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Abstract: In recent years, electrochemical descaling technology has gained widespread attention due to its environmental friendliness and ease of operation. However, its single-pass removal efficiency could be higher, severely limiting its practical application. To overcome the limitations of traditional electrochemical descaling processes, this paper first focuses on the separation efficiency of H⁺ and OH⁻ in the scale removal process based on numerous recent research papers. It mainly emphasizes how innovative cathode design can enhance the efficiency and stability of electrochemical descaling. Furthermore, this paper explores the coupling of electrochemical processes with different water treatment technologies, such as the combination of electrodeposition with electrocoagulation, filtration crystallization, microfiltration, and electrodialysis, and how these methods synergistically enhance descaling effects. Additionally, this paper discusses potential future directions for electrochemical descaling technology, including innovations in scale expansion, material updates, process optimization, system integration, and automation. Finally, this paper analyzes the practical challenges of electrochemical descaling technology, such as cost, energy consumption, equipment durability, and environmental impact, and proposes solutions. The implementation of these strategies is expected to promote the commercialization of electrochemical descaling technology, making it more aligned with the sustainability requirements of industry and the environment.
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1. Introduction

China’s total industrial water consumption accounts for approximately 20% of the national water usage, second only to agricultural water usage. Among them, the usage of industrial circulating cooling water (CCW) is substantial, with a wide range of applications in various industrial processes such as petrochemical, metal smelting, and power generation [1–3], constituting around 80% of the total industrial water usage [4–6]. The CCW system effectively manages heat in industrial processes by recycling water as a cooling medium. In this system, CCW first flows through heat-generating equipment, such as heat exchangers or reactors, to absorb the generated heat. Subsequently, the heated water is transported to cooling towers or other cooling devices and cooled through contact with air or radiators [7,8]. Open-loop circulating cooling water systems are widely used in industrial production. However, due to their direct exposure to the atmosphere, as heat is dissipated through convective air cooling, water continuously evaporates from the CCW, increasing salt content and hardness ion concentration in the water [9,10]. Additionally, impurity ions such as carbonate (HCO₃⁻), phosphate (PO₄³⁻), and nitrate (NO₃⁻) enter the CCW along with rainwater or suspended particles in the air, causing an increase in hardness and alkalinity [11]. As the hardness and alkalinity ions encounter heat exchanger surfaces during the circulation of cooling water, precipitation quickly occurs due to the higher temperatures, ultimately leading to scaling on the surfaces of heat exchangers and pipes [12–16].
Scale formation in circulating cooling systems poses several hazards, including (1) scale, being a poor conductor, can impede heat transfer during the circulation process, indirectly affecting production efficiency and, in severe cases, may lead to hazardous events like explosions; (2) scale can reduce the flow area in pipes, increasing fluid resistance and thus energy consumption; (3) excessive scale deposition necessitates shutdowns for cleaning, reducing equipment operational time and efficiency [17–22]. Hence, addressing scale formation in CCW is urgent, not only for average industrial production and economic benefits but also for conserving water resources and ensuring industrial safety [23,24].

Industrial scale prevention and removal methods in CCW systems include dosing, ultrasonic, ion exchange softening, reverse osmosis, and electrochemical descaling [25]. Dosing, the most widely used method in industrial CCW, is time-efficient, simple to operate, and generally effective. It typically involves either scale inhibitors, which complex with calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) and magnesium (Mg\(^{2+}\)) ions in water to prevent their deposition on heat exchanger surfaces and disperse existing scale [26–28], or lime softening, which reacts lime with calcium bicarbonate in solution to precipitate scale [29,30]. However, scale inhibitors cannot remove hardness ions, only delay their precipitation, and organophosphorus inhibitors may lead to P contamination, further causing pollution [31–33]. Lime softening has high chemical costs and generates excessive sludge, requiring further treatment [34]. Ultrasonic antiscalant works by changing the physical structure of the medium (e.g., causing cracks) due to mechanical vibrations caused by ultrasonic waves, leading to scale loosening and detachment from heat exchanger walls [35]. However, ultrasonic equipment is costly and prolonged use may cause equipment to loosen. In ion exchange, commonly used sodium ion exchange resins swap Na\(^+\) for Ca\(^{2+}\), reducing Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration to inhibit scale formation. This method requires periodic regeneration or replacement of the ion exchange resin and post-treatment to avoid secondary pollution due to increased Na\(^+\) concentration in water [36]. Reverse osmosis applies pressure to one side of a membrane solution to overcome osmotic pressure, causing the solvent to permeate from the high-pressure side to the low-pressure side. At the same time, solutes are retained, effectively separating ions [37,38]. Although highly effective at scale removal, over the long-term operation, concentrated scaling ions can deposit on the membrane surface, clogging pores, reducing descaling efficiency, and increasing energy consumption [39,40].

Electrochemical descaling is an innovative, eco-friendly process that offers no need for additional chemicals, pollution-free operation, easy regulation, simple process structure, and the potential for automation [41,42]. During electrochemical descaling, water decomposition near the anode produces oxygen and an acidic environment, while hydrogen and an alkaline environment are created near the cathode. In this alkaline environment, hardness ions like Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) and HCO\(_3^-\) chemically react to form insoluble calcium carbonate (CaCO\(_3\)) and magnesium carbonate (MgCO\(_3\)) [43]. Additionally, hydroxide ions (OH\(^-\)) produced by water electrolysis combine with Mg\(^{2+}\) to form magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH)\(_2\)), which can then be removed from the water [18]. Furthermore, oxidation reactions at the anode generate strong oxidants like H\(_2\)O\(_2\), O\(_3\), and ClO\(^-\), which are effective for sterilization, algae control, and COD removal [44,45].

