Building towards Supercapacitors with Safer Electrolytes and Carbon Electrodes from Natural Resources
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Abstract: The growing interest in energy storage devices, both batteries and capacitors, could lead to the improvement of electrochemical properties such as extended charge/discharge cycles, high specific capacitance, and power density. Furthermore, the use of easily available raw materials for the production of carbon electrodes has attracted interest due to the criticality of the resources related to the current technologies of high-performance capacitors. The present article reviews carbon-based materials for supercapacitors derived from affordable coal deposits or crop waste with appropriate characteristics in terms of specific surface area, electrical conductivity, and charge/discharge stability. In addition, the substitution of organic liquids electrolytes with less dangerous solutions, such as aqueous electrolytes containing high concentrations of salt, is a valuable strategy for the design of green devices that is discussed in this review. Finally, the present article reviews the electrochemical performance of supercapacitors based on carbon electrodes obtained from various natural resources and their compatibility with safer and cheaper electrolytes.
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1. Introduction

Currently, more than 70% of the world’s primary energy demand is dominated by fossil fuels. This scenario is expected to change in the near future with the increasing deployment of renewable energy sources as an urgent response to climate change [1]. The alternative energy sources produce energy from sun and wind that typically are not constant [2]. To ensure the reliability and consistency of renewable energy source output, energy storage devices (ESDs) are required as buffers for the intermittent sources. Furthermore, the use of ESDs could power off-grid energy in remote locations [3–5]. Hence, ESDs with high energy and power densities will be crucial in the future to ensure the integration of renewable energy sources into existing power systems and boost the potential for applications related to electric mobility.

ESDs based on batteries and electrochemical supercapacitors (SCs) are in rapid development [6–11]. Lithium-ion batteries have not yet been widely used as an efficient storage technology and still need to overcome some disadvantages, mainly those associated with safety, cost, and metal availability [8,12–15]. SCs have attracted attention in recent years mostly because of their high power density and long life cycle. Notably, these characteristics of SCs allow them to provide interesting hybrid solutions for the automotive sector; where
they are coupled with batteries. Figure 1 shows a Ragone plot with power and energy densities for different electrochemical ESDs and fuel cells for the sake of comparison.

![Ragone plot of different ECDs. "Adapted from [16], Copyright (2000), with permission from Elsevier".](image)

In general, in an electrochemical ESD, the energy storage processes occur at the electrodes either by Faradic or non-Faradic modes. The Faradic processes are controlled by the kinetics and activation energies of the electrode reactions as well as by the mass transport of reagents/products, which limit device power density. In addition, the electrode materials may undergo transformations during cycling, like the modification of the chemical composition or structure. Furthermore, the electrochemical reactions involved may have a columbic efficiency lower than 100%. For these reasons, despite the high charge storage capacity, Faradic electrodes can show reduced lifetimes compared to those involving non-Faradic processes. The latter occur through the electrostatic storage of charges at the electrode surfaces. This phenomenon drives energy storage in electrochemical capacitors. It is a rapid surface phenomenon that is completely reversible and does not yield to any chemical or structural changes in the electrodes. The fast kinetics and high reversibility of the electrostatic process enable high SC power density and a theoretically unlimited lifetime.

2. Supercapacitors (SCs)

SCs, also known as “ultracapacitors”, are used in applications requiring rapid energy storage or high-power delivery [17]. In 1987, they were introduced to the market as small-sized devices for computers [18]. SCs are categorized into the three classes summarized in Figure 2 depending on their mechanism of charge storage [19,20]: electrostatic double-layer capacitors (EDLCs), pseudocapacitors (PC), and hybrid supercapacitors (HSC).
2.1. Electrostatic Double-Layer Capacitor (EDLC)

