Insights onto Hydrologic and Hydro-Chemical Processes of Riparian Groundwater Using Environmental Tracers in the Highly Disturbed Shaying River Basin, China
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Abstract: Understanding the hydrologic and hydrochemistry processes in the riparian area is of great importance for managing and protecting riparian water resources. This paper took a highly disturbed and polluted Shaying River Basin (SRB) of China as the study area. In this research, environmental tracers (hydrochemical and isotopic data of $^{222}$Rn, $\delta^{18}$O, and $\delta^{2}$H) and corresponding models (two-component mixing model and $^{222}$Rn mass balance model) were employed to investigate the hydrologic and associated hydro-chemical process of riparian groundwater. The results indicated that rivers received groundwater discharge located at Xihua (J8), Zhoukou (Y1), Luohe (S2), and Shenqiu (SY2), and the mixing extent with groundwater was greater in wet seasons than in dry seasons. The $^{222}$Rn mass balance model showed that the flux of river water leakage was $3.27 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^3$/s·m at the front of Zhoukou sluice while groundwater discharge was $3.50 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^3$/s·m at the front of Shenqiu sluice during the sampling period. The cation exchange and the dissolution/precipitation of aquifer minerals (including calcite, dolomite, gypsum, and halite) were dominated by geochemical processes. The untreated sewage discharge and fertilizer usage were the main anthropogenic activities affecting the hydrochemistry process in surface water and riparian groundwater. Additionally, our results found that nitrate pollutants derived by riparian groundwater were potential threats to river quality at the lower reaches of Jialu River and Shenqiu county of Shaying River, where the nitrate inputs could be larger during the wet seasons because of higher groundwater discharge.
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1. Introduction

Riparian zones are defined as transition areas between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems and are important for residents and for the industrial and agriculture sector. Surface water and groundwater in riparian zones are not completely independent but are interconnected components of the river basin system [1]. Various hydrologic and hydro-chemical (H-H) processes could take place simultaneously between the mixing of the two water bodies. Water quality and quantity in riparian zones are controlled not only by the hydrogeological settings but also by the human activities in the watershed. Over the past few decades, the increase of anthropogenic activities, including population growth,
rapid urbanization, intensive agriculture, and industrial activities have greatly threatened the quality
in surface water and riparian groundwater mainly due to increased nitrogen pollution \[2,3\]. Elevated
nitrate concentration in water bodies has not only caused severe harm to ecosystems (eutrophication and
toxic algal blooms), but also threaten residents’ health (methemoglobinemia and stomach cancer) \[4,5\].
Due to its stability, high solubility, and mobility \[6\], nitrate in surface water can be easily transferred
to the connected riparian groundwater, which causes groundwater pollution and vice versa. Thus,
the investigation of the hydrologic and hydro-chemical processes of riparian groundwater is vitally
essential for identifying nitrate pollutants pathways and for providing a scientific management strategy
for mitigating nitrogen pollution.

Environmental tracers are physical properties and chemical components of water including water
temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, chloride and other ions, stable isotopes, and radioisotopes \[7\].
The spatial and temporal differentiation of environmental tracers could infer information on
the pathways and dynamics of water and solutes movement through the environment \[8–13\].
The hydrochemistry has been found to change along the flow path due to water-rock interactions and
the mixing process with other water bodies. Radon (\(^{222}\text{Rn}, t_{1/2} = 3.84 \text{ days}\)) is a naturally radioactive
noble gas generated by radioactive decay of radium \(^{226}\text{Ra}\). The primary reservoir of radioactive radium
\(^{226}\text{Ra}\) is below-ground sedimentary rocks. Therefore, dissolved radon generally has a low activity
in surface water in that the solubility of \(^{222}\text{Ra}\) is low. Once groundwater flow into a river, the \(^{222}\text{Rn}\)
is also released into it and declined downstream due to radioactive decay and atmospheric losses. Previous research indicated that the radon activity in groundwater is often at least 2–3 orders of
magnitude higher than in surface waters \[14\]. Therefore, \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) has been commonly used to evaluate
the mixing process and quantify exchange flux between surface water and riparian groundwater \[8,11\].
Another isotope, \(^{18}\text{O}\) and \(^{2}\text{H}\), could only be altered by physical processes such as diffusion, mixing,
and evaporation under normal temperatures instead of a chemical reaction \[15,16\]. Hence, they were
also regarded as ideal natural tracers for understanding hydro-chemical evolution and mixing process
between the river and riparian groundwater. A combination of multi-environment tracers could
considerably reduce the individual tracer uncertainties and it has been strong and highly recommended
for insight into the hydrologic and hydro-chemical processes in recent studies \[17–19\].

The Huai River, located in Eastern China, is the third-longest river in China. In the last few decades,
urban, industrial, and agricultural activities have released a massive amount of organic and nitrogen
pollutants into the aquatic environment. As the largest sub-basin in the Huai River, the SRB is a typical
area with river and groundwater pollution, especially in terms of nitrogen pollution \[20–24\]. In order
to control the flooding and relieve the water shortages, a large amount of water conservancy projects
(e.g., dams and sluices) have been built \[25\] and were usually opened during wet seasons and closed
during dry seasons. The river stage and streamflow were altered seasonally by the joint regulation
of multiple dams and sluices, which led to considerable changes in the riparian hydrologic and
hydrochemistry processes (e.g., the patterns of groundwater and surface water (GW-SW) exchange and
nitrogen pathways). As a linear source of groundwater contamination, the nitrogen polluted river has
dramatically threatened the riparian groundwater quality \[26–28\]. Meanwhile, polluted groundwater
discharge was also one of the dominant sources of river nitrogen pollution \[29\]. Recently, there is
more research about nitrogen concentration, GW-SW interactions, and the impact of anthropogenic
and natural factors on surface water quality \[22,25,30–34\]. However, few studies have focused on the
hydrologic processes between riparian groundwater and river during various hydrological periods.
Processes controlling river and riparian groundwater chemistry were also ignored in previous studies.
To develop effective strategies for mitigating nitrogen pollution and to achieve the sustainability of
water resources in a connected fluvial and aquifer system, it is greatly important to correctly understand
the hydrologic and hydro-chemical processes in riparian groundwater.

This paper aims to define the hydrologic and hydro-chemical process of riparian groundwater
located in the highly disturbed SRB, China. Based on the field investigation carried out during dry and
wet seasons, the objectives are to integrate multi-environmental tracers (hydro-chemical parameters,
isotopes deuterium, oxygen-18 and $^{222}\text{Rn}$) to (i) identify locations where the river loses zones and gains zones, (ii) estimate mixing processes and quantify exchange fluxes between riparian groundwater and surface water, and (iii) determine the dominant geochemical processes and nitrate sources responsible for groundwater and surface water quality.