Despite the advantages of electrochemical descaling technology, traditional methods face several challenges that require improvement: (1) the reaction of cathodically generated OH\(^-\) with anodically produced H\(^+\) leads to a low utilization rate of OH\(^-\) and, thus, inefficient descaling; (2) the cathode not only produces OH\(^-\) but also provides sites for deposition, making traditional electrochemical, which is dominated by heterogeneous precipitation dependent on a highly effective cathode area; (3) single electrochemical descaling, primarily electrodeposition, often fails to meet effluent standards in a single treatment, necessitating integration with other technologies. To address these issues, scholars have conducted extensive research in recent years. This review summarizes recent papers on H\(^+\)-OH\(^-\) separation technology, cathode design, and coupled electrochemical descaling processes. It concludes by discussing the commercial challenges of electrochemical descaling technology and proposes future research directions and opportunities.
2. \( \text{H}^+ \text{-OH}^- \) Separation

\( \text{OH}^- \) plays a crucial role in the electrochemical descaling process. When an electric current passes through the electrodes in a water treatment system, the cathode undergoes reduction of water molecules, generating hydrogen gas (\( \text{H}_2 \)) and \( \text{OH}^- \). These \( \text{OH}^- \) increase the pH near the cathode area, creating an alkaline environment. Under such conditions, \( \text{OH}^- \) reacts with \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) to form \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \), precipitating with \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) as calcium carbonate (\( \text{CaCO}_3 \)) [46]. A high concentration of \( \text{OH}^- \) also reacts with \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) to form \( \text{Mg(OH)}_2 \) precipitates [47, 48], which can be subsequently removed to reduce scale formation and accumulation. The required pH for efficient precipitation of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) is above 9 and 10, respectively [49]. Therefore, the generation of \( \text{OH}^- \) and the subsequent precipitation reactions are central to electrochemical descaling [50].

It is well known that oxidation and reduction of water molecules at the anode and cathode during electrochemical reactions generates large amounts of \( \text{H}^+ \) and \( \text{OH}^- \), respectively. However, under the influence of the electric field, \( \text{H}^+ \) and \( \text{OH}^- \) migrate towards the cathode and anode, leading to a neutralization reaction that results in low utilization of \( \text{OH}^- \) in the descaling process, and, consequently, a low hardness removal rate [51]. Traditional electrochemical descaling efficiency is only about 15% to 25% [52]. Effective separation of \( \text{H}^+ \) and \( \text{OH}^- \) is an efficient way to enhance hardness removal rates. Different \( \text{H}^+ \text{-OH}^- \) separation methods in electrochemical descaling were compared as shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Comparison of different \( \text{H}^+ \text{-OH}^- \) separation methods in electrochemical descaling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Separation Method</th>
<th>Water Hardness</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Hardness Removal Rate</th>
<th>Hardness Precipitation</th>
<th>Energy Consumption</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion exchange mem-</td>
<td>Cation exchange</td>
<td>188 mg/L \text{Ca}^{2+}</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>75–86%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.0–10.1 kWh/kg \text{CaCO}_3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brane (CEMs)</td>
<td>Anion exchange</td>
<td>500 mg/L \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64–85 g/h/m²</td>
<td>9–12 kWh/kg \text{CaCO}_3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene PTFE</td>
<td>16 mM \text{Ca}^{2+}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>630 g/h/m²</td>
<td>1.2 kWh/kg \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confined crystallization</td>
<td>400 mg/L \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>348.8 g/h/m² \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>1.88 kWh/kg \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membrane (CCM)</td>
<td>500 mg/L \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60.26 g/h/m² \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>12 kWh/kg \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction of boundary layer</td>
<td>500 mg/L \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.6 kWh/kg \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubbles and water</td>
<td>Nylon net</td>
<td>500 mg/L \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.6 kWh/kg \text{CaCO}_3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flow</td>
<td>Water flow</td>
<td>400 mg/L \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151.2 g/h/m² \text{CaCO}_3</td>
<td>16.8 kWh/kg \text{CaCO}_3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1. Membrane-Based \( \text{H}^+ \text{-OH}^- \) Separation

