta_{1\mu} + \sin \theta \, i \, \eta_{2\mu}, \\
J_{2\mu} &= \sin \theta \, \eta_{1\mu} + \cos \theta \, i \, \eta_{2\mu}.
\end{align*}
\]

(18) \hspace{1cm} (19)

When setting the mixing angle to be $\theta = -5.0^\circ$, these two currents satisfy

\[
\langle 0|J_{1\mu} J_{2\mu}^\dagger |0\rangle \equiv \sum_n \delta(s - M_n^2) \langle 0|J_{1\mu}|n\rangle \langle J_{2\mu}^\dagger |0\rangle + \cdots 
\]

(20)

with the threshold value around $s_0 \approx 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ and the Borel mass around $M_B^2 \approx 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$. This condition indicates that the two currents $J_{1\mu}$ and $J_{2\mu}$ are non-correlated, i.e., they cannot mainly couple to the same state $Y$, otherwise,

\[
\langle 0|J_{1\mu} J_{2\mu}^\dagger |0\rangle \approx \delta(s - M_Y^2) \langle 0|J_{1\mu}|Y\rangle \langle J_{2\mu}^\dagger |0\rangle + \cdots 
\]

\[
\neq 0.
\]

(21)

Accordingly, we assume that $J_{1\mu}$ and $J_{2\mu}$ mainly couple to two different states $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ through

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle 0|J_{1\mu}|Y_1\rangle &= f_{Y_1} \epsilon_{\mu}, \\
\langle 0|J_{2\mu}|Y_2\rangle &= f_{Y_2} \epsilon_{\mu},
\end{align*}
\]

(22) \hspace{1cm} (23)

where $f_{Y_1}$ and $f_{Y_2}$ are the decay constants and $\epsilon_{\mu}$ is the polarization vector.

In Ref. [55], we use $J_{1\mu}$ and $J_{2\mu}$ to perform QCD sum rule analyses. When setting the working regions to be $5.0 \text{ GeV}^2 < s_0 < 7.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $2.0 \text{ GeV}^2 < M_B^2 < 4.0 \text{ GeV}^2$, we calculate the masses of $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ to be

\[
\begin{align*}
M_{Y_1} &= 2.41 \pm 0.25 \text{ GeV}, \\
M_{Y_2} &= 2.34 \pm 0.17 \text{ GeV},
\end{align*}
\]

(24)
with the mass splitting
\[ \Delta M = 71^{+172}_{-48} \text{MeV}. \] (25)

The mass extracted from \( J_{2\mu} \) is consistent with the experimental mass of the \( \phi(2170) \), indicating its possible explanation as the fully strange tetraquark state \( Y_2 \). The QCD sum rule result extracted from the non-correlated current \( J_{1\mu} \) suggests that the \( \phi(2170) \) may have a partner state whose mass is about \( 2.41 \pm 0.25 \) GeV. This value is consistent with the experimental mass of the \( X(2436) \), indicating its possible explanation as the fully strange tetraquark state \( Y_1 \). We further study their strong decays through the two mixing currents \( J_{1\mu} \) and \( J_{2\mu} \) in Section 3.

2.2. Meson–Meson Currents and Fierz Rearrangement

In addition to the diquark–antidiquark currents \( \eta_{1\mu} \) and \( \eta_{2\mu} \), we can also construct the fully strange meson–meson currents. There are four fully strange meson–meson interpolating currents with the quantum number \( J^{PC} = 1^{--} \):

\[
\xi_{1\mu} = (\bar{s}_a s_a)(\bar{s}_b \gamma \mu s_b),
\]
(26)

\[
\xi_{2\mu} = (\bar{s}_a \gamma^\nu \gamma^5 s_a)(\bar{s}_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 s_b),
\]
(27)

\[
\xi_{3\mu} = \lambda_{ab\cdot cd}(\bar{s}_a s_b)(\bar{s}_c \gamma_\mu s_d),
\]
(28)

\[
\xi_{4\mu} = \lambda_{ab\cdot cd}(\bar{s}_a \gamma^\nu \gamma^5 s_b)(\bar{s}_c \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 s_d).
\]
(29)

We can derive through the Fierz rearrangement that only two of them are independent, i.e.,

\[
\xi_{3\mu} = -\frac{5}{3} \xi_{1\mu} - i \xi_{2\mu},
\]
(30)

\[
\xi_{4\mu} = 3i \xi_{1\mu} + \frac{1}{3} \xi_{2\mu}.
\]