2. Study Area

As the largest sub-basin in Huai River Basin, China, the SRB is located between longitudes $112^\circ 45'$ and $113^\circ 15'$ E and latitudes $32^\circ 20'$ and $34^\circ 34'$ N. The river has a length of 626 km and the watershed occupies a total area of approximately 39,880 km$^2$ (Figure 1). It originates from Xinmi county in Henan province and is flowing through Zhengzhou city, Zhoukou city, Fuyang city, and then down into the Huai River mainstream near Mohekou in the Anhui Province. The main river is composed of the Jialu River, the Ying River, and the Sha River, which converge in Shaying River (at Zhoukou city in Henan province). The upstream is between the source and the Zhoukou hydrological station, while the midstream region is between the Zhoukou hydrological station and the Fuyang hydrological station. About 50 km downstream of the confluence, Huaidian sluice was built as one of the most important floodgates in the river in the 1970s. The terrain slopes from northwest to southeast [35]. The western part of the study area is mainly mountainous and hilly, whose altitude above mean sea level (amsl) varies greatly (around 600–1500 m). The east part is mostly plain (about 30–100 m amsl). Land use in SRB is classified into four major land types: urban, farmland, forest, and open water (Figure 2a). It is mostly agricultural land, which accounts for 61.92% of its land coverage (data of 2018, obtained from the Resources and Environmental Sciences Data Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences RESDC. Available online: http://www.resdc.cn/data.aspx (accessed on 1 May 2020).

Figure 1. The location, topography map, sampling sites, and hydrogeological map of the study area. (a) Shows the location and topography of the study area. (b) Hydrogeological map and sampling sites distribution.
SRB belongs to the continental monsoon climate, and there is a difference in precipitation between the mountainous region and the plain region, which shows the northwest as less (623–807 mm/year) and more in the southeast (807–970 mm/year) [36]. According to meteorological data (between 1951–2018) from the Zhengzhou weather station (Figure 2b), the average annual temperature varies from 14 °C to 17 °C while mean potential evaporation is around 1651.3 mm. The annual rainfall is 636.6 mm and the variation of annual rainfall is at a maximum value of 1040.7 mm in 1964 to a minimum value of 353.2 mm in 2013. The atmospheric precipitation accounts for about 65% of yearly rainfall from June to September. According to meteorological data from 1957 to 2018 from the Shenqiu weather station (Figure 2c), the average monthly temperature ranges from 13 °C to 17 °C. The mean annual potential evaporation is 1591.1 mm. The average annual precipitation is 794.6 mm, and the rainfall from June to September accounted for about 62% of the annual precipitation.

Outcropping strata are mainly bedrock of granite and granodiorite in the western mountainous area, and Quaternary (Q), including Holocene alluvium (Q_{h\text{al}}), Holocene aeolian-deposit (Q_{h\text{eol}}), Upper Pleistocene lacustrine-alluvium (Q_{p3\text{all}}), Upper Pleistocene alluvium-diluvium (Q_{p3\text{alp}}), Upper Pleistocene aeolian-deposit (Q_{p3\text{eol}}), Middle Pleistocene slope-diluvium (Q_{p2\text{pld}}), and Lower
Pleistocene alluvium (QP1s) are found from the piedmont area to the plain area. The tectonic structures of the study area developed several normal faults in northeast and northwest directions. The groundwater flows predominantly from the northwest to the southeast which flows from west to east in the south and west part of SRB. In consideration of stratigraphic structure, burial conditions, and hydrodynamic characteristics, the aquifer is divided into the shallow aquifer (<50 m) and deep aquifer (>50 m) in the SRB [37]. The shallow aquifer mainly consists of silty sand, fine sand, silt, and silty clay. It got recharged mainly from atmospheric precipitation, which is followed by river seepage, channel infiltration, mountainous lateral runoff, and irrigation return flows and discharge through evaporation, drainage to rivers, and human pumping. The deep aquifer is mainly made up of silt, silty clay, clay, silty sand, fine sand, and medium sand. The main recharge sources of the deep aquifer include lateral runoff and shallow groundwater overflow. The discharge is mainly through artificial exploitation (Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Hydrogeological cross section (A–A′) of the Shaying River Basin.](image)

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Field Sampling and Sample Preparation

Samples of surface water and riparian groundwater (within 2 km from the river) were collected along the mainstream of the Shaying River and its major tributaries Jialu River, Shahe River, and Yinghe River during two sampling campaigns. The field investigations were mainly concentrated on the severely contaminated Jialu River and Shaying River (between Zhoukou sluice and Shenqiu sluice). The first campaign was conducted from 15 to 21 April 2019 during the dry season, including 31 groundwater samples, 16 river water, and 3 lake samples. The second campaign was conducted from 7 to 13 August 2019 during the wet season including 30 groundwater, 17 river water, and 4 lake samples. The sampling sites are shown in Figure 1. Y3 was around 2 km at the front of Zhoukou Sluice, while SY2 was around 3 km at the front of Huaidian Sluice. River and lake samples were collected using an acrylic water sampler at a depth of around 10–15 cm below the surface of water. Riparian groundwater samples were selected from the existing village-supply wells with the depth about 6–50 m in the Quaternary aquifer, which took at least five times the volume of stagnant water in the well pumped out.

The water samples for hydro-chemical analysis were filtered in the field using 0.45-μm cellulose acetate filter membrane and stored in the 100-mL polyethylene bottles. Samples for total nitrogen (TN) and chemical oxygen demand (CODcr) were acidified to pH < 2 by sulfuric acid (20% H₂SO₄) in situ.
and sealed in 100-mL brown glass bottles, and then stored to avoid light. Samples for $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H measurements were collected in 50-mL glass bottles using gas-tight caps. Samples for $^{222}$Rn were stored in 100-mL brown glass bottles. All samples were pre-rinsed with sample water three times before the final water sample was acquired and sealed with adhesive tape until analysis. Details of sampling data and other sampling descriptions were provided in Supplementary Materials Tables S1 and S2.

3.2. In-Situ Measurements and Lab Analysis

Water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), and electrical conductivity (EC) oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) were measured by portable multi-parameter devices (Hach HQ40d, Hanna combo, Hach company, Ames, IA, USA) in situ at the time of sample collection. The field water quality parameters were monitored until these values were stabilized. The water quality was measured at the Center for Physical and Chemical Analysis of Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IGSNRR, CAS). The hydro-chemical compositions were analyzed for cations (Na$^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Sr$^{2+}$) by an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, Perkin-Elmer Optima 5300DV, Waltham, MA, USA) and for anions (F$^-$, Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and NO$_3^-$) by an ion chromatography system (ICS-3000, Dionex, Waltham, MA, USA). The alkalinity (HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$) of water samples was determined by titration with H$_2$SO$_4$ (0.22N) on the day of sample collection, phenolphthalein, and methyl orange as an indicator. The errors of charge balance were evaluated for the reliability of major cations and anions data. The calculated results of the charge balance errors showed that the deviation between the sum of concentrations of cations and anions was less than $\pm 10\%$, which can be accepted in this study. Dissolved oxygen carbon (DOC) was measured by Elementar liquid TOC, Germany. The concentration level of chemical oxygen demand (CODcr) was determined using DR/5000 UV-vis HACH spectrophotometer (Hach company, Ames, IA, USA), by Reactor Digestion Method of Test N Tube vials$^{TM}$ (Method 8000). Detection limits were 3 mg/L for CODcr. Inorganic nitrogen concentration (NO$_2$-N and NH$_3$-N) was measured using a Hach DR/890 colorimeter in situ (Diazoization Method 636-2012), by Bran Luebbe AutoAnalyzer 3, German. The difference between TN and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) (the sum of NO$_2$-N, NH$_3$-N, and NO$_3$-N) was used to determine dissolved organic nitrogen (DON).