2.1.1. Ion Exchange Membrane (IEMs)

In electrochemical descaling, membrane materials play a versatile and crucial role, mainly isolating the anode and cathode and providing ion-selective permeability [60]. The most used membranes are IEMs, which are categorized into cation exchange membranes (CEMs) and anion exchange membranes (AEMs). These membranes are characterized by their selective permeability to specific types of ions. CEMs allow only cations (such as \( \text{Ca}^{2+}, \text{Mg}^{2+} \), etc.) to pass through, while AEMs permit the passage of anions (such as \( \text{CO}_3^{2-}, \text{SO}_4^{2-} \), etc.) [35]. Beyond allowing the passage of specific ions, IEMs also prevent the mixing of other substances, such as the \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{OH}^- \) generated at the cathode area, from mixing with the \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) produced at the anode area, thereby enhancing the safety and descaling efficiency of the system.
Clauwaert et al. [44] partitioned the electrolytic cell into two compartments using cation and AEMs for electrochemical descaling experiments. When using CEMs, the pH in the cathode chamber rises rapidly due to the impermeability of OH\(^-\) through the membrane, which aids in the removal of hardness. During this process, the average removal rates for Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) hardness were 75–86% and 7–21%, respectively, with an energy consumption of 7.0–10.1 kWh/kg CaCO\(_3\). When using AEMs, Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) migrate towards the cathode and accumulate on the surface of the membrane facing the anode side; meanwhile, OH\(^-\) and HCO\(_3^-\) pass through the AEM and move towards the anode. On the other side of the membrane, they react with the accumulated Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) to form CaCO\(_3\) and Mg(OH)\(_2\). During this process, the removal rates for Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) hardness were 73–78% and 40–44%, respectively, at an energy consumption of 5.8–7.5 kWh/kg CaCO\(_3\). Both IEMs enabled reagent-free water softening at relatively low energy costs in the electrochemical descaling process. Jin et al. [53] proposed an IEM electrochemical precipitation descaling process (Figure 1a), which used only an AEM to remove hardness from CCW through electrochemical precipitation occurring at the surface of the AEM. This IEM electrodeposition descaling process showed good operational stability, maintaining high descaling performance with a steady and consistent operation. The precipitation rate and energy consumption were 64–85 g/h/m\(^2\) and 9–12 kWh/kg CaCO\(_3\), respectively. Parameters such as Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration, Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration, alkalinity, conductivity, etc., significantly influenced the process’s precipitation rate and hardness removal efficiency.
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**Figure 1.** Reaction mechanism diagrams: (a) electrochemical descaling with IEMs, (b) bipolar membrane electrochemical descaling, (c) high-efficiency bypass bipolar electrolytic descaling system, (d) The flow of scale and acid-base ions in two electrochemical systems: (d1) electrochemical descaling system without CCM, (d2) electrochemical descaling system with CCM.

Electrochemical descaling performance can be enhanced by combining bipolar membranes with membrane-based electrolytic cells to improve descaling capability. A bipolar membrane is a composite membrane consisting of two ion exchange layers joined together either physically or chemically. One of the layers is an AEM of fixed positive charge that permits transport of anions. The other layer is a CEM of fixed negative charges that permits transport of cations. Integration of bipolar membranes with ion exchange membranes enables more effective production of the alkalinity required for CaCO\(_3\) removal. Zaslavski et al. [54] placed a bipolar membrane between two CEMs, with the reaction mechanism shown in Figure 1b. The OH\(^-\) produced in compartments 1 and 3 by the cathode reaction and by the bipolar membrane is utilized for CaCO\(_3\) removal. The feed solution, dosed with OH\(^-\) generated in the two compartments, is recycled through the crystallizer where CaCO\(_3\)
is precipitated on seeds. In experiments treating brackish water containing 16 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), the process achieved a CaCO\(_3\) precipitation rate of approximately 630 g/h/m\(^2\) at a current density of 10 mA/cm\(^2\), with an energy consumption of 1.2 kWh/kg CaCO\(_3\). In contrast, a system using only CEMs for electrochemical descaling showed a CaCO\(_3\) precipitation rate of just 520 g/h/m\(^2\), with a higher energy consumption of 1.4 kWh/kg CaCO\(_3\). Integrating bipolar membranes can significantly enhance the current efficiency and reduce energy consumption in electrochemical membrane-based descaling processes. Compared to traditional electrodeposition techniques, ion membrane electrodeposition descaling technology improves descaling performance and dramatically reduces equipment costs, offering broad application prospects.

2.1.2. Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) Membrane

In membrane-based electrolytic cells, the neutralization reaction of H\(^+\) and OH\(^-\) is slower than undivided electrolytic cells; hence, preventing the neutralization of H\(^+\) and OH\(^-\) does not solely rely on the selectivity of the IEMs. Additionally, under the influence of an electric field, Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) migrate towards the cathode, while OH\(^-\) and HCO\(_3^-\) move towards the anode. The accumulation of these ions near the IEM leads to the formation of scale on the membrane surface, resulting in clogging and increased energy consumption.

Therefore, a non-selective, porous, and robust separation material can serve as an alternative separator in electrolytic cells. Liu et al. [55] found that when using a hydrophilic PTFE membrane as the separator, ions such as Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), H\(^+\), HCO\(_3^-\), CO\(_3^{2-}\), and OH\(^-\) could easily pass through the PTFE membrane, maintaining electrical neutrality in the anode and cathode chambers. As the current density increased from 20 A/m\(^2\) to 100 A/m\(^2\), the scale precipitation rate increased from 97.5 to 348.8 g/h/m\(^2\) CaCO\(_3\), and the specific energy consumption rose from 0.68 to 1.88 kWh/kg CaCO\(_3\). Liu et al. [47] developed an efficient electrochemical descaling system to remove Ca\(^{2+}\) hardness from brackish water (Figure 1c), utilizing a bipolar electrode to enhance OH\(^-\) production and PTFE membrane as the separation material between anode and cathode. At a current density of 20 mA/cm\(^2\), the optimal Ca\(^{2+}\) hardness removal efficiencies at the induction cathode and final effluent were 85% and 57%, respectively, with a CaCO\(_3\) precipitation rate of 2.2 kWh/kg CaCO\(_3\). The best Ca\(^{2+}\) hardness removal was achieved with a molar ratio of Ca\(^{2+}\) to HCO\(_3^-\) of 1:1.2.