EDLCs are symmetrical SCs featuring two electrodes based on non-electroactive materials. The storage mechanism consists of the accumulation of ions at the electrode/electrolyte interface (capacitive processes) through the creation of an electrochemical double layer (EDL) \[22,23\]. The EDL has been described by different models, including that of Helmholtz (second half of the 19th century), which simply considers the formation of a layer of ions at the electrode/electrolyte interface, e.g., the formation of anions if the electrode is positively charged (Figure 3a) \[24,25\]. Guy and Chapman’s model (early 20th century) considers the formation of a diffuse layer due to the thermal agitation where the potential decreases exponentially (Figure 3b) \[26,27\]. Finally, Stern’s model (1924) combines these two approaches by forming a compact layer close to the electrode and a diffuse one that extends to the bulk of the solution and is in the order of the nanometers (Figure 3c) \[28\].
The capacitance (double-layer capacity) depends on the number of ions adsorbed on the electrode and the specific area of the electrode materials for a given potential excursion. One of the most popular materials for EDLCs is carbon due to its good electric conductivity and stability. Furthermore, the material texture of carbon, i.e., its specific surface area (SSA) and pore size distribution, together with the appropriate choice of the electrolyte, greatly affects the SCs electrochemical performance in terms of capacitance and energy density [20]. A number of EDLCs have shown excellent power densities and good cyclic stability, which are associated with fast-charge diffusion in the electrolyte, the negligible side reactions of the different materials, and efficient ion adsorption on the electrode surfaces [22,30].

2.2. Pseudocapacitors (PCs)

PCs electrodes are based mainly on electro-active materials such as metal oxides (e.g., MnO₂, RuO₂) [31–33] and conjugated polymers (e.g., polypyrroles, polyanilines, and polythiophenes) [34–36]. In these systems, the energy storage mechanism is far more complicated than that of an EDLC, and the charge storage occurs through Faradic charge transfer processes, which are fast and reversible [37]. Regarding inorganic electrode materials, varying the oxidation state of the metal atoms coupled with the insertion/de-insertion of ions in the crystal lattice is the main process. In a recent paper [38], surface-amorphized Co₃S₄ with a capacity higher than 1000 F g⁻¹ at 0.5 A g⁻¹ in an asymmetric capacitor with carbon-negative electrodes showed a retention rate about 90% after 10,000 cycles.

In the conjugated polymers, the reversible oxidation and reduction in the π-π* orbitals, coupled with the so-called “doping/de-doping” of the materials by the ions coming from the electrode/electrolyte interface, takes place [39]. Even if the charge/discharge process is not electrostatic like in EDLCs, the electrochemical response under a galvanostatic or voltammetric test is similar to that of EDLCs, and for this reason, they are considered PCs [40]. A charge storage comparison between an EDLC and a PC is depicted in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Comparison between ECDLs, PCs, and Li-ion batteries. Adapted from Ref. [41].](image)

Although the capacitance and energy density values that can be increased significantly by utilizing Faradic processes, PCs deliver lower power densities than EDCLs due to the fact that Faradic processes are often slower than non-Faradic ones [42]. Furthermore, the charge storage mechanism, i.e., redox reactions, affects the cycle of PCs because of possible lattice stress phenomena in the transition metal oxides and overoxidation or depolymerization in the conjugated polymers [43,44].

2.3. Hybrid Supercapacitors (HSCs)

HSCs, which are a hybrid of EDLCs and PCs electrodes [45,46], have been designed to obtain SCs with synergistic properties, where the pseudocapacitive electrode makes it possible to obtain a high energy density, and the capacitive electrode enables high power densities. Although PCs and HSCs deliver superior specific capacitance than that of
EDLCs, their potential applications are limited by their lower cycle performance and higher cost [32].

However, researchers are diligently striving towards developing high-specific-capacitance and low-cost EDLCs, which involves advanced active materials for electrodes based on carbon with different structural forms [47]. Also, nanofabrication and coupling with conjugated polymers are widening perspectives in the field, which may lead to improvements in HSC performance [48]. A recent example of an asymmetric HSC was built with a positive electrode of C-coated NiCo2O4 on a cactus plant-like three-dimensional Ni structure and a negative electrode based on Fe3S4 grown via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) in dendrite-like structures that produce a network on the highly porous Ni-Co alloy obtained via H2 bubble-assisted electrodeposition on stainless steel. The assembled devices delivered a specific capacitance of 150 F g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ and showed 70% of the initial capacitance after 10,000 charge/discharge cycles Swain, et al. [49].