Stable isotopes ($^{18}$O and $^2$H or D) of the water samples were measured with a laser spectrometry DLT-100 Liquid-Water isotope analyzer, which is an automated injection designed by Los Gatos Research (LGR-DLT100, San Jose, CA, USA). Results were expressed relative to the international standards (V-SMOW for $^{18}$O and $^2$H) expressed as a percentage. Each sample was tested at least six times and the reported value was the mean of six consistent results. The analytical uncertainties were $\pm 0.1\%$o $^{18}$O and $\pm 1\%o$ $^2$H. The analysis of $^{222}$Rn was performed using portable radon instrumentation (AlphaGUARD DF 2000, Germany) via $\alpha$-decay accounts with a measurement range of 0.002–2000 Bq/L, and measurement precision of $\pm 3\%o$. $^{222}$Rn was measured in situ or within three hours (after sampling) to minimize the risk of losing radon from radioactive decay. Water $^{222}$Rn activities after measuring ($A$) need to be corrected to the activities at the time of sampling ($A_0$).

$$A_0 = \frac{A}{e^{-\lambda t}}$$

(A$ _0$, $^{222}$Rn activities at sampling, $A$, $^{222}$Rn activities at measuring, $\lambda$, $^{222}$Rn radioactive decay coefficient, and $t$ is the time between sampling and measuring.

The measurements of river width, depth, flow velocity, and discharge in a river cross-section were conducted by the acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP, RiverSurveyor S5, Sontek company, San Diego, CA, USA) from a moving boat located at the front of 2–3 km Zhoukou sluice and Shenqiu sluice.
3.3. Methods

3.3.1. Two Component Mixing Model

According to the mass conservation theory, a two component mixing model was used to estimate the fraction of groundwater discharged to the river \( f \) [38–43], defined as:

\[
f = \delta_g = \frac{C_D - C_U}{C_g - C_U} \times 100\%
\]  

(2)

where \( C_U \), \( C_D \), and \( C_g \) are the river concentrations in upstream, river concentration in downstream, and concentration of groundwater inflow (riparian aquifer). Equation (1) is determined under the following condition: (i) two end-members consist of groundwater and surface water not including other sources of water bodies, (ii) \( C_D \) lies within the range delimited by the end-member \( C_U \) and \( C_D \), and (iii) the changes in radon activities are influenced only by infiltration of surface water/groundwater, and evaporation, gas exchange, radioactive decay, and hyporheic exchange terms to be neglected.

3.3.2. \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) Mass-Balance Model

Based on the mass conservation theory, the \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) mass balance model was established to describe the exchange flux between river and groundwater in the front of Zhoukou sluice and Huaidian sluice [44]. The model has taken into account the gas exchange and radioactive decay of \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) in both surface water and groundwater.

For the section of surface water, recharge groundwater is: \( Rn_D < Rn_U \) and \( Q_d < Q_u \)

\[
Rn_d \cdot Q_d = \int_0^L q_{gw} \cdot Rn_{gw} \cdot \exp(-\alpha \cdot x) dx + Rn_u \cdot \exp(-\alpha \cdot L) \cdot Q_u
\]  

(3)

For the section of groundwater discharge into the surface water \( Rn_d > Rn_U \) and \( Q_d > Q_u \), the following is true.

\[
Rn_d \cdot Q_d = Rn_u \cdot \exp(-\alpha \cdot L) \cdot Q_u - \int_0^L q_r \cdot Rn_{gw} \cdot \exp(-\alpha \cdot (L - x)) dx
\]  

(4)

where \( Rn_u \) and \( Rn_d \) are the \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) activities (Bq/m³) of surface water at the upstream and downstream monitoring sites. \( Rn_{gw} \) is the average \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) activity of riverside groundwater between the upstream and downstream monitoring sites. \( Q_i \) and \( Q_d \) are upstream and downstream river discharges (m³/s). \( h \) is the average depth (m) of surface water between the two cross-sections. \( v \) is the average velocity (m/s) of surface water between the two cross-sections. \( L \) is the distance (m) between the two cross-sections. \( \lambda \) is \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) radioactive decay coefficient \( (\lambda = 2.08 \times 10^{-6}\text{s}^{-1}) \). \( D \) is the molecular diffusion coefficient for \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) gas (cm²/s) \( (-\log D = \frac{980}{T_{air} + 23.15} + 1.59) \), and \( T_{air} \) is the air temperature (°C). \( \alpha \) is a total loss coefficient including radioactive decay and gas exchange \( (\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{v} + \frac{0.9}{\sqrt{h \cdot T_{air}}} + 1.59) \) [45–47].

4. Results

4.1. Seasonal-Temporal Variations of Hydro-Chemical Characteristics

4.1.1. Chemical Composition

The statistical summary of hydro-chemical parameters was shown in Figure 4. pH value is an essential parameter reflecting the characteristics of hydro-chemical balance in water [48]. Overall, pH measured in surface water varied from 8.15 to 9.34 in the dry season and 7.63–9.65 in the wet season 2019, which indicates an alkaline condition. The riparian groundwater showed a weak acidity nature to a slightly alkaline nature with pH ranging from 6.97 to 8.01 for the dry season and 6.69–7.94 for the wet season with mean pH values of 7.39 and 7.13, respectively. The causes of relatively high pH values
in the surface water is that eutrophication leads to algal blooms, which results in a rise in the dissolved oxygen and high consumption of inorganic carbon.

The temperature (T) in surface water ranged between 17 and 26.6 °C (21.2 °C on average) during the dry season and between 29.4 and 33.1 °C (31.3 °C on average) during the wet season. No significant variation of temperature was observed in riparian groundwater between the two seasons. Dissolved oxygen (DO) measured in the surface water during the dry and wet season varied, which ranged from 8.25 to 19.66 mg/L and from 4.41 to 17.2 mg/L, and mean concentration was 12.84 and 8.64 mg/L, respectively. While the DO concentration of groundwater has a variation coefficient ranging from 1.28 to 9.33 mg/L and 1.08 to 8.13 mg/L for the dry and wet season, respectively. Hence, the riparian groundwater was mostly under an an-oxidized environment, and both surface water and groundwater

**Figure 4.** The difference of the indicators in the surface water and groundwater between the dry season and the wet season (a–l).

The temperature (T) in surface water ranged between 17 and 26.6 °C (21.2 °C on average) during the dry season and between 29.4 and 33.1 °C (31.3 °C on average) during the wet season. No significant variation of temperature was observed in riparian groundwater between the two seasons. Dissolved oxygen (DO) measured in the surface water during the dry and wet season varied, which ranged from 8.25 to 19.66 mg/L and from 4.41 to 17.2 mg/L, and mean concentration was 12.84 and 8.64 mg/L, respectively. While the DO concentration of groundwater has a variation coefficient ranging from 1.28 to 9.33 mg/L and 1.08 to 8.13 mg/L for the dry and wet season, respectively. Hence, the riparian groundwater was mostly under an an-oxidized environment, and both surface water and groundwater
had a more an-oxidized environment in the dry season than during the wet season. Furthermore, the oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) has the same trend, which also conforms to this feature.