2.1.3. Confined Crystallization Membrane (CCM)

Although PTFE membranes exhibit good chemical stability and excellent temperature resistance [61], challenges such as manufacturing difficulties, high membrane resistance, and a propensity for bubble adhesion persist. Li et al. [56] developed a novel CCM electrochemical descaling process to address the issues associated with IEMs and PTFE membranes. CCM takes advantage of the high alkalinity environment in the cathode area to induce precipitation of hardness ions near the diaphragm, thereby accelerating the removal of hardness from the CCW (Figure 1d). Experiments demonstrated that the CCM could mitigate the impact of concentration polarization on mass transfer, creating a high pH and low water flow environment conducive for scale precipitation. Compared to traditional electrochemical descaling, this process improved hardness removal efficiency by at least 4.09% at a current density of 2 mA/cm\(^2\) and increased current efficiency by 10.2% at 8 mA/cm\(^2\). Even at a high circulating inflow rate of 9 L/h, this method maintained a high descaling rate, with a scaling deposition rate of 60.26 g/h/m\(^2\) CaCO\(_3\), which was 32.97 g/h/m\(^2\) higher than that of traditional electrochemical descaling processes.

The above results indicate that it is relatively easy to implement electrochemical descaling strategies by inhibiting the neutralization reaction of H\(^+\) and OH\(^-\) to increase the pH in the cathode chamber. Although the electrochemical descaling process based on IEM/polytetrafluoroethylene membrane/confined crystallization membrane can achieve high descaling efficiency, its practical industrial application is limited due to the complex membrane fabrication process, high cost, and sensitivity to high oxidants, organic sub-
stances, pollution, and scaling in actual water bodies. These limitations are destined to weaken the dominant position of membrane-based electrolytic cells in descaling processes.

2.2. In Situ Membrane-Free H⁺-OH⁻ Separation

Analysis from the previous section indicates that while using membranes in electrochemical descaling processes can improve efficiency, the complexity of membrane fabrication and the associated high production costs, along with the impediment to charge migration and increased energy consumption during electrochemical reactions, are considerable drawbacks. Furthermore, the scale can easily clog the pores of the membrane [54,62]. Therefore, exploring the in situ membrane-free separation of H⁺ and OH⁻ is a valuable endeavor.

2.2.1. Extraction of Boundary Layer Solution

It is well known that in water electrolysis, the H⁺ produced at the anode and OH⁻ produced at the cathode are initially confined within the boundary layer of the electrode surface (less than 100 µm thick). They migrate towards the cathode and anode under the electric field’s action and are eventually neutralized and consumed in the bulk solution [51]. Inspired by this phenomenon, Ba et al. [57] proposed using a tubular porous titanium filter as the anode; by extracting the anode boundary layer solution at an appropriate rate, the diffusion of H⁺ generated at the anode into the bulk solution can be prevented (Figure 2a). Consequently, the OH⁻ generated at the cathode can increase the pH of the bulk solution, facilitating the removal of hardness ions from the solution. Experiments have shown that with increasing current density and inflow rate, the H⁺-OH⁻ separation performance declined, mainly due to enhanced electromigration and turbulence at the anode surface. At an inflow rate of 500 mL/min and current density of 6–22 mA/cm², the separation efficiency for H⁺ and OH⁻ in acidic and alkaline effluents was 10–72% and 16–94%, respectively, while the Ca²⁺ hardness removal efficiency was 42–92%, with an energy consumption of 1.2–4.6 kWh/kg of CaCO₃. This process exhibits superior H⁺-OH⁻ separation performance compared to membrane separation systems. Due to the extraction of the boundary layer solution rich in H⁺ from the tubular anode in this process, the anode is always in a high acidic environment. Prolonged operation under such conditions can shorten the lifespan of the anode and increase operational costs.
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Figure 2. (a) Continuous electrolytic cell structures with anode boundary layer extraction, (b) schematic of the electrochemical descaling process with nylon net separation, (c) diagram illustrating the mechanism of electrochemical descaling promoted by bubble motion and water flow diffusion.
2.2.2. Bubbles and Water Flow

The random diffusion of H₂ bubbles generated from water electrolysis can cause flow disturbances near the cathode, creating turbulence [63,64], and water flow has also been found to accelerate ion diffusion [65,66]. Utilizing these characteristics, it is deduced that bubble diffusion and water flow can be harnessed to expedite the diffusion of OH⁻ from the cathode surface and effectively separate OH⁻ and H⁺. Kang et al. [58] utilized a sandwich structure of mesh cathode, nylon net, and mesh anode to divide the electrolytic cell into anode and cathode chambers (Figure 2b). During continuous operation, OH⁻ was rapidly pushed from the cathode surface to the cathode chamber by the motion of water flow and H₂ bubbles produced by cathode electrolysis. The average diameters of H₂ and O₂ bubbles during electrolysis are approximately 3.5 µm and 53.4 µm, respectively [67], and a 400-mesh nylon net with a pore size of 25.0 µm was used in this study, allowing for the passage of H₂ bubbles while potentially obstructing the transmission of O₂ bubbles. The unidirectional transport of H₂ bubbles may isolated some of the H⁺ generated at the anode, thus forming an extensive alkaline area in the cathode chamber that enhances the homogeneous precipitation of hardness ions. After running with seven layers of 400-mesh nylon nets for 30 min, the pH in the cathode chamber can reach 11.5, whereas with a proton exchange membrane under the same conditions, the pH was 11.0. Compared to the latter, the nylon net offered better H⁺-OH⁻ separation, higher quality, and lower cost. At a current density of 12 mA/cm² and a hydraulic retention time of 20 min, the total hardness removal rate reached 91.5%, with an energy consumption of 12.6 kWh/kg CaCO₃. In order to restore electrical neutrality within the reactor, the nylon mesh structure in the system cannot prevent the migration of OH⁻ from the cathode chamber to the anode chamber, as well as the migration of hardness ions from the anode chamber to the cathode chamber. Prolonged operation under these conditions can result in fouling and blockage of the nylon mesh.