Other metal sulfides coupled with a carbonaceous electrode have been used for asymmetric HSCs with aqueous electrolytes, showing a variety of performances: defect-rich Ni3S4-x delivered about 1400 F g⁻¹ at 0.1 A g⁻¹ without significant capacitance loss after 15,000 cycles [50], whereas Ni-doped SnS2 on carbon cloth delivered 66 F g⁻¹ at 2 A g⁻¹ with a capacity retention of 80% [51].

3. Carbon Materials for Supercapacitors

A variety of carbon materials with different morphologies and structures have been used as EDLC electrodes, including activated carbon (AC) obtained from mineral resources or biomasses, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and graphene, thanks to their large SSA, high porosity, good electronic conductivity, and chemical stability, as well as their wide range of operating temperatures [52]. A comparison of carbon materials for EDLCs is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of carbon materials used as EDLC electrodes. Adapted from Ref. [53].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Carbon Nanotubes</th>
<th>Graphene</th>
<th>Activated Carbon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Structure dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volumetric capacitance</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other synthetic strategies involve the emulsion-assisted production of polymer nanoparticles that, after calcination under N2 atmosphere, release carbon spheres 200–300 nm in diameter with a single cavity inside and a SSA of about 300 m² g⁻¹ [54].

The large SSA of carbon is generally responsible for the high specific capacity of the electrode. Large SSAs can reach 2500 m² g⁻¹ and deliver specific capacitance from 100 to 250 F g⁻¹ depending on the electrolyte [55], and, in turn, the pore size distribution significantly affects the EDLC charge/discharge rate. According to the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), the porosity classifications for macropores, mesopores, and micropores are as follows [56]:
- Macropores with a diameter greater than 50 nm;
- Mesopores with a diameter between 2 and 50 nm;
- Micropores with a diameter of less than 2 nm.

It is worth noting that the IUPAC outlines two subcategories of micropores: supermicropores, with diameters between 0.7 nm and 2 nm, and ultramicropores, with diameter less than 0.7 nm [56].
3.1. Activated Carbon (AC)

AC is a promising material for SC electrodes because of its relatively low cost, high conductivity, good thermal stability, and corrosion resistance. Several synthesis routes are for the preparation of activated carbons with high a SSA and a porosity that is suitable for EDLC electrodes have been reported in the literature [57]. It should be emphasized that these characteristics are influenced by the precursor used and the synthesis and activation process [58,59]. Furthermore, activated carbon production processes (carbonization and activation) are generally simple and involve cheap and abundant precursors [60]. The activation process consists of oxidation via physical or chemical processes that allow for the creation of a random network of pores (macropores, mesopores, or micropores). Usually, physical activation is carried out through the carbonization of materials (for biomass or hard coal see below) at temperatures ranging from 900 °C to 1100 °C under oxidizing conditions. Such a temperature range induces the sublimation of the lower molecular weight fraction and structural rearrangement, whereas the oxidation of carbon results in the creation and/or enlargement of the pores.

Chemical activation proceeds in the presence of chemical agents (e.g., H3PO4, ZnCl2, KOH, etc.) through the dehydration, carbonization, and structural reorganization of the precursor, inducing the development of micropores and mesopores and the functionalization of their surfaces [61]. By carefully controlling the activation parameters, it is possible to reach a specific surface area of 3000 m²·g⁻¹ [52]. A schematic showing the development of the porosity network can be seen in Figure 5.

![Figure 5. Scheme illustrating the porosity developed in a grain of AC [62].](image)

ACs can be easily produced and, consequently, have been commercially available for a long time for many other applications, including air purification, water treatment, energy storage, etc. Indeed, the global market for AC is growing, and it is expected to be worth up to USD 7 billion by 2028 [63]. From a business point of view, the use of AC is of interest because related low-cost and abundant precursors such as biomass are considered renewable resources; thus, in terms of sustainability, AC production is of great importance.