There was an apparent correlation between electrical conductivity (EC) and calculated total dissolved solid (TDS) \((R^2 = 0.98, p < 0.001)\), which implies that the parameters measure in situ and are lab matched very well. EC in surface water values for the dry season varied from 387 to 1040 \(\mu S/cm\) with an average of 827 \(\mu S/cm\) and characterized by lower values ranging from 241 to 871 \(\mu S/cm\) with an average of 618.5 \(\mu S/cm\) during the wet season. EC could reflect the pollutant migration process in the river channel, according to the EC variation shown in Figure 5. The lowest EC value occurred in the Jiangang Reservoir (J1) for both seasons (387 \(\mu S/cm\) during the dry season, 241 \(\mu S/cm\) during the wet season). Moreover, EC concentration in the Suoxu River and the upper Jialu River (T1, T2, J1 and J2) were relatively low and increased along the river channel due to the amount of domestic sewage discharge into surface water, which reached up to 765 and 1040 \(\mu S/cm\) in Zhengzhou city (location J3). The concentrations of anions and cations are also higher in the dry season than the wet season (Figure 4). This is likely due to ion concentration dilution by heavy precipitation in August. Similarly, EC in groundwater displayed an identical trend that varied from 539–3680 \(\mu S/cm\) in April and 510–2850 \(\mu S/cm\) in August. The groundwater observed in Xihua and Shenqiu county (J8-4 and SY2-1) has a high EC level (3680 and 3270 \(\mu S/cm\), respectively), where both \(\text{Cl}^-\) and \(\text{NO}_3^-\) are also very high and reach 610 and 99 mg/L in Xihua county and 506 and 146 mg/L in Shenqiu county, respectively. The extreme values could result from the anthropic origin such as domestic sewage discharge or the usage of ammonium chloride fertilizer in the nearby cultivated area [49,50].

Depending on hydro-chemical data, water samples were plotted on Triangular diagrams (Figure 6) [51,52]. For surface water, the distribution of cations and anions are relatively concentrated and the dominant type of cation is \(\text{Na}-\text{Ca}\), which takes approximately up to 66% of samples \((n = 41)\). In contrast, the anion type is \(\text{HCO}_3^-\)–\(\text{Cl}-\text{SO}_4\) and \(\text{HCO}_3^-\)–\(\text{Cl}\), which accounts for 32% and 17%, respectively. For riparian groundwater, the cation type is dominated by \(\text{Ca}\) and \(\text{Ca-Mg}\) type, which takes up 25% and 26%, respectively. The anion type is predominated by the \(\text{HCO}_3\) and \(\text{HCO}_3^-\)–\(\text{Cl}\) type, which accounted

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** The distance from SY2 (Shenqiu county) profiles for electrical conductivity in the Jialu River.
When taking into account the salinity, the water samples were plotted on Total Ionic Salinity (TIS) (Figure 7a). For surface water (river and lake samples), their majority present a TIS between 0–40 meq/L. For groundwater, a TIS between 20–80 meq/L is observed. The groundwater in Shenqiu (SY2-2), Xihua (J8-1, J8-2, and J8-4) and Fugou (J7-1 and J7-2) have relatively higher TIS, above 40 meq/L, whereas most other samples have much lower TIS (<40 meq/L).

**Figure 6.** Triangular diagrams of (a) major cations and (b) major anions of water samples in the study area.

**Figure 7.** (a) Total Ionic Salinity (TIS) diagram. (b) Box-plot for saturation indices.

Phreeqc software [53] was used to assess the saturation indices for the groundwater samples. The results displayed by box-plot (Figure 7b). The values of $SI_{calcite}$ and $SI_{dolomite}$ with the uncertainty of ±0.5 and ±0.1 units, respectively, were above zero. In contrast, others ($SI_{gypsum}$, $SI_{halite}$, $SI_{celesite}$, and $SI_{strontianite}$) were negative, among which the values of $SI_{halite}$ was far below zero. It confirms that most water samples were oversaturated or close to equilibrium with respect to calcite and dolomite in contrast to gypsum, halite, celestite, and strontianite that did not reach equilibrium and still can be dissolved into water. Additionally, there were insufficient gypsum, halite, celestite, and strontianite mineral sources in this study area, which results in limited interactions between groundwater and these minerals.
4.1.2. Nitrogen Species

The water quality and nitrogen concentration in the surface water and riparian groundwater samples in the study area were summarized in Figure 4. TN is the sum of DIN (NO₂-N, NH₃-N, and NO₃-N) and DON.

The concentration of TN, NO₃-N NH₃-N, and NO₂-N for surface water samples ranged from 0.63 to 10.16 mg/L, 0.41 to 7.31 mg/L, 0 to 4.00 mg/L, and 0.001–0.310 mg/L and averaged 5.02, 3.44, 0.441, and 0.09 mg/L, respectively, in the dry season while from 0.9 to 11.96 mg/L, 0 to 8.21 mg/L, 0 to 9.00 mg/L, and 0–0.375 mg/L and averaged 3.76, 1.92, 0.649, and 0.108 mg/L, respectively, during the wet season. No significant difference of NH₃-N and NO₂-N was detected between the two seasons (Paired t-test, N = 19, p > 0.05, Figure 4). TN and NO₃-N concentrations in surface water were found to be lower during the wet season than during the dry season, which might be due to the effect of rainfall dilution and high microbial activity. Moreover, the NH₃-N concentration exceeded the maximum contaminant levels (0.16 mg/L) of World Health Organization (WHO) in 39% of the surface water samples. The CODcr concentration in this study during the dry season in surface water (N = 19, 22 ± 8 mg/L) and during the wet season in surface water (N = 22, 24 ± 17 mg/L) is used as a proxy indicator of organic pollutants in the water environment. The CODcr concentration in more than 44% of surface water samples was well above 20 mg/L, which is the limit set for class III under the Chinese Surface Water Quality Standard (GB3838-2002).

The spatial distribution of nitrogen species in the surface water of SRB was shown in Figure 8. The concentrations of TN, NO₃-N NH₃-N, and NO₂-N in the Jialu River were 5.40 ± 4.76 mg/L, 3.86 ± 3.45 mg/L, 2.00 ± 2.00 mg/L, and 0.156 ± 0.155 mg/L, respectively, in the dry season, whereas they were 6.43 ± 5.52 mg/L, 4.20 ± 4.02 mg/L, 4.51 ± 4.50 mg/L, and 0.189 ± 0.186 mg/L, respectively, in the wet season. The nitrogen pollution in the Sha River and the Ying River were light, where the NH₃-N concentration was well below the maximum contaminant levels (MCL) of WHO (0.16 mg/L). The degree of nitrogen species’ pollution was higher in the Shaying River than in the Sha and Ying River. Therefore, the Jialu River, with the highest level of nitrogen in both seasons, should be the main nitrogen contributor to the mainstream of the Shaying River due to the low level of TN in other tributaries (the Sha River and the Ying River). As for the serious nitrogen pollution river, the TN level exceeded the limit set for class V under the Chinese Surface Water Quality Standard (GB3838-2002), 2 mg/L suitable for use as a water supply in the agricultural area, and general landscape except for the upper stream (J1 and J2). The Suoxu River, with the highest level of ammonium nitrogen (T3: 4 mg/L and 9 mg/L, respectively), should be the main ammonium contributor to the mainstream of the Jialu River due to the low level of ammonium in the upper stream of the Jialu River before meeting the Suoxu River (J1 and J2). Lastly, unlike in the other rivers, NH₃-N was found to be a high proportion of TN in Suoxu River (T1 and T3) compared to NO₂-N.