Moreover, we can also derive through the Fierz rearrangement the relations between the diquark–antidiquark currents \( \eta_i \) and the meson–meson currents \( \xi_i \):

\[
\eta_{1\mu} = -\xi_{1\mu} + i \xi_{2\mu},
\]
(31)

\[
\eta_{2\mu} = 3i \xi_{1\mu} - \xi_{2\mu}.
\]
(32)

Therefore, these two constructions are equivalent with each other, but note that this equivalence is just between the local diquark–antidiquark and meson–meson currents, while the tightly bound diquark–antidiquark tetraquark states and the weakly bound meson–meson molecular states are significantly different. To describe them well, we need the non-local currents, but we are still not able to use them to perform QCD sum rule analyses.

We can use Equations (31) and (32) to transform the mixing currents \( J_{1\mu} \) and \( J_{2\mu} \) to be

\[
J_{1\mu} = -0.74 \xi_{1\mu} + 1.08i \xi_{2\mu},
\]
(33)

\[
J_{2\mu} = -2.90 \xi_{1\mu} - 1.08i \xi_{2\mu}.
\]
(34)

These two Fierz identities will be used in Section 3 to study the strong decays of the two states \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \).

2.3. Strangeonium Operators and Decay Constants

The meson–meson currents \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) are both composed of two strangeonium operators, whose couplings to the strangeonium states have been studied in the literature to some extent [1,83–88], as summarized in Table 1. Especially, we follow Refs. [12,89–97] to study the axial-vector operator \( J_{1\mu}^A = \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \gamma s \), and use the two-angle mixing formalism to describe the pseudoscalar mesons \( \eta \) and \( \eta' \) as
\[ |\eta\rangle = \cos \theta_8 |\eta_8\rangle - \sin \theta_0 |\eta_0\rangle + \text{g.c.}, \quad (35) \]
\[ |\eta'\rangle = \sin \theta_8 |\eta_8\rangle + \cos \theta_0 |\eta_0\rangle + \text{g.c.}, \]

where
\[ |\eta_8\rangle = |u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} - 2s\bar{s}|/\sqrt{6}, \quad (36) \]
\[ |\eta_0\rangle = |u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} + s\bar{s}|/\sqrt{3}, \]

and g.c. denotes the other components such as the pseudoscalar glueball and charmonium, etc.

We define the flavor octet and singlet axial-vector operators as
\[ A_8^{\mu} = (\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5u + \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5d - 2\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5s) / \sqrt{12}, \quad (37) \]
\[ A_0^{\mu} = (\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5u + \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5d + \bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5s) / \sqrt{6}, \]

which couple to \(\eta\) and \(\eta'\) through
\[ \langle 0 | A_\mu^P | P(q) \rangle = i q_\mu f^P, \quad (38) \]

Here, \(f^P\) is the matrix for the decay constants
\[ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} f_8 & f_0 & f_8' \\ f_8 & f_0 & f_8'' \\ f_8' & f_8'' & f_0' \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} f_8 \cos \theta_8 & -f_0 \sin \theta_0 \\ f_8 \sin \theta_8 & f_0 \cos \theta_0 \end{array} \right), \quad (39) \]

where \([98,99]\)
\[ \theta_8 = -22.2^\circ, \]
\[ \theta_0 = -9.1^\circ, \quad (40) \]
\[ f_8 = 168 \text{ MeV}, \]
\[ f_0 = 157 \text{ MeV}. \]

Based on the above formula, we can derive
\[ \langle 0 | A_\mu^P | \eta(q) \rangle = i q_\mu f_\eta, \quad (41) \]
\[ \langle 0 | A_\mu^P | \eta'(q) \rangle = i q_\mu f_{\eta'}, \]

where
\[ f_\eta \approx 159 \text{ MeV}, \quad (42) \]
\[ f_{\eta'} \approx 200 \text{ MeV}. \]

We can further approximate the couplings of the pseudoscalar operator \(J^P = s i \gamma_5 s\) to \(\eta\) and \(\eta'\) as
\[ \langle 0 | J^P | \eta(q) \rangle = \lambda_\eta, \quad (43) \]
\[ \langle 0 | J^P | \eta'(q) \rangle = \lambda_{\eta'}. \]
where
\[
\lambda_\eta \approx \frac{6f_\eta m_\eta}{m_u + m_d + 4m_s} = 218 \text{ MeV},
\]
\[
\lambda_{\eta'} \approx \frac{3f_{\eta'} m_{\eta'}}{m_u + m_d + m_s} = 638 \text{ MeV}.
\]