3.2. Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs)

CNTs have been reported to have special features of interest for EDLC electrodes. The development of high-power SCs has been driven by their high electrical conductivity and accessible pore network, along with their good thermal and mechanical stability [52]. CNTs are classified as single-walled nanotubes (SWCNTs) and multiwalled nanotubes (MWCNTs) based on the number of graphite-like layers rolled into the cylinder, which, in turn, affects the electrical and mechanical characteristics of the resulting materials [52,64]. The main methods for CNTs synthesis are laser ablation, arc-discharge, and CVD, all of which are experimentally complex and require expensive equipment. In addition, it seems difficult to achieve high purity and good bulky yields [57].
3.3. Graphene

Graphene consists of a two-dimensional single-layer of hexagonal rings of sp² carbon atoms. This carbon arrangement potentially provides an accessible surface area that is much wider than that of any other carbon material used in EDLCs [52,65]. Graphene can be produced via CVD; chemical [66], electrochemical [67], or plasma exfoliation from natural graphite; and mechanical cleavage from natural graphite [68]. In one study, a graphene ribbon aerogel monolith with high mass loading (11 g cm⁻²) exhibited a capacitance density of about 150 F g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ and about 100% capacitance retention after 10,000 cycles [69].

However, despite their great potential, carbon materials based on graphene or CNTs are still far from being commonly used in industrial sectors, mainly because of their high production costs.

3.4. Activated Biochar-Based SCs

This section of the present article focuses on the use of biomass as a sustainable and renewable precursor for the production of ACs. The fabrication process of biomass-derived AC has been demonstrated by the carbonization and activation of a huge variety of raw materials [70]. During carbonization, biochar is produced as a result of the precursor being subjected to heat treatment in the absence of oxygen. The development of AC surface area and porosity is achieved through physical or chemical activation using oxidizing gases (e.g., O₂, steam, etc.) or other oxidizing agents (e.g., KOH, NaOH, ZnCl₂, H₃PO₄), respectively [71–73]. In contrast to physical activation, which partially gasifies the char to CO₂ in order to enhance the pores, chemical activation involves dehydrating chemicals to prevent the development of tar and boost the carbon yield [74]. Chemical activation is sometimes carried out in one step after pyrolysis and sometimes produces AC with a higher carbon yield, larger SSA, and more developed microporosity than physical activation [75].

Using low-cost biomass such as biowaste (e.g., agriculture by-products or food industry waste) to derive ACs for SC electrodes could not only pave the way to solve waste management problems [76,77] but also generate revenue for farmers in the context of circular economy because it changes a waste product in a secondary raw material into a high-value product that could be used to produce SCs [78].

In recent years, interest in producing activated carbon from biomass has steadily grown [78–83]. Various sources of biowaste, including waste from plants, animals, and vegetables, have been listed in the literature as raw materials that could be used to produce ACs for use as electrode materials in SCs [78,84–89]. Figure 6 shows some of those biowaste products, specifically the following: olive seeds, lotus calyx, rice husk, mangosteen peel, chrysopogon zizanioides, lemon peel, eggs shells, and idesia polycarpa fruit oil residue.

For instance, Yang et al. developed a porous carbon with a SSA of 1471.4 m² g⁻¹ from corncob that provided an EDLC that could deliver an energy density of 20.15 Wh kg⁻¹ in 6 M KOH electrolyte [90]. By following the same procedure, Mitravinda et al. investigated EDLCs based on corn silk-derived AC; the EDLCs showed a promising energy density of ~32.28 Wh kg⁻¹ and a power density of 870.68 W kg⁻¹ [91]. This was made possible by the AC’s mesoporous fiber-like morphology and texture, which helped to diffuse electrolytes into and out of the pores during the charge/discharge processes. In another study, Yin et al. used coconut fibers to develop three-dimensional hierarchical porous carbon [92] with a high SSA of 2898 m² g⁻¹ and pore volume of 1.59 cm³ g⁻¹ to allow for an EDLC with 6 M KOH to reach an energy density of 53 Wh kg⁻¹ and an impressive power density of 8200 W kg⁻¹. Moreover, Qin et al. synthesized pine nutshell-derived AC using physical activation [92], obtaining an interconnected porous structure with different pore size distributions (micro-, meso-, and macropores). This material was used as an electrode in an EDLC with 6 M KOH electrolyte, releasing 98% of the initial capacity after 10,000 cycles [92]. Bridget et al. reported the use of lignin residue from biodigestion plants as a precursor for preparing AC [93]. The lignin-derived carbon contained mesopores and micropores showing a high SSA of 1879 m² g⁻¹. A SC with this lignin-derived carbon electrode exhibited a specific energy and specific power density of up to 10 Wh kg⁻¹ and
6.9 kW kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. Durability tests revealed that the device could maintain 84.5% of its capacitance after 15,000 charge/discharge cycles [93]. Table 2 shows the electrochemical performance of some investigated biowaste-derived carbon electrodes and SCs using aqueous electrolytes.