For riparian groundwater samples, the concentration of TN, NO₃-N, NH₃-N, and NO₂-N ranged from 0.24 to 164.26 mg/L, 0.23 to 148.64 mg/L, 0 to 0.20 mg/L, and 0–0.21 mg/L and averaged 18.80, 17.96, 0.057, and 0.031 mg/L, respectively, during the dry season while, from 0.37 to 133.07 mg/L, 0 to 133.05 mg/L, 0 to 0.11 mg/L, and 0–0.206 mg/L and averaged 21.95, 21.64, 0.03, and 0.041 mg/L, respectively, during the wet season. TN, NO₃-N, and NO₂-N were not significantly different between seasons (Paired t-test, N = 30, p > 0.05). NO₂-N was easily oxidized to NO₃-N due to the fast conversion by nitrobacteria, which leaves low NO₂-N concentrations in both surface water and groundwater during the two seasons. Based on the observation, NH₃-N and NO₂-N concentrations were much lower than the NO₃-N concentrations. Hence, NO₃-N was the dominant inorganic N species in groundwater in the SRB. The CODcr concentration in this study during the dry season in groundwater (N = 31, 9 ± 9 mg/L) and wet season in groundwater (N = 30, 20 ± 20 mg/L). Meanwhile, the level of CODcr in groundwater was significantly different between seasons (Paired t-test, t(30) = −3.09, p = 0.004). The CODcr concentration in groundwater during the wet season (mean 11.87 mg/L) was higher than those during the dry season (mean 5.68 mg/L). Overall, the degree of NH₃-N pollution was lower in groundwater (only one sample exceeded 0.16 mg/L) than in surface water (39% of the total samples
(N = 41). However, the degree of NO$_3$-N pollution was found to be higher in riparian groundwater than in surface water, which exceeds the acceptable limits for drinking water recommended (10 mg/L) by WHO [54], which accounted for 40% of the total samples (N = 61). Areas with higher concentrations (above 10 mg/L) in riparian groundwater were mainly distributed at the lower reaches of Jialu River and Shenqiu county of Shaying River.
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**Figure 8.** Variations of TN, NO$_2$-N, NH$_3$-N, NO$_3$-N, CODcr, and DOC concentration of surface water.

(a) Wet season. (b) Dry season.

### 4.2. Seasonal-Temporal Variations of Isotopic Characteristics

#### 4.2.1. $^2$H and $^{18}$O

The stable isotopes of oxygen-$^{18}$($^{18}$O) and deuterium ($^2$H or D) are ideal conservative tracers for determining the origin of groundwater by investigating the water circulation and movement, revealing the relationship between local elevation and stable isotopes, and understanding the groundwater and surface water interaction [12,55–60]. The local meteoric water line (LMWL) was given by $\delta{\text{D}} = 6.75 \times \delta^{18}\text{O}-2.71$ ($r^2 = 0.88, n = 57$) based on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta$D values of rainfall from 1985 to 1992 located in Zhengzhou (34°72’ N, 113°65’ E) [61]. Since the slope of LWML was lower than the global meteoric water line, the process of sub-cloud evaporation should also have an impact on precipitation [62]. The isotopic data ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta$D) of the samples collected from surface water (river and lake) and groundwater were presented in relation to the LMWL in Figure 9, which indicates meteoric origin. The linear regression line of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta$D for water samples was described by $\delta{\text{D}} = 5.84\delta^{18}\text{O}–10.02(R^2 = 0.95)$ during the dry season and by $\delta{\text{D}} = 5.36\delta^{18}\text{O}–13.20(R^2 = 0.97)$ during the wet season.

In the study area, the surface water samples presented an isotopic composition ranging from 64.9 to $-37.1_{\%}$ (average $-49.7_{\%}$) for $\delta$D and from $-8.58$ to $-4.94_{\%}$ (average $-6.65_{\%}$) for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ during the dry season while from $-58.5$ to $-29.2_{\%}$ (average $-46.5_{\%}$) for $\delta$D and from $-8.76$ to $-2.37_{\%}$ (average $-6.23_{\%}$) for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ during the wet season. Moreover, the isotopic values of riparian groundwater samples ranged from $-69.8_{\%}$ to $-44.9_{\%}$ (mean $-56.7_{\%}$) for $\delta$D, and from $-9.88_{\%}$ to $-5.79_{\%}$ (mean $-8.1_{\%}$) for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the dry season while from $-70.9_{\%}$ to $-47.6_{\%}$ (mean $-56.6_{\%}$) for $\delta$D, and from $-9.83_{\%}$ to $-6.29_{\%}$ (mean $-8.09_{\%}$) for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the wet season. The value of $\delta$D...
and δ18O were not significantly different for both groundwater and surface water between seasons (Paired t-test, N = 19, p > 0.05).

Figure 9. Plot of δ18O and δD of various water samples from Shaying River Basin in the dry season (a) and wet season (b).

Figure 9 showed that different types of waters showed distinct isotopic compositions. In general, (i) riparian groundwater samples scattered with a large scale along the global meteoric water line (GMWL) and local meteoric water line (LMWL), which were relatively depleted in isotopes compared to surface water. This could be attributed to different recharge conditions. The groundwater samples J4-1 and J9-1 were both from deep wells (well depth > 50 m) and showed very depleted isotopic composition, which revealed little or no evaporative enrichments. Sample J4-1 was characterized by an 18O-shift-like change due to water-rock interactions with deep circulation. (ii) The surface water samples were plotted in two centralized locations, the enriched location for the Sha River and Ying River, and the depleted location for the Jialu River. The difference of isotopic compositions between these two locations could result from the different recharge sources with different altitudes and/or recharge temperatures.

The surface water samples obtained in the Jialu River presented a wide range of stable isotopic signatures. The samples of lake and river water had different features of δD and δ18O compositions. Figure 10 showed that heavier isotopes were enriched in the lake (average −48.00‰ for δD, −6.40‰ for δ18O in the dry season. Average −44.48‰ for δD, −5.90‰ for δ18O in the dry season) than river samples (average −58.87‰ for δD, −7.98‰ for δ18O in the wet season, average −57.32‰ for δD, −8.31‰ for δ18O in the wet season) because of intensive evaporation. The river samples showed a generally increasing trend in the dry season, which indicates an evaporation effect [39,40] along the river stretch from Zhengzhou city. Along the upper reach of the Jialu River, surface water presented a distinct declining trend with more depleted isotopes from −43‰ to −64‰ for δD and −5% to −8% for δ18O, respectively, as chloride content, nitrogen pollution (Figure 8), and EC increase (Figure 5). This suggests that the values of stable isotopes were affected by external water recharge. The mixing process from a majority of industrial and domestic wastewater appeared to be the external recharge, which had high nitrate and chloride content and more depleted isotopes.