Table 1. Couplings of the strangeonium operators to the strangeonium states. Color indices are omitted for simplicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operators</th>
<th>$I^G I^{PC}$</th>
<th>Mesons</th>
<th>$I^G I^{PC}$</th>
<th>Couplings</th>
<th>Decay Constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I^S = \bar{s}s$</td>
<td>$0^+0^{++}$</td>
<td>$f_0(980)$</td>
<td>$0^+0^{++}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
<td>I^S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I^P = \bar{s}i\gamma_5 s$</td>
<td>$0^+0^{-+}$</td>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>$0^+0^{-+}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
<td>I^P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\eta'$</td>
<td>$0^+0^{-+}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
<td>I^P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I^V_{\mu} = \bar{s}\gamma_\mu s$</td>
<td>$0^{-1^{--}}$</td>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>$0^{-1^{--}}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
<td>I^V_{\mu}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I^A_{\mu} = \bar{s}\gamma_\mu s$</td>
<td>$0^+1^{++}$</td>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>$0^+0^{++}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
<td>I^A_{\mu}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\eta'$</td>
<td>$0^+0^{++}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
<td>I^A_{\mu}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$f_1(1420)$</td>
<td>$0^+1^{++}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I^{T}<em>{\mu\nu} = \bar{s}\gamma</em>{\mu\nu} s$</td>
<td>$0^{-1^{+-}}$</td>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>$0^{-1^{+-}}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
<td>I^{T}_{\mu\nu}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$h_1(1415)$</td>
<td>$0^{-1^{+-}}$</td>
<td>$\langle 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Relative Branching Ratios

In this section, we study the strong decays of the fully strange tetraquark states with the quantum number $I^{PC} = 1^{--}$. As depicted in Figure 2, when one quark meets one antiquark and the other quark meets the other antiquark at the same time, a fully strange tetraquark state can fall apart into two strangeonium mesons. This process can be described by the Fierz identities given in Equations (33) and (34).

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_\eta \approx & \frac{6f_\eta m_\eta}{m_u + m_d + 4m_s} = 218 \text{ MeV}, \\
\lambda_{\eta'} \approx & \frac{3f_{\eta'} m_{\eta'}}{m_u + m_d + m_s} = 638 \text{ MeV}.
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 2. The fall-apart decay process of a fully strange tetraquark state to two strangeonium mesons.

Let us start with Equation (33) and perform analyses qualitatively. The strangeonium operators $I^S = \bar{s}_a s_a$ and $I^V_\mu = \bar{s}_b \gamma_\mu s_b$ couple to the $f_0(980)$ and $\phi(1020)$, respectively. Hence, the meson–meson current $\xi_{\mu\nu}$ couples well to the $\phi f_0(980)$ channel, and the mixing current $f_{1\mu}$ also couples to this channel. Accordingly, the state $Y_1$ can decay to this channel. Similarly, we can derive six other possible channels to be $\phi_\eta$, $\phi\eta'$, $\phi f_1(1420)$, $h_1(1415)\eta$, etc.
We still take Equation (33) as an example, from which we can extract the couplings of the state \( Y_1 \), where the uncertainty is due to various hadron masses and the mixing angle, respectively. Then, we can extract the couplings of the state \( Y_1 \) to the \( \phi f_0(980) \) and \( \phi \eta \) channels:

\[
\langle 0 | J_{1\mu} | \phi (p_1, \epsilon_1) f_0(p_2) \rangle = -0.74 \times \epsilon_1^\mu m_{f_0} f_0 m_{\phi \phi},
\]

\[
\langle 0 | J_{1\mu} | \phi (p_1, \epsilon_1) \eta (p_2) \rangle = 0.54 \times f_0 f_0^T \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} p_2^\nu (p_1^\alpha \epsilon_1^\beta - p_1^\beta \epsilon_1^\alpha).
\]

Then, we can extract the couplings of the state \( Y_1 \) to the \( \phi f_0(980) \) and \( \phi \eta \) channels:

\[
\langle Y_1(p, \epsilon) | \phi (p_1, \epsilon_1) f_0(p_2) \rangle = -0.74 c \times \epsilon \cdot \epsilon_1 m_{f_0} f_0 m_{\phi \phi},
\]

\[
\langle Y_1(p, \epsilon) | \phi (p_1, \epsilon_1) \eta (p_2) \rangle = 0.54 c \times f_0 f_0^T \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} p_2^\nu (p_1^\alpha \epsilon_1^\beta - p_1^\beta \epsilon_1^\alpha).
\]