Mao et al. [39] developed a reactor modeled after vertical flow sedimentation tanks, featuring an upper hollow cylindrical body and a lower inverted hollow conical body. The mesh cathode, central tube, and mesh anode were placed concentrically from the center outwards. Water flowed in from the central tube, first passing through the mesh circular cathode, then through the mesh annular anode, and overflowed from the top of the reactor (Figure 2c). During operation, H₂ bubbles generated by water electrolysis moved upwards while the inflowing water moved downwards. Within 3 min, the average pH in the central tube reached 10.6. At a current density of 80 A/m² and an inflow rate of 6 L/h, the declining efficiency was 151.2 g/h/m² CaCO₃, with a current efficiency of 57%. During this process, the homogeneous nucleation of CaCO₃ within the central tube was crucial in hardness removal. The reactor has a simple design, which makes it easy to replicate and implement. However, due to the parallel placement of the anode and cathode in this reactor, the voltage in the reactor was high, resulting in a high energy consumption during the electrochemical descaling process. When the current density is 80 mA/cm², the voltage can reach up to 30 V. Additionally, the vertical flow of water through the porous anode will inevitably lead to scaling and blockage with prolonged operation, further increasing the voltage. The novel electrochemical descaling reactors have achieved efficient descaling without the use of membrane materials. However, they are still in the laboratory-scale stage, and the specific electrode configuration and arrangement pose challenges for scaling up.

The analysis indicates that membrane materials can efficiently separate H⁺ and OH⁻, enhancing electrochemical descaling efficiency. However, high costs and poor fouling resistance limit their large-scale industrial application. Recent developments in novel membrane-free electrochemical descaling reactors have prevented H⁺-OH⁻ neutralization and improved descaling efficiency without using membranes but introduced complexities and higher energy consumption, with their practical application efficacy yet to be fully validated.

3. Cathode Design

During the electrochemical descaling process, cathodic electrolysis of water produces H₂ and OH⁻. In the solution, HCO₃⁻, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ react with OH⁻ on the cathode
surface to form CaCO_3 and Mg(OH)_2 precipitates [68]. As the reaction progresses, the scale layer gradually covers the cathode surface [69]. This reduces the working area of the cathode, impedes the electrolysis reaction and mass transfer processes, and increases electrode resistance, decreasing in hardness removal efficiency and higher energy consumption [70,71]. Regular cathode cleaning is required to maintain efficiency, which further increases operational costs [45]. In electrochemical devices, in order to achieve good treatment performance, it is usually necessary to design the cathode to obtain a large working electrode surface area, thereby improving the efficiency of electrochemical descaling. The comparison of different cathodes electrochemical descaling is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Comparison of different cathodes in electrochemical descaling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cathode</th>
<th>Water Hardness</th>
<th>Hardness Removal Rate</th>
<th>Hardness Precipitation</th>
<th>Energy Consumption</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two-dimensional cathode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-layer stainless</td>
<td>350 mg/L CaCO_3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.16 g/h/m^2</td>
<td>6 kWh/kg CaCO_3</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple cathodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-layer stainless</td>
<td>350 mg/L CaCO_3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71.1 g/h/m^2</td>
<td>3.17 kWh/kg CaCO_3</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-dimensional cathode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel wire</td>
<td>2400 mg/L CaCO_3</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel ball</td>
<td>64 mg/L Ca^{2+};</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluidized bed</td>
<td>7.5 mg/L Mg^{2+}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel wire</td>
<td>1.8 mmol/L Ca^{2+};</td>
<td>91%;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ca^{2+}: 0.68 kWh/mol; Mg^{2+}: 1.68 kWh/mol</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite–polymer composite</td>
<td>7.845 mmol/L Ca^{2+}</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45.9 kWh/kg CaCO_3</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1. Two-Dimensional Cathode

Different cathode materials significantly influence scale deposition in the electrochemical descaling process. Mirror-finish stainless steel cathodes exhibit the highest descaling performance, followed by regular stainless steel, nickel plates, brushed stainless steel, and titanium plates [77]. Additionally, due to their larger specific surface area and rougher texture, mesh cathodes have a higher rate of hardness deposition than flat cathodes [50]. Many researchers are focusing on increasing the effective cathode area. Luan et al. [72] studied the electrochemical descaling efficiency of multi-layer stainless steel mesh cathodes of various mesh sizes (Figure 3a). Results indicated that the synergistic effect of the outer and inner layers of stainless steel mesh enhanced the descaling efficiency of the coupled cathodes. The shielding effect results in significant differences in current density and potential between the layers, leading to preferential scale deposition on the outer cathode layer [78]. Additionally, the inner layer cathode experienced minimal interference from H^+ in the hydrogen evolution reaction, resulting in a higher pH in the inner solution, which favored further removal of hardness ions. At a current density of 18.3 A/m^2 and an inflow rate of 500 mL/h, the descaling rate was 29.16 g/h/m^2, with an energy consumption of 6 kWh/kg CaCO_3.

Yu et al. [73] designed a multi-stage reactor with a “Z”-shaped flow by staggered arrangement of electrodes, which increased the contact area between the solution and electrodes while reducing internal dead zones, enhancing the efficiency of hardness removal (Figure 3b). In comparison with conventional electrochemical reactors, the multi-stage reactor not only has less back mixing, short circuit, and dead zone, but also has high volumetric efficiency, leading to better treatment performance. This was mainly because the multi-stage reactor showed typical plug flow regime. In general, the single-stage reactor was considered as the completely mixed reactor because of the bubble turbulence effect, while the multi-stage reactor consisting of a series of single cells could work as a manner of the plug flow. The initial hardness concentration of the plug flow reactor was much higher than that of the completely mixed reactor, which enhanced the precipitation
reactions and the mass transfer, improving the softening efficiency significantly. In their experiments, with four DSA anodes and five stainless steel cathodes alternately fixed, a precipitation rate of 71.1 g/h/m² was achieved at a current density of 40 A/m² and a hydraulic retention time of 8.2 min. The current efficiency was 37.6%, with an energy consumption of only 3.17 kWh/kg CaCO₃, and the descaling efficiency remained stable over long-term operation. In traditional two-dimensional cathode systems, due to the low utilization of OH⁻, the current efficiency for hardness removal is usually below 20% when the energy consumption exceeds 9.0 kWh/kg CaCO₃, and the hardness content is within the range of 300–600 mg/L [79].