Samples of river and groundwater have distinct compositions of δD and δ18O along the Sha River, Ying River, and Shaying River. The river water was with more enriched heavy isotopes than groundwater (Figure 9). Moreover, Figure 10 showed that a drop of river isotopic values from sample S2, Y1, and SY2 could be attributed to the mixing with groundwater discharge featured by more negative isotopic values.
The groundwater \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activities observed were substantially higher than in surface water samples (around 1–2 orders of magnitude) and they ranged around 1404–30358 Bq/m³ for the wet season and 970–33,869 Bq/m³ for the dry season 2019 with an average of 904 (\(n = 22\)) Bq/m³, respectively. The groundwater \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activities along the Sha River, Ying River, and Shaying River (Figure 11). Moreover, Figure 10 showed that a drop of river isotopic values from sample SY2 (Shenqiu county) profiles for chloride, stable isotopes \(\delta D\), and \(\delta^{18}O\) in the (a) Jialu River in the dry season, (b) Jialu River in the wet season, (c) Shaying River in the dry season, and (d) Shaying River in the wet season.

4.2.2. \(^{222}\text{Rn}\)

Compared with the isotopes of oxygen--18(\(^{18}\text{O}\)) and deuterium (\(^2\text{H}\) or D) (relatively stable), the radon activity changed dramatically in surface water and groundwater, which revealed the existence of complex hydrologic process [13]. In the SRB, the \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activity values of surface water showed a very large spatial variability, which ranged from 57–3513 for the dry season and 57–12651 Bq/m³ for the wet season 2019 with an average of 904 (\(n = 19\)) and 2755 (\(n = 22\)) Bq/m³, respectively. The groundwater \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activities observed were substantially higher than in surface water samples (around 1–2 orders of magnitude) and they ranged around 1404–30358 Bq/m³ for the wet season and 970–33,869 Bq/m³ for the dry season 2019 with an average 12201 (\(n = 31\)) and 13835 (\(n = 31\)) Bq/m³, respectively. The distribution of the \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activity in surface water and associated groundwater were shown along the Jialu River, Sha River, Ying River, and Shaying River (Figure 11).

Radon activity in the Jialu River range from 57 to 2909 Bq/m³ with an average of 612 Bq/m³ (\(n = 11\)) in April and range from 57 to 5610 Bq/m³ with an average of 940 Bq/m³ (\(n = 12\)) in August 2019. The minimum \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activity was observed at Hewang Reservoir (T2) in April as well as August in Suoxu River, which is a main tributary of the Jialu River. In general, \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activity of surface water was less than 2000 Bq/m³ except for J8 at the Jialu Bridge of Xihua county. The highest activities occurred in both seasons, where \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activities reached 2909 in April and increased to 5610 Bq/m³ in August 2019. Normally, there is no extra recharge of \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) or \(^{226}\text{Ra}\) in the natural river except from groundwater or lithology of geological layers. Therefore, changing \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activities at the same locations over the seasons indicate groundwater flux variations. Groundwater \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activities along the Jialu River ranged from 1405 to 19,617 Bq/m³ with a mean (\(n = 13\)) of 8427 Bq/m³ in April and from 970 to 23,681 Bq/m³ with a mean (\(n = 12\)) of 8682 Bq/m³ in August 2019. Overall, groundwater \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activities showed a decreasing trend from upstream to Weishi county (J6) along the flow path. The maximum \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) concentration was found in sample J7-2 for both seasons, which indicates that \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activity might have different sources that had a high level of \(^{222}\text{Rn}\) activity. Groundwater sample...
(J8-4), neighboring Jialu Bridge of Xihua county (J8), had the $^{222}$Rn activities 4162 in April and 970 in August 2019. Infiltration irrigation water might have caused groundwater dilution and led to a decrease in $^{222}$Rn activity.
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**Figure 11.** The distance from SY2 (Shenqiu county) profiles for $^{222}$Rn activities in the (a) Jialu River in the dry season, (b) Jialu River in the wet season, (c) Shaying River in the dry season, and (d) Shaying River in the wet season.

Activities of $^{222}$Rn in the Sha River was generally higher than in Jialu River, and the variation range was 460~3022 Bq/m$^3$ with a mean of 1418 Bq/m$^3$ ($n = 4$) in April and 2040~11,228 Bq/m$^3$ with a mean of 4882 Bq/m$^3$ ($n = 4$) in August. From the sampling point L1 and S1 to S2, radon activity increases rapidly from 460 to 3022 Bq/m$^3$ in April and from 2503 to 11,228 Bq/m$^3$ in August, respectively. High $^{222}$Rn activities are associated with groundwater discharge or bank return flow to the river. It can be inferred that there was groundwater inflow with high radon activity near S2. For groundwater, the $^{222}$Rn activity trends were very similar to surface water. It dropped from 30,580 to 2998 Bq/m$^3$ and from 28,040 to 4348 Bq/m$^3$, respectively, along the flow path between S2 and S3, which might be resulted from the distinct $^{226}$Ra contents in aquifer materials in different locations along the groundwater flow path. Along the Ying River, the $^{222}$Rn activity decreased rapidly from 10,989 to 505 Bq/m$^3$ in August. This phenomenon could be attributed to $^{222}$Rn loss from the radioactive decay and gas exchange. The high $^{222}$Rn activity at Y1 indicated extra recharge of $^{222}$Rn had joined into the river in this zone. For groundwater, the minimum $^{222}$Rn activity in both seasons was simultaneously found in sample Y2-1, 2593 in April, and 7703 Bq/m$^3$ in August 2019, respectively, which suggests that groundwater at Y2-1 received adjacent river (Y2) recharge as one of its primary origins in April. Along the Shaying River, $^{222}$Rn activity gradually increased from 248 to 3513 Bq/m$^3$ in April and from 666 to 12,651 Bq/m$^3$ in August, respectively, along the flow path, which suggests that surface water (S2) received the groundwater discharge and groundwater inflow flux varied over two seasons.
5. Discussion