Table 2. Characteristics of biowaste-derived carbon-based electrodes and the related EDLCs with aqueous electrolytes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biowaste</th>
<th>SSA (\text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1})</th>
<th>Specific Capacitance (\text{Fg}^{-1})</th>
<th>Electrolyte for the Assembled Device</th>
<th>Energy Density (\text{Whkg}^{-1})</th>
<th>Power Density (\text{kWkg}^{-1})</th>
<th>Cyclic Stability (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lotus calyx</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>1 M Na(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem pith of helianthus annuus</td>
<td>1900.2</td>
<td>403.6 (0.5 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangosteen peel</td>
<td>2623</td>
<td>357 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>1 M Li(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>17.28</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon peel</td>
<td>744.78</td>
<td>152.14 (1 mV/s)</td>
<td>0.5 M H(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>8.113</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodesia polycarpa fruit oil residue</td>
<td>1537.1</td>
<td>350.4 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camellia oleifera shell</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>259 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>1 M H(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>(20,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium cumini</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>253 (0.5 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>27.22</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>(5000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysopogon zizanioides</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>294 (0.5 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>(5000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baobab fruit shells</td>
<td>2700.65</td>
<td>332 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>166.4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biowaste</th>
<th>SSA (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Specific Capacitance (F/g)</th>
<th>Electrolyte for the Assembled Device</th>
<th>Energy Density (Wh kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Power Density (kW kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Cyclic Stability (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste wolfberry fruits</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>365 (0.2 A/g)</td>
<td>1 M Li$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>96.4 (10,000)</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camellia pollen</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>300 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84.5 (20,000)</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice husk</td>
<td>1183</td>
<td>163.1 (0.2 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>85 (6000)</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn Husk</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>127 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>90 (5000)</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Seed</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>224 (0.25 A/g)</td>
<td>1 M H$_2$SO$_4$/1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>91 (12,500)</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin residue of biodigester</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>114 (0.5 A/g)</td>
<td>2.5 M KNO$_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>84.5 (15,000)</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5. Coal-Derived AC-Based SCs

Coal is a low-cost carbon-rich material that exists in large natural reserves. In 2020, global coal reserves were estimated to be 1074 billion tons [107]. Restrictions regarding CO$_2$ emissions should reduce the use of coal as a fuel and encourage the adoption of other, renewable energy sources with added value due to their applicability to fast-developing zero-emission vehicles [108]. There are five different varieties of coal: peat, lignite, subbituminous, bituminous, and anthracite, all of which are classified according to their carbon content. Peat is a soft, crumbly, dark brown substance formed by the decomposition of dead and partially decaying organic matter on the ground in oxygen-poor conditions. Peat contains the least amount of carbon (less than 60%). Lignite, also known as brown coal, has a brown color and preserves the fibrous aspect of the original wood. Its carbon content varies between 65 and 70%. Subbituminous coal, also known as black lignite, is a dark brown or gray-black coal; its carbon content ranges between 70 and 76%. Anthracite is the most high-quality coal because it contains nearly 95% carbon and has a low moisture content [109]. Figure 7 depicts the typical structures of different coal classes and their degree of coalification.