Figure 3. (a) Schematic of the coupled cathode working principle, (b) schematic of a multi-stage reactor for electrochemical descaling, (c) diagram of the electrochemical descaling reaction mechanism for a three-dimensional cathode, (d) diagram of the electrochemical descaling reaction mechanism for a gate-type cathode.

3.2. Three-Dimensional Cathode

Compared to two-dimensional cathodes, three-dimensional cathodes, due to their larger specific surface area, offer more deposition sites for heterogeneous precipitation of CaCO₃ [76], thus exhibiting higher hardness removal efficiency. Furthermore, the diffusion rate of OH⁻ into the bulk solution is slowed down, which improves the utilization of OH⁻ to a certain extent. The results indicate that the three-dimensional cathode system can suppress the neutralization reaction between H⁺ and OH⁻, resulting in higher current efficiency compared to the two-dimensional cathode system. Hence, Sanjuán et al. [43] utilized stainless steel wool as a cathode to study its electrochemical descaling effect (Figure 3c). The results showed that at a current density of 100 A/m² and an inflow rate of 12 L/h, the three-dimensional cathode achieved a hardness removal rate of up to 40%, approximately 20% higher than that of a conventional titanium mesh cathode. However, long-term experiments indicated that while three-dimensional cathodes performed better than two-dimensional cathodes within the first 30 h, they experienced more significant clogging over time and structural collapse within the cathode, leading to a rapid decline in descaling performance.

Marchesiello et al. [74] introduced a fluidized bed between the two electrodes, filled with 1 mm diameter 316 stainless steel balls, which significantly improved the descaling capacity of the system. The fluidized stainless steel balls serve as both the anode and
cathode, allowing for simultaneous electrochemical reactions and thorough treatment of
the solution in the entire vessel. In accelerated scale deposition tests, a reduction of nearly
90% in CaCO₃ precipitation was observed at a current density of 50 mA/cm². A total
of 80 L of solution was treated within 2 h, consuming only 0.03 kWh (or 0.375 kWh/m³)
of energy. However, due to the limited increase in cathode surface area, scaling on the
cathode surface can still occur during long-term operation, leading to hindered OH⁻
production, reduced hardness removal, and increased energy consumption. Overall, the
deposition of scale on the cathode surface is an unavoidable phenomenon in the process
of hardness removal, which hampers descaling efforts. Although fluidized beds show
promising descaling performance, they are often prone to the influence of water matrix,
hydraulic retention time, and seed characteristics [80,81].

3.3. Combined Cathode

Despite the increase in effective cathode surface area and the enhanced descaling
efficiency of reactors from previous studies, the issue of scale deposition on cathodes still
poses a challenge for maintaining long-term, high-efficiency hardness removal [62]. There-
fore, Yang et al. [75] devised a novel gate-type cathode for hardness removal (Figure 3d).
This cathode consisted of a mirror-finished stainless steel plate covered with a carbon felt
layer, which utilized the porous structure of the carbon felt to slow down the diffusion of
OH⁻ generated by cathodic water electrolysis into the bulk solution, while also providing
numerous sites for scale deposition. This internal alkaline environment within the carbon
felt promoted the removal of hardness ions. The experimental results showed that at a
current density of 40 A/m², the removal efficiencies for Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ were increased
by 12.8% and 46.1%, respectively, compared to those using only stainless steel cathodes,
with energy consumptions as low as 0.68 kWh/mol for Ca²⁺ and 1.68 kWh/mol for Mg²⁺.
Moreover, it was found that most of the Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ hardness removal occurred on
the carbon felt, facilitating cathode replacement and continuous operation of the process.
However, the treatment system only transferred the scaling from the cathode surface to
other materials and cannot maintain a high descaling efficiency in the long term.

In order to remove the insoluble scale generated on the cathode during the electrochemi-
cal descaling process and improve the descaling efficiency, Muddemann et al. [76] developed
an electrochemical reactor with a graphite–polymer composite (GPC) as the cathode and
boron-doped diamond as the anode. The experiment revealed that the most suitable GPC
cathode was a 0.5 mm thick polypropylene-based composite material, which exhibited chem-
ical stability and low resistivity (5.06 ± 1.80 mΩ cm). The results showed that at a current
density of 0.5 kA/cm², the incoming water hardness was reduced by more than 72% and
90% in the mixed and separated electrolyte modes, respectively. During long-term treatment
exceeding 120 h, only a small amount of scale deposited on the GPC cathode, possibly due to
the formation of a very thin solid layer on the cathode surface, leading to a balanced cycle
of scale deposition and detachment, thereby preventing further scale formation on the cath-
ode. Although this process prevents cathodic scaling and exhibits high descaling efficiency,
the preparation of the cathode is complex and costly, and there are still many challenges to
overcome in transitioning from the laboratory to practical applications.