5.1. Hydrologic Process of Riparian Groundwater

In this study, environmental tracers (including hydro-chemical parameter, stable isotopes $^{18}$O and $^2$H, and the dissolved gasses $^{222}$Rn) were used to recognize river losing zones and river gaining zones in SRB. According to the above discussions, there were two river losing zones (zones that groundwater received river recharge) that were recognized. One was along the upstream of the Jialu River. The $^{222}$Rn activity was relatively low in the upper and middle catchments (from T1 to J6, Zhengzhou and Kaifeng city) of river and adjacent aquifer. Meanwhile, groundwater with low EC (less than 1500 µS/cm) was also mainly concentrated in this area (Figure 5), which indicates that riparian groundwater receives surface water recharge during the sampling period. Our findings were in agreement with the previous research from Reference [63] that the river stage was higher than the groundwater table in 2015 due to groundwater over-exploration in rapid urbanization. The other one was along the downstream of the Ying River (Y2-Y4). First, the $^{222}$Rn activity in riparian groundwater (Y2-1) sharply decreased to 2953 Bq/m$^3$ in April, which could be due to mixing with river water of low $^{222}$Rn activity. Second, the stable isotopic composition in groundwater became more enriched and whose values closed to the rivers (Figure 9), which suggests groundwater mixing with the river. Furthermore, the hydro-chemical parameters also showed likely changes that might be affected by this kind of interaction. The hydro-chemical type of groundwater changed from the HCO$_3$-Ca-Mg types to the HCO$_3$-Cl-Na-Ca types, which showed an increase of Cl$^-$ and Na$^+$ proportions that might be due to mixing with the Cl-SO$_4$-HCO$_3$-Na-Ca type of river. $^{222}$Rn activity used to locate river gaining zones (zones that the river gained water from groundwater discharge), as it rapidly removed in the surface water by radioactive decay and degassing. The calculated mixing ratios of surface water and groundwater at two sampling times were presented in Table 1. Along the Jialu River, the highest river $^{222}$Rn activities observed in the lower reaches at Xihua county (J8), suggested the river receives groundwater inflows and the fraction of groundwater was approximately 15.37% in April and 23.23% in August 2019. Along the Ying River, river samples (Y1) were recharged by groundwater as shown by the measurements of $^{222}$Rn, $^2$D, and $^{18}$O. The fraction of groundwater was high (72.14–94.26%), which showed a significant amount of groundwater discharge into the river during the wet season. Along the Sha River, there is groundwater inflow with high radon activity near luohe city (S2), which corresponded to elevated EC and more depleted $^2$D. The fraction of groundwater was around 4.58–8.37% in April and 30.77–32.51% in August 2019. Along the Shaying River, the substantial elevated $^{222}$Rn activity at Shenqiu county (SY2) corresponded to higher EC (Figure 5) and more depleted $^2$D and $^{18}$O (Figure 10c,d) was likely to be associated with groundwater influx. The fraction of groundwater contribution was calculated using stable conservative tracer EC, isotope $^{222}$Rn, $^2$D, and $^{18}$O and the results suggested that the river was composed of approximately 26.02–72.00% groundwater in April and 31.18–65.36% in August 2019. The higher assessment was likely to be associated with minimal differences of $^2$D and $^{18}$O concentration values observed between riparian groundwater and the river.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Site</th>
<th>Dry Season</th>
<th>Wet Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$f_{222}$</td>
<td>$f_{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J8</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY2</td>
<td>26.02</td>
<td>72.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$f_{222}$, $f_{18}$, $f_D$, and $f_{EC}$ indicate the mixing proportions of riparian groundwater at each site, calculated by $^{222}$Rn, $^{18}$O, $^2$H, and EC concentrations, respectively.
Combined with the field measurement data for river sections in November 2019, the radon mass balance model has been used to quantify the hydraulic exchange between surface water and riparian groundwater. According to the previous research, river flow and radon activity in both river and groundwater are relatively significant sensitive parameters, which cause large relative errors in this method [13,44,64]. Hence, in order to obtain accurate data and ensure credible results, each river cross-section was measured four times by an acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP, RiverSurveyor S5, Sontek company, San Diego, CA, USA). The parameters of river width ($w$), depth ($h$), flow velocity ($v$), and discharge ($Q_u$ and $Q_d$) we used in the model were average values of field measurement data (Table 2). Meanwhile, the end member of $^{222}$Rn activity in groundwater was considered as the mean value of four riverside wells. At the front of Zhoukou sluice, both radon activity and river discharge of the upstream site were higher than that of the downstream site. Therefore, surface water recharge groundwater plays an essential role in this river reach. By substituting the parameter into Equation (3), the calculated results show that the flux of river recharge is $3.27 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^3$/s/m. At the front of Shenqiu sluice, both radon activity and river discharge of the upstream site were lower than that of the downstream site. Hence, the river reach was dominated by groundwater discharge. By substituting the parameter into Equation (4), the calculated results show that the flux of groundwater discharge is $3.50 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^3$/s/m.

Table 2. The parameters of the estimated sections in the November 2019 sampling period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Zhoukou Sluice (Y2)</th>
<th>Huaidian Sluice (SY2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Rn_u$ (Bq/m$^3$)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Rn_d$ (Bq/m$^3$)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Rn_{gw}$ (Bq/m$^3$)</td>
<td>16,856</td>
<td>23,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_u$ (m$^3$/s)</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>11.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_d$ (m$^3$/s)</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>13.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$ (m)</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{air}$ ($^\circ$C)</td>
<td>24.80</td>
<td>24.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ (cm$^2$/s)</td>
<td>$1.32 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1.31 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$ (m/s)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$ (m)</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$ (m)</td>
<td>102.27</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Hydro-Chemical Process of Riparian Groundwater

5.2.1. Natural Factors

Saturation index (SI) is beneficial to evaluate the equilibrium between water and minerals and illustrate the water-rock interaction [65]. The saturation state is calculated by a comparison of the activities in water samples (ion activity product) and activities at equilibrium (solubility product), which facilitates in assessing the evolution stage and identifies the controlling geochemical reactions [53]. The results indicated that the dissolution of carbonate minerals (calcite and dolomite) was the main factor controlling the chemical composition. Ionic ratios play an important role in revealing geochemical processes and controlling the changes in water quality. If the geochemical process was controlled by carbonate and gypsum, the relationship between ($Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$) concentrations versus ($HCO_3^- + SO_4^{2-}$) concentrations is 1:1 theory [66]. Figure 12a showed that samples were on the verge of 1:1 line, which indicates $Ca^{2+}$ and $Mg^{2+}$ were not only mainly from carbonate but gypsum dissolution. The groundwater samples were characterized by simultaneous equilibrium with carbonate minerals. Whether the dolomite or calcite dissolution dominated the geochemical process needs to be determined. By assuming that all the $SO_4^{2-}$ is derived from gypsum dissolution in the study area, non-gypsum source calcium could be calculated by subtracting the amount of $Ca^{2+}$ equivalent to the amount of $SO_4^{2-}$ from the total $Ca^{2+}$ concentration and expressed as $(Ca^{2+} - SO_4^{2-})$ (in mmol/L) [67,68]. The mole ratio of $(Ca^{2+} - SO_4^{2-})/(HCO_3^-)$ is 1:4, which suggests congruent
dissolution of dolomite, while \((\text{Ca}^{2+}-\text{SO}_4^{2-})/\text{HCO}_3^-)\) is 1:2, which indicates congruent dissolution of calcite. On the plot of \((\text{HCO}_3^-)\) against \((\text{Ca}^{2+}-\text{SO}_4^{2-})\) (Figure 12b), samples located above the 1:4 line indicated a dolomite dominant process while, samples between the 1:4 and 1:2 line, indicated simultaneous equilibrium with carbonate minerals. Sodium is a reference element for evaluating the contribution of sea salt [69] and chemical composition in rainfall and geochemical evolution of groundwater [70]. The sodium and chloride contents in surface water and groundwater were plotted in Figure 12c. Generally, the samples plot along the 1:1 relationship line indicated \(\text{Na}^+\) and \(\text{Cl}^-\) were derived from similar sources (the dissolution of clastic rocks and other halite minerals) within the study area. The groundwater samples (J8-1, J8-2, J8-4, Y1-3, and SY2-1) were below and deviated 1:1 line. Meanwhile, those concentrations of \(\text{NO}_3^-\text{N}\) were all above 10 mg/L. Hence, the anthropic origin was a possible response to extreme values of chloride. In contrast, the ratio of sodium and chloride of samples were large than 1, and the likely origin of sodium could be from weathering Na-rich minerals (residual feldspars) [71] or from secondary processes, such as \(\text{Ca} (\text{Mg})/\text{Na}\) ion exchange, as discussed later. The diagram ((\(\text{Ca}^{2+}+\text{Mg}^{2+}\))–(\(\text{HCO}_3^-+\text{SO}_4^{2-}\))) versus (\(\text{Na}^++\text{K}^+-\text{Cl}^-\)) was employed to identify the process of cation exchange [72]. In Figure 12d, the samples were linearly related and scattered along a line of slope \(-1\), which presented a distribution that a decrease in (\(\text{Ca}^{2+}+\text{Mg}^{2+}\))–(\(\text{HCO}_3^-+\text{SO}_4^{2-}\))) was observed with an increase in (\(\text{Na}^++\text{K}^+-\text{Cl}^-\)). This further validates that the cation exchange was also one of the main chemical processes that \(\text{Ca}^{2+}+\text{Mg}^{2+}\) in the water was exchanged with previously and adsorbed on the surface of minerals in the aquifer matrix in the SRB [73].