Similar to biomass-derived AC production, coal derivatives with a large SSA can be obtained by physical activation in the presence of air, O$_2$, steam, CO$_2$, etc., or by chemical activation using KOH, ZnCl$_2$, NaOH, H$_3$PO$_4$, etc. In recent years, many researchers have investigated different coal-based ACs and their performance as SC electrode materials. Zhao et al. [110] used chemical activation by KOH to prepare AC from “hypercoal” with a high surface area of 2540 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$; Zhao et al. reported a capacitance of 46.0 F g$^{-1}$. Shi et al. [111] assembled a high-performance SC with a specific electrode capacitance of 280 F g$^{-1}$ and energy density of 38.9 Wh kg$^{-1}$ at 0.5 A g$^{-1}$ using an AC produced from anthracite. Zhu et al. [112] prepared high-performance coal derivatives via KOH activation, and the optimized sample had a surface area of 2457 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and total pore volume of 1.448 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$, which allowed the material to exhibit a specific capacitance of 384 F g$^{-1}$ in 6 M KOH.

Table 3 summarizes the electrochemical performance of coal-derived AC electrodes, including specific capacitance and power density values, and EDLCs assembled using aqueous electrolytes. Overall, the use of carbon derived from natural resources presents a propitious opportunity to design affordable, cheap, and environmentally friendly SCs.
Figure 7. Schematic illustration of different coal classes and their related structural moieties. Reprinted with permission from [21]. Copyright (2021) American Chemical Society.

Table 3. Electrochemical performance of coal-derived carbon-based electrodes (including specific surface area and specific capacitance) and EDLCs (including energy density, power density, and cycling stability) assembled using aqueous electrolytes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>SSA (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Specific Capacitance (F g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Electrolyte for the Assembled Device</th>
<th>Energy Density (Wh kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Power Density (W kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cyclic Stability (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-bituminous coal</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>227 (0.5 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.952</td>
<td>82 (10,000)</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracite</td>
<td>3550.7</td>
<td>433 (0.5 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>99 (10,000)</td>
<td>[111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>2129</td>
<td>323 (0.5 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>93.7 (10,000)</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal tar pitch</td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>308 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>1 M Na₂SO₄</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>461.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal tar pitch</td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>308 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>254.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal-based green needle coke</td>
<td>807.69</td>
<td>274.9 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>1031.42</td>
<td>98.5 (5000)</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal tar pitch</td>
<td>2984</td>
<td>320 (0.1 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>94 (10,000)</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracite</td>
<td>2947</td>
<td>282 (0.5 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>124.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>2168</td>
<td>215 (20 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>91.9 (5000)</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bituminous coal</td>
<td>3472.41</td>
<td>487 (1 A/g)</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>249.6</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>96 (10,000)</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Electrolytes

The formulation of electrolytes greatly affects the specific performance, environmental impact, and cost of SCs [121]. Several criteria are crucial to consider when choosing an electrolyte, but the two main criteria are the electrochemical stability window (ESW) and the ionic conductivity.
The operating voltage of a SC essentially depends on the electrolyte ESW \[17,122\]. The conductivity of the electrolyte largely impacts the equivalent series resistance of the SC \[123\]. The following relation defines the ionic conductivity:

\[ k = F \sum_i z_i C_i \mu_i \]

where:
- \( k \) is ionic conductivity (S cm\(^{-1}\));
- \( F \) is the Faraday constant (C mol\(^{-1}\));
- \( z_i \) is the charge of the ion;
- \( C_i \) is the concentration of the ion \( i \) (mol cm\(^{-3}\));
- \( \mu_i \) is the mobility of the ion \( i \) (cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)).

The operating temperature range of the electrolyte is also a criterion to be taken into account, depending on the intended application of the SC and the related influence on its performance. Furthermore, cost, safety, and environmental impact should also be taken into consideration when choosing an electrolyte \[17\].

Electrolytes can be categorized into three groups—organic, ionic liquids, and aqueous—each with their own set of advantages and disadvantages (as indicated in Table 4).