4. Coupling Process

Due to the limited removal of hardness ions in solutions by standalone electrochemi-
cal descaling technology, which mainly induces electrochemical precipitation, coupled
processes leverage the complementary nature of different technologies to enhance removal
efficiency, reduce operational costs, broaden application scope, and improve produced
water quality. Consequently, extensive research has been made in electrochemical descaling
coupled processes [25,82]. The comparison of different coupling processes electrochemical
descaling is shown in Table 3.
4.1. Electrodeposition–Electrocoagulation

Electrocoagulation is a promising method for removing hardness from water [87]. The flocs formed during the electrocoagulation process offer ample precipitation zones for hardness removal, overcoming the limitations of the cathodic area on electrochemical descaling. Zhi et al. [83] proposed a method that synergized electrochemical precipitation with electrocoagulation to remove hardness from CCW. They discovered that the lower the initial hardness concentration at different current densities, the better the synergistic effect. Moreover, this synergistic effect was only observed when electrocoagulation was performed prior to electrochemical precipitation. The experimental results indicated that the optimal synergy was achieved under the following conditions: an electrocoagulation current density of 20 A/m$^2$, an electrochemical precipitation current density of 250 A/m$^2$, an inflow rate of 120 mL/min, a pH of 7.2, a water temperature of 60 °C, and a treatment time of 130 min, resulting in a hardness removal efficiency of 65%. However, the slow hydrolysis reaction severely limits the formation of flocs, thereby inhibiting hardness removal, and the dissolved Al$^{3+}$ can cause secondary pollution. Yu et al. [84] proposed to significantly improve the efficiency of electrocoagulation for hardness removal by introducing membrane polarization to catalyze H$_2$O dissociation. Membrane polarization induced water dissociation will generate abundant OH$^-$, which beneficial for the floc production process, substantially enhancing the co-precipitation efficiency for hardness removal. Better still, the promoted formation of floc will simultaneously minimize the dissolved Al$^{3+}$, circumventing secondary pollution. When the current density is 100 A/m$^2$, the flow rate is 40 L/h, pH is 8.1, and the incoming water hardness is 350 mg/L, the removal of hardness was achieved through various pathways: ion exchange, electrodeposition, electrocoagulation, induced ion exchange membrane, and other pathways remove 3.4%, 16.8%, 20%, 54.4%, and 5.4% of the hardness, respectively. Electrodeposition relies solely on cathodic precipitation reactions, while the abundant flocs generated during electrocoagulation can provide sufficient nucleation sites for homogeneous precipitation of hardness ions, allowing for hardness removal to overcome the limitations of the cathode region.
The membrane-enhanced electrocoagulation process can achieve an ultra-high hardness removal rate of 318.9 g/h/m² and an ultra-low energy consumption of 3.8 kWh/kg CaCO₃, greatly outperforming other conventional hardness removal processes. However, in the electrocoagulation process, the anode is continuously consumed, and a large amount of floc sludge is generated, which undoubtedly increases operational costs.

4.2. Electrodeposition–Filtration Crystallization

During electrochemical descaling, not all scale precipitates adhere to the cathode; some disperse within the reactor, potentially causing re-dissolution and reducing descaling efficiency. Therefore, Guo et al. [85] introduced an electrochemical–filtration crystallization coupled process (Figure 4a). This system effectively intercepted scaling particles in the effluent and provided numerous deposition sites for homogeneous precipitation of CaCO₃, further purifying the CCW. Experiments showed that after continuous operation for 360 min under conditions of 300 mg/L hardness, a 1:1 alkalinity ratio, a peristaltic pump speed of 10 rpm, a current density of 6 mA/cm², with DSA electrodes as the anode, a titanium mesh as the cathode, and synthetic zeolite as filler, the hardness removal rate of the coupled process ranged from 15.6 to 33.4%, significantly exceeding the 9.46 to 18.07% achieved by the standalone electrochemical descaling process. Zhou et al. [52] used plate titanium sub-oxide electrodes as both anode and cathode in electrochemical descaling, leveraging the oxidative and reductive properties of the electrodes and combining them with polarity reversal for continuous descaling. However, some detached scale was found to exit with the effluent, affecting water quality. Hence, a filtration crystallization system using synthetic zeolite as filler was coupled after the electrochemical process (Figure 4b). Results indicated that the optimal descaling performance, stable between 17% and 20%, was achieved at a current density of 8 mA/cm² and flow rate of 2.7 L/h. Coupling with the filtration crystallization system increased hardness removal rates from 25.5% to 27.3%. However, in a filtration crystallization system, the porous materials used for trapping and adsorbing a large quantity of scale particles can become clogged after prolonged operation. Therefore, the focus should be on developing suitable methods for regeneration to address this issue.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** (a) Schematic of the electrochemical descaling–filter crystallization coupled process, (b) mechanism diagram of the electrochemical polarity reversal descaling–filter crystallization coupled process, (c) diagram of the electrochemical accelerated precipitation–microfiltration coupled process apparatus, (d) schematic of the membrane deposition electrodialysis process apparatus.