The overall factor \( c \) is related to the decay constant \( f_{Y_1} \). After calculating the partial decay widths \( \Gamma_{Y_1 \rightarrow \phi f_0(980)} \) and \( \Gamma_{Y_1 \rightarrow \phi \eta} \), we can eliminate this factor and obtain

\[
\frac{B(Y_1 \rightarrow \phi f_0(980))}{B(Y_1 \rightarrow \phi \eta)} = 1.14_{-0.32}^{+0.33} \frac{1.34}{-0.74} = 1.14_{-0.81}^{+1.38}.
\]

where the uncertainty is due to various hadron masses and the mixing angle, respectively. The uncertainty of the mixing angle is set to be \( \theta = -5.0^\circ \pm 5.0^\circ \), which makes the most contribution. Similarly, we can investigate the \( \phi \eta', h_1(1415) \eta, \) and \( h_1(1415) \eta' \) channels to obtain

\[
B( Y_1 \rightarrow \phi \eta : \phi \eta' : \phi f_0 : h_1(1415) \eta : h_1(1415) \eta' ) = 1 : 0.71_{-0.37}^{+0.23} : 1.14_{-0.81}^{+1.38} : 0.74_{-0.19}^{+0.11} : 0.32_{-0.32}^{+0.46}.
\]

The above calculations are carried out within the naive factorization scheme, so our uncertainty is significantly larger than the well-developed QCD factorization scheme [100-102]. However, our calculations are carried out after eliminating the ambiguous overall factor \( f_{Y_1} \), which largely reduces our uncertainty.

It is interesting to examine the dependence of the above ratios on the mixing angle \( \theta \), as shown in the left panel of Figure 3. Especially, the ratio

\[
R_{\eta/\eta'}^{Y_1} = \frac{B(Y_1 \rightarrow \phi \eta)}{B(Y_1 \rightarrow \phi \eta')} = 1.40_{-0.34}^{+1.54},
\]

does not depend on this parameter. This ratio can be useful in clarifying the nature of the \( X(2436) \) as a fully strange tetraquark state.

Following the same procedures, we study the strong decays of the state \( Y_2 \) through the mixing current \( J_{2\mu} \). In this case, we consider the \( \phi f_0(980), \phi \eta, \phi \eta', \) and \( h_1(1415) \eta \) channels, since the \( h_1(1415) \eta' \) channel is kinematically forbidden. Their relative branching ratios are calculated to be

\[
B( Y_2 \rightarrow \phi \eta : \phi \eta' : \phi f_0 : h_1(1415) \eta ) = 1 : 0.63_{-0.27}^{+0.19} : 19.52_{-5.51}^{+6.05} : 0.69_{-0.14}^{+0.09}.
\]
We show the dependence of these ratios on the mixing angle \( \theta \) in the right panel of Figure 3. Again, the ratio

\[
R^{Y_2}_{\eta/\eta'} = \frac{B(Y_2 \rightarrow \phi\eta)}{B(Y_2 \rightarrow \phi\eta')} = 1.59^{+1.19}_{-0.37}, \tag{53}
\]

does not depend on the mixing angle \( \theta \), and, moreover, it is almost the same as the ratio \( R^{Y_1}_{\eta/\eta'} = 1.40^{+1.54}_{-0.34} \). This ratio can be useful in clarifying the nature of the \( \phi(2170) \) as a fully strange tetraquark state.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** Relative branching ratios of the fully strange tetraquark states \( Y_1 \) (**above**) and \( Y_2 \) (**below**) with respect to the mixing angle \( \theta \), with the \( \phi\eta, \phi\eta', \phi_0(980), h_1(1415)\eta \), and \( h_1(1415)\eta' \) channels taken into account.

4. Summary and Discussion

In this paper, we systematically study the strong decays of the \( \phi(2170) \) and \( X(2436) \) as two fully strange tetraquark states with the quantum number \( J^{PC} = 1^{--} \). Their corresponding fully strange tetraquark currents have been systematically constructed in our previous studies \[52,55\], where we consider both the diquark–antidiquark and meson–meson constructions. We have also derived their relations there through the Fierz rearrangement, and these relations are used in the present study to study their strong decay properties.