Figure 12. The diagram of the co-variation between main chemical components. (a) \(\text{Ca}^{2+}+\text{Mg}^{2+}\) vs. \(\text{HCO}_3^-+\text{SO}_4^{2-}\). (b) \(\text{HCO}_3^-\) vs. \(\text{Ca}^{2+}-\text{SO}_4^{2-}\). (c) \(\text{Na}^+\) vs. \(\text{Cl}^-\). (d) (\(\text{Ca}^{2+}+\text{Mg}^{2+}\))–(\(\text{HCO}_3^-+\text{SO}_4^{2-}\)) vs. \(\text{Na}^++\text{K}^+-\text{Cl}^-\).
5.2.2. Anthropogenic Factors

The nitrogen sources in the SRB mainly contained point source pollution (including domestic sewage, industrial discharge, and livestock manure) and non-point pollution (agricultural fertilizer and atmospheric precipitation). From 2016 to 2018, the volume of ammonia nitrogen discharge produced by domestic and industrial wastewater is around 1731–9431 tons/year. The volume of nitrogen oxides emission into the atmospheric environment is about 1500–85,479 tons/year. The amount of nitrogenous fertilizer application in the major cities is 36,029–280,631 tons/year (shown in Figure 13). For groundwater, in order to distinguish between the various sources nitrate in the basin, we used the ratio of NO$_3^-$/Cl$^-$ method. In general, low Cl$^-$ versus high ratios of NO$_3^-$/Cl$^-$ are associated with agricultural inputs while the domestic effluent and organic waste were normally characterized with high Cl$^-$ and low ratios of NO$_3^-$/Cl$^-$ [72,74]. Figure 14 showed the relationship between NO$_3^-$/Cl$^-$ molar ratios and Cl$^-$ concentrations in water samples. Groundwater samples downstream of Jialu River showed significantly higher Cl$^-$ concentration with lower ratios of NO$_3^-$/Cl$^-$, which suggests point pollution (domestic and industrial effluents) as the potential source of nitrate. The samples with low Cl$^-$ and high ratios of NO$_3^-$/Cl$^-$ were mainly concentrated in the Shengqiu county of Shaying River and upstream of Jialu River. Hence, non-point pollution (chemical fertilizer) was the dominant NO$_3^-$ in groundwater for these areas. Meanwhile, EC could also reflect the pollutant migration process. EC in groundwater showed a wide range compared with EC in surface water, and had an overall increasing trend along the flow path (Figure 5), which indicated the direction of groundwater flow in the Jialu River. It raised gradually along the Jialu River with a runoff distance from 692 μS/cm (T1-1) to 3680 μS/cm (J8-4) for the dry season and from 510 μS/cm to 2850 μS/cm for the wet season, respectively. Additionally, the water quality in groundwater of the downstream was worse than that of the upstream and the water chemistry tended to change from HCO$_3$ type, HCO$_3$-SO$_4$ type, HCO$_3$-Cl type, and Cl-HCO$_3$ type as NO$_3$-N concentration increased with runoff distance. Furthermore, polluted surface water infiltration, water-rock reactions, and intensive anthropologic activities could be a possible reason for the hydro-chemical processes of groundwater in the Jialu River.

![Figure 13](http://www.ha.stats.gov.cn/tjfw/tjcbw/tjnj/)(accessed on 1 July 2020))
Cl type, and Cl-HCO₃ type as NO₃-N concentration increased with runoff distance. Furthermore, polluted surface water infiltration, water-rock reactions, and intensive anthropologic activities could be a possible reason for the hydro-chemical processes of groundwater in the Jialu River.

Figure 13. The nitrogen sources of pollution in the main cities of the study area. (a) Point source pollution. Non-point pollution including (b) atmospheric source and (c) agricultural fertilizer. (Data obtained from statistical yearbooks of the Henan Province. Available online: http://www.ha.stats.gov.cn/tjfw/tjcbw/tjnj/ (accessed on 1 July 2020))

Figure 14. The relationship between Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻/Cl⁻.

6. Conclusions

This study has illustrated the temporal and spatial distribution of environmental tracers and their application to investigate hydrologic and hydro-chemical processes of riparian groundwater in SRB, China. It mainly provides the following findings.

1. In a combination with hydro-chemical and isotopic parameters, we identified river losing zones and gaining zones in the study area. River losing zones were along the upstream of Jialu River (between Zhengzhou and Kaifeng) and the downstream of the Ying River (Y2-Y4). River gaining zones were distributed at Xihua (J8), Zhoukou (Y1), Luohe (S2), and Shenqiu (SY2), and the fraction of groundwater was 15.37%, 72.14%, 4.58–8.37%, and 26.02–72% in April while 23.23%, 94.26%, 30.77–32.51%, and 31.18–65.36% in August, respectively. The mixing extent with groundwater was greater in wet seasons than in dry seasons under the influence of sluice. Based on the results of ²²²Rn bass balance, the flux of river recharge is 3.27 × 10⁻⁴ m³/(s·m) at 2 km above Zhoukou sluice, and groundwater discharge is 3.50 × 10⁻³ m³/(s·m) at 3 km above Shenqiu sluice.

2. The cation exchange, as well as the dissolution/precipitation of aquifer minerals (including calcite, dolomite, gypsum, and halite), were responsible for geochemical processes in riparian groundwater. Additionally, anthropogenic activities such as untreated sewage discharge and fertilizer usage also had striking effects on groundwater and surface water hydrochemistry.

3. Nitrogen pollution in riparian groundwater was severe (NO₃⁻-N in 40% of the samples (N = 61) exceeds 10 mg/L). Point pollution (domestic and industrial effluents) was the primary nitrate source in the downstream of Jialu River. In contrast, non-point pollution (chemical fertilizer) was the dominant nitrate source at the Shenqiu county of Shaying River. Our results suggest nitrate from riparian groundwater can deteriorate river quality at the lower reaches of the Jialu River and Shenqiu county of Shaying River through feeding river, which could be more severe during the wet seasons.

4. Furthermore, this paper could provide more helpful information for comprehensively managing water resources and effectively controlling water pollution in the SRB. Local governments could
take effective policies, such as reduce the industrial discharge and agricultural nitrogen fertilizer in the nitrogen high-risk areas to control the industrial and agricultural contamination of the water environment.
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