4.3. Electrodeposition–Microfiltration

During accelerated nucleation of scale particles, homogeneous precipitation in the bulk solution forms small scale particles with diameters <0.15 mm. Therefore, microfiltration,
with its small footprint, ease to operation, and manageability, is an effective method for solid–liquid separation, offering extensive surface area for CaCO$_3$ nucleation and growth, which enhances crystallization and descaling efficiency. Liu et al. [55] developed an electrochemical accelerated precipitation softening–microfiltration (EAPS-MF) system for the removal of Ca$^{2+}$ hardness from solutions (Figure 4c). In this process, an electrolytic cell is divided by a polytetrafluoroethylene membrane, with alkaline effluent directly entering the crystallizer to form CaCO$_3$ crystals, which are then intercepted by a titanium pipe microfilter. Within this system, as the current density increases from 20 A/m$^2$ to 100 A/m$^2$, the precipitation rate of CaCO$_3$ increases from 975 g/h/m$^2$ to 348.8 g/h/m$^2$, with energy consumption rising from 0.68 kWh/kg CaCO$_3$ to 1.88 kWh/kg CaCO$_3$. Ba et al. [42] developed an electrolytic cell that extracts H$^+$ from the anode boundary layer to enhance hardness removal efficiency and combined it with microfiltration and ion exchange for scale removal. In this system, the effluent from the electrolytic cell was intercepted by a porous tubular titanium microfilter in the crystallizer and further treated with ion exchange resin. Experimental results showed that the filter cake enhanced hardness removal during microfiltration. This is because the high flow velocity and large surface area inside the narrow pores of the filter cake increase the random collision probability between ions, potentially leading to heterogenous crystallization within the filter cake. At a current density of 10 mA/cm$^2$, the total hardness can be reduced from 400 mg/L to 40 mg/L, achieving a removal efficiency of 90% with an energy consumption of 1.9 kWh/kg CaCO$_3$. However, the use of microfiltration membranes in the microfiltration process still cannot avoid the inherent defects of the membrane material.

4.4. Electrodeposition–Electrodialysis

The electrodialysis process can also enhance the removal of hardness ions from the solution, utilizing the potential difference as the driving force [88–90]. To address the limited ion selectivity in removing other ions of traditional electrochemical descaling technologies in removing hardness ions from water, Yu et al. [86] developed a membrane deposition electrodialysis process, which combined conventional electrochemical precipitation with electrodialysis for ion removal (Figure 4d). Experiments demonstrated that, compared to traditional electrodialysis methods, membrane deposition electrodialysis not only utilized electrophoresis to remove common ions but also employed membrane deposition for efficient hardness ion removal, aiding in achieving zero discharge. At a current density of 200 A/m$^2$ and an inflow rate of 4 L/h, the process achieved 57% and 61% removal efficiency for Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions, respectively. The hardness removal rate of the membrane deposition electrodialysis process can reach 5.8–15.9 M/h/m$^2$, 1.5–26.5 times higher than traditional processes. However, coupling electrodialysis can further increase operational costs and add complexity to the process, requiring careful consideration in practical applications.

5. Summary and Outlook

This review thoroughly investigates the critical mechanisms of electrochemical scaling removal techniques and the research efforts made in recent years to enhance the efficiency of these techniques. Firstly, the importance of separating H$^+$ and OH$^-$ and the role of cathode design in improving scale removal performance were analyzed. While the use of membrane materials can suppress neutralization reactions, the defects in membrane materials severely affect their widespread application. Although some novel membrane-free reactors and cathode designs can achieve efficient scale removal, there is still a lack of investigation on their large-scale, long-term operation, and economic feasibility. Subsequently, this paper also summarized the coupling of electrochemical descaling technology with other water treatment methods and discussed the potential enhancement of scale removal effectiveness through such coupling. While coupling with other processes can improve scale removal efficiency, operational costs and process complexity also increase, necessitating a reasonable trade-off based on actual water quality and operational conditions. Despite some challenges,
this review also highlights the significance of electrochemical descaling technologies in water treatment due to their environmentally friendly nature and efficiency.

Future developments in electrochemical descaling technology will focus on several key innovations: (1) Scaling up: although numerous novel reactors boast high descaling efficiency, their complex structures and electrode arrangements hinder large-scale application, necessitating further investigation into their practical use and industrial viability. (2) Material innovation: in electrochemical descaling technology, both cathodes and membrane materials face issues of scaling and clogging during long-term operation, making it essential to develop electrodes and membranes that are more efficient, easier to clean, longer-lasting, and cost-effective. (3) Process optimization: utilizing advanced simulation tools for optimizing electrochemical descaling processes, ensuring optimal operating conditions for minimal energy consumption, and maximized descaling efficiency. (4) Coupling technologies: electrochemical descaling coupling technologies primarily focus on two aspects: improving the reactor’s descaling efficiency and expediting the following homogeneous precipitation, suggesting the exploration of novel processes from these two perspectives. (5) Automation and intelligence: implementing IoT, big data, and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies to achieve intelligent monitoring and automated management of electrochemical descaling systems, improving adaptability and ease of operation. (6) Sustainability research: conducting more in-depth research into the environmental impacts of electrochemical descaling technology, assessing its sustainability throughout its lifecycle, and exploring its potential in a circular economy. (7) Standardization and scaling: establishing clear industry standards and operating procedures to allow for the broader industrial and municipal application of electrochemical descaling technology on a larger scale.

Challenges faced by electrochemical descaling technology in practical applications include system cost, operational complexity, durability, and environmental issues. Strategies to address these challenges may include the following: (1) Cost reduction: lowering initial investment and operational costs by optimizing the design of novel membrane-free electrochemical reactors and developing cathodes that facilitate the deposition and detachment of scale. (2) Simplification of operation: developing user-friendly interfaces and automated control strategies to reduce reliance on skilled technicians. (3) Enhanced durability: investigating and utilizing new materials to improve system components’ corrosion resistance and mechanical stability. (4) Minimizing environmental impact: assessing and mitigating the environmental footprint of the electrochemical descaling process, such as the recycling and reusing of electrode materials. With these strategies, electrochemical descaling technology is expected to see wide application and continued development in the field of water treatment.
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