There are two independent diquark–antidiquark currents, defined in Equations (15) and (16) as \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \). In Ref. \[52\], we calculate their diagonal correlation functions, and, in Ref. \[55\], we further calculate their off-diagonal correlation function. Based on the obtained results, we construct two mixing currents, defined in Equations (18) and (19) as
we study the decay mechanism depicted in Figure 2, where a fully strange tetraquark state $Y$ with each other, so they separately couple to two different states $Y_1$ and $Y_2$, whose masses are calculated in Ref. [55] through the QCD sum rule method to be

$$M_{Y_1} = 2.41 \pm 0.25 \text{ GeV},$$

$$M_{Y_2} = 2.34 \pm 0.17 \text{ GeV}.$$ 

These two values are consistent with the experimental masses of the $X(2436)$ and $\phi(2170)$, indicating their possible explanations as the fully strange tetraquark states $Y_1$ and $Y_2$, respectively. Accordingly, we can use the mixing currents $J_{1\mu}$ and $J_{2\mu}$ to further study their decay properties.

We use the Fierz rearrangement to transform the mixing currents $J_{1\mu}$ and $J_{2\mu}$ to the combinations of the meson–meson currents $\xi_{1\mu}$ and $\xi_{2\mu}$, as defined in Equations (26) and (27). The obtained Fierz identities are given in Equations (33) and (34). Based on these results, we study the decay mechanism depicted in Figure 2, where a fully strange tetraquark state fall-apart decays to two strangeonium mesons. We consider altogether seven possible channels: $\phi\eta, \phi\eta', \phi f_0(980), \phi f_2(1420), h_1(1415)\eta, h_1(1415)\eta'$, and $h_1(1415)f_1(1420)$. Some of these channels are kinematically possible, whose relative branching ratios are calculated to be

$$B( X(2436) \to \phi \eta : \phi \eta' : \phi f_0 : h_1(1415)\eta : h_1(1415)\eta' ) = 1 : 0.71 ^{+0.23}_{-0.32} : 1.14 ^{+1.38}_{-0.81} : 0.74 ^{+0.11}_{-0.19} : 0.32 ^{+0.46}_{-0.32},$$

$$B( \phi(2170) \to \phi \eta : \phi \eta' : \phi f_0 : h_1(1415)\eta ) = 1 : 0.63 ^{+0.19}_{-0.22} : 19.52 ^{+6.05}_{-5.51} : 0.69 ^{+0.09}_{-0.14}.$$

The $X(2436)$ and $\phi(2170)$ satisfy that

$$R_{\phi(2170)}^{X(2436)} \equiv B(X(2436) \to \phi \eta) / B(X(2436) \to \phi \eta') = 1.40 ^{+1.54}_{-0.34},$$

$$R_{\eta/\eta'}^{\phi(2170)} \equiv B(\phi(2170) \to \phi \eta) / B(\phi(2170) \to \phi \eta') = 1.59 ^{+1.19}_{-0.37}.$$

These two values are quite similar to each other, simply because the ratios extracted from the two single currents $\eta_{1\mu}$ and $\eta_{2\mu}$ are almost the same, so that the mixing cannot change their values much. Compared to the BESIII measurement listed in Equation (11), our theoretical results within the fully strange tetraquark picture are consistent with their second solution $R_{\eta/\eta'}^\exp = 1.42 ^{+1.05}_{-0.60}$.

The $\phi(2170)$ has been observed in the $\phi f_0(980)$, $\phi\eta$, and $\phi\eta'$ channels. Our results suggest that it can also be searched for in the $h_1(1415)\eta$ channel. There are some evidences of the $X(2436)$ in the $\phi f_0(980)$ channel. Our results suggest that it can also be searched for in the $\eta\eta$, $\eta\eta'$, $h_1(1415)\eta$, and $h_1(1415)\eta'$ channels. We propose to examine whether the $X(2436)$ exists or not in the future Belle-II, BESIII, COMPASS, GlueX, J-PARC, and LHC experiments, since this state is demanded by the fully strange tetraquark picture. We also propose to examine the above decay channels and, especially, the ratios $R_{\phi(2170)}^{\eta/\eta'} \approx R_{\eta/\eta'}^{X(2436)} \approx 1.5$ can be useful in clarifying the nature of the $\phi(2170)$ and $X(2436)$ as the fully strange tetraquark states with the quantum number $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$.
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