Table 4. Comparison of common electrolytes used in supercapacitors \[17,124–126\].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>ESW (V)</th>
<th>( k ) (S cm(^{-1})); Other Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>H(_2)SO(_4), KOH, Na(_2)SO(_4), NH(_4)Cl</td>
<td>~1.2</td>
<td>High, Cheap, safe, low environmental impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>Organic salts (e.g., Et(_4)NBF(_4)) in Acetonitrile, propylene carbonate</td>
<td>~3–3.5</td>
<td>Moderate, Flammable, toxic, require low water content (&lt;5 ppm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionic liquid</td>
<td>Imidazolium, pyrrolidinium salts</td>
<td>~4.5</td>
<td>Low, Low flammability, costly.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1. Aqueous Electrolytes

Aqueous electrolytes are used in SCs due to their high conductivity, which, for example, can reach up to 700 mS cm\(^{-1}\). In addition, aqueous electrolytes are cheap and relatively environmentally friendly. Unlike organic electrolytes, they do not present a risk of explosion in the case of overheating, and their operating temperature ranges from several degrees below 0 °C to about 80 °C depending on the nature and the concentration of salt in the water.

The main disadvantage of aqueous electrolytes is their narrow ESW due to the decomposition of water that occurs at the thermodynamic potentials of 1.23 V vs. NHE (oxygen evolution) and 0 V vs. NHE (hydrogen evolution).

However, even with their small ESW, aqueous electrolytes are more promising for use in SCs, at least in the short-term, because of their lower cost, higher safety, lower environmental impact, and higher ionic conductivity compared to that of the other electrolytes \[127\].

4.2. Organic Electrolytes

At present, probably the most commonly used organic solvents in SC electrolyte solutions are propylene carbonate and acetonitrile. A common salt is tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate (Et\(_4\)NBF\(_4\)). Organic electrolytes make it possible to increase the voltage of a SC up to 2.7 V due to their large ESW. The ionic conductivity of organic electrolytes is lower than that of aqueous electrolytes. In addition, the radiiuses of the solvated ions in organic electrolytes are larger than those in water. These two aspects explain why the capacity obtained in organic electrolytes is lower than that obtained in the aqueous ones \[128\]. In addition, organic electrolytes are costly; also, in some cases they are toxic and may pose safety risks due to vapor tension and their low flash point and heat of combustion.
Even the assembling and recycling process can pose environmental problems due to the leakage of vapors and liquids.

4.3. Ionic Liquids

Ionic liquids are obtained from salts with a melting point below 100 °C and are generally used as liquid electrolytes at room temperature. Notably, no solvent is added. The ions of the salt provide conduction because they are not stuck in a crystal lattice thanks to the large size and asymmetric structure of both the anions and cations. The first ionic liquid, ethylammonium nitrate (EAN), which has a melting point of 12 °C, was described in 1914 by Walden; however, many consider the research on ionic liquids to have really started in the 1970s [129,130]. Among the most investigated ionic liquids are 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis-(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)-imide (EMITFSI), N-methyl-N-propylpiperidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (PP13TFSI), 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (PYR14TFSI), and others [131,132]. Despite their relatively low ionic conductivity and very high cost, ionic liquids are emerging as SC electrolytes because of their non-flammability and high electrochemical and thermal stability [133].

4.4. Water-in-Salt Electrolytes (WiSE)

A remarkable achievement in the development of electrolytic materials has been recently reported by using high-concentration aqueous solutions, known as Water-in-Salt Electrolytes (WiSE), where the low content of water enhances the ESW [134]. In WiSE, the salt content overcomes the solvent both in mass and volume. Because of their very high salt concentration, WiSEs successfully overcome the water’s thermodynamic limitations, exhibiting an ESW (about 3.0 V) much larger than what can be achieved with regular aqueous electrolytes while maintaining their intrinsic advantages, such as safety, low cost, environmental friendliness, and satisfactory ionic conductivity. Furthermore, WiSEs enhance the performances of SCs in terms of energy density because they enable higher cell voltages with respect to conventional aqueous solutions [135] (Figure 8).
The growing interest in WiSEs for ESDs started in 2015, when, for the first time, Suo et al. revealed a WiSE based on a water and lithium bis(trifluoromethane)-sulfonimide (LiTFSI) with a concentration of 21 mol kg\(^{-1}\), ionic conductivity of 10 mS cm\(^{-1}\), and the capacity to achieve an ESW of 3 V [134]. Until now, fluorinated imide-based salts, notably LiTFSI, are still the most investigated WiSEs for ESDs, including both Li-ion batteries and SCs [136–140]. Despite the tremendous advancements in imide-based WiSE systems, there are some drawbacks related to high costs and negative environmental impacts due to the fluorinated salt, as identified by Lukatskaya et al. for LiTFSI [141]. Moreover, the Li salt quantity required for WiSE has led to concerns associated with Li reserves in the earth’s crust, which are less geographically distributed compared with Na and K reserves. However, binary salts, such as eutectic combinations of Li and K acetate, have been proposed as an alternative to reduce the Li content in ESDs. A mixture of 32 mol kg\(^{-1}\) K acetate and 8 mol kg\(^{-1}\) lithium acetate WiSE has been shown to display an ESW of 2.7 V and ionic conductivity 5.3 mS cm\(^{-1}\) [141]. Furthermore, EDLCs enable the use of lithium-free WiSE, and potassium acetate-based WiSEs coupled with AC electrodes have been exploited to produce a SC with a very good cycle life at an operational voltage of 2 V [142].

WiSEs based on percolate salts have also shown excellent properties for EDLCs; however, this type of WiSE could not be regarded as completely green due to the strong oxidizing characteristics of perchlorate anions making it potentially explosive [143]. Unfortunately, superconcentrated acetate solutions are intrinsically alkaline because of acetate anions’ hydrolysis reaction, but mild neutral electrolytes based on a low-cost Na perchlorate WiSE can achieve an ionic conductivity of 64.2 mS cm\(^{-1}\) and an ESW of 2.8 V, enabling EDLCs with an operating voltage of 2.3 V [136]. Recently, a study was conducted to investigate a safer and less corrosive circumneutral WiSE prepared from a superconcentrated aqueous solution of ammonium acetate that shows a pH in the range 7–8 and an ionic conductivity that is comparable to or higher than that of typical organic electrolytes [144]. Molecular dynamic simulations gave an atomic-level view of the system; the solution’s structural changes at high concentrations, induced by intense interactions with both ions and/or molecules of water accompanying the hydrogen bonding formation, causes an increase in pH and a decrease in ion mobility. Furthermore, molecular dynamic simulation revealed that, moving from conventional solutions to concentrated solutions, the mixture moves from an “ion in water” to an “ionic-liquid-like” behavior [144]. The 26.4 mol kg\(^{-1}\) WiSE of ammonium acetate exhibited an ESW of 2.22 V at Al foil, 2.9 V at glassy carbon, and a remarkable value of 3.4 V at Ti grid. Then, a SC was assembled using this WiSE and AC electrodes prepared from Argan shell. The device showed excellent specific capacitance, low resistance, a cell voltage of 1.2 V, and operative temperatures ranging from −10 °C to +80 °C [144]. The combination of WiSEs and AC electrodes derived from natural resources can pave the way for a new generation of sustainable SCs that are intrinsically safe and have performances comparable to that those derived from organic solutions.

5. Summary

The present review discussed novel electrode materials, alternative electrolytes, and the storage mechanisms governing SCs, particularly regarding the use of AC electrodes obtained from widely available and low-cost raw materials. The compatibility of these electrodes with aqueous electrolytes and related advantages have been highlighted in terms of safety and electrochemical performance. The characteristics of AC obtained from abundant natural resources regarding structural and textural proprieties, interconnected porous structure, and micro- and mesopore size distribution have been discussed with respect to related electrochemical properties. Such characteristics make these materials compatible with aqueous electrolytes with high salt concentrations. These solutions, known as WiSEs, show an ESW up to 3 V, paving the way for the development of aqueous SCs with high cell voltages and high energy densities. Some WiSEs based on low-cost salts such as K acetate, Na perchlorate, and ammonium acetate are comparable to LiTFSI-based
WiSEs. These low-cost WiSE systems show performances that are comparable to or even superior than LiTFSI-based WiSEs, helping to reduce costs, preserve the environment, and, as a result, showing promise for deployment on a commercial level